

Comparison Isomorphisms for Smooth Formal Schemes

Fabrizio Andreatta

Adrian Iovita

May 19, 2011

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Description of the paper	4
1.2	Notations	7
2	Fontaine sheaves	9
2.1	Faltings' topos; the algebraic setting	9
2.2	Faltings' topos; the formal setting	14
2.3	Continuous functors. Localization functors	15
2.4	The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+$	17
2.5	The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$	24
2.6	The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$	31
2.7	Further properties of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$	35
2.8	The ind-sheaves $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ and \mathbb{B}_{cris}	38
2.9	The fundamental exact sequence	40
3	The crystalline comparison isomorphism.	42
3.1	Crystalline étale sheaves.	42
3.2	The functors $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ on crystalline sheaves.	50
3.3	The cohomology of crystalline sheaves.	57
3.4	The comparison isomorphism in the proper case	65

1 Introduction

Let $p > 0$ denote a prime integer and K a complete discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 and perfect residue field k of characteristic p . This article proposes a new point of view on the problem of comparison isomorphisms for algebraic varieties over K which allows the extension of the results to smooth p -adic formal schemes over the ring of integers of K .

More precisely, we suppose throughout the introduction that K is absolutely unramified i. e., that the prime p is a uniformizer of K , denote by \overline{K} a fixed algebraic closure of it with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and by A_{cris} and B_{cris} the period rings defined by J.-M. Fontaine in [Fo] (see section §1.2 for a review of the construction). We set $G_K := \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$.

The “comparison isomorphism problem” was first alluded to by Grothendieck as the existence of a *mysterious functor* associating, for a given algebraic variety over K , the étale cohomology groups of the variety over \overline{K} to the respective de Rham cohomology groups. This was precisely formulated by J.-M. Fontaine in [Fo] as *the crystalline comparison conjecture*. Let X be a smooth proper scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . If R is an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra we denote by X_R the base change $X_R = X \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)} \text{Spec}(R)$. In particular we’ll denote by \overline{X} the special fiber X_k of X .

Conjecture 1.1 ([Fo]). *In the notations above for every $i \geq 0$ there is a canonical and functorial isomorphism commuting with all the additional structures (namely filtrations, G_K -actions and Frobenii)*

$$H^i(X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}/\mathcal{O}_K) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} B_{\text{cris}}.$$

The first case of the conjecture was proved by Fontaine himself in [Fo] for abelian schemes. There followed other proofs of the conjecture for abelian varieties and curves over K with good or semi-stable reduction in preprints of Fontaine-Messing (unpublished), [Cz], [CC].

The first general result was proved by Bloch and Kato in [BK] for proper and smooth schemes with ordinary reduction. The next breakthrough was due to Fontaine and Messing in [FM]. They noticed that the ring A_{cris} has a geometric interpretation as global sections of a certain sheaf on the crystalline site of \overline{X} and thus the syntomic cohomology on X calculates the right hand side of the isomorphism in the conjecture 1.1. The fact that the syntomic cohomology can be related to the left hand side (i. e., to étale cohomology of $X_{\overline{K}}$) is more complicated and the conjecture was proved in [FM] under the assumption that $1 + 2\dim(X_K) < p$.

G. Faltings fully proved the conjecture in [F2], in fact he proved more: one can drop the assumption that K is absolutely unramified and he allowed certain non-trivial coefficients, more precisely \mathbb{Q}_p -adic local systems \mathbb{L} on X_K for which there exist “associated F -isocrystals” \mathcal{E} on X_k (see [F2]). Faltings’s strategy was to define a new cohomology theory associated to X and to prove that it calculated both the left hand side (via the theory of almost étale extensions) and the right hand side of conjecture 1.1. Let us be more precise: we suppose that X is geometrically connected and denote by $\eta = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$ a geometric generic point of $X_{\overline{K}}$. Let $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ be an étale hyper-covering of X by “small affine schemes over \mathcal{O}_K ”. If $X_i = \text{Spec}(R_i)$ (i is a multi-index) let \overline{R}_i be the maximal normal extension of R_i in \mathbb{K} such that $\overline{R}_i[p^{-1}]$ is the union of finite and étale extensions of $R_i[p^{-1}]$. By $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_i)$ we denote the relative Fontaine ring B_{cris} constructed using the pair (R_i, \overline{R}_i) (in [F2] this ring is denoted $B_{\text{cris}}(R_i)$). If $\Delta_i = \pi_1(X_{i, \overline{K}}, \eta)$ consider the double complex $K^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbb{L}) := C^{\bullet}(\Delta_{\bullet}, \mathbb{L}_{\eta} \otimes B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\bullet}))$, where $C^{\bullet}(\Delta, -)$ is the standard chain complex computing continuous group cohomology of Δ . The new cohomology with coefficients

in \mathbb{L} defined by Faltings is the cohomology of the total complex $K^{\bullet\bullet}(\mathbb{L})$ (or rather the limit of such over all hyper-coverings.)

Faltings' cohomology theory seems easier to handle than the syntomic cohomology but there are two inconveniences related to it. One is conceptual: the association $U = \text{Spec}(R) \longrightarrow B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R})$ is not a sheaf and no geometric interpretation of the above defined cohomology theory is given in terms of sheaf cohomology. The second inconvenience is that in order to prove the isomorphism of the new cohomology theory of \mathbb{L} with the crystalline cohomology of the associated F -isocrystal tensored with B_{cris} one had to prove that this new cohomology theory satisfied Poincaré duality compatible with the known Poincaré dualities on the two sides of 1.1. This complicates the proof of the crystalline comparison conjecture and limits the applications of these ideas to proper schemes, or complements of a normal crossing divisor in a proper scheme.

To finish our history of “comparison isomorphisms”, K. Kato in [Ka] adapted the proof in [FM] to schemes over K with semi-stable reduction by the systematic use of log structures (using results in [HK]) and proved the “semi-stable conjecture” for trivial coefficients and under the assumption that $1+2\dim(X_K)$ is less than p . Finally T. Tsuji was able to circumvent the technical difficulties related to syntomic and log syntomic cohomology and proved both the crystalline and semi-stable conjectures for trivial coefficients in [T]. Next, Faltings extended his results to open varieties over K with semi-stable reduction in [F3] and W. Niziol re-proved in [N] the crystalline and semi-stable conjectures for trivial coefficients using a new idea namely a comparison isomorphism in K -theory. Recently Go Yamashita gave a new proof of the comparison isomorphism for open varieties over K with semi-stable reduction and trivial coefficients using syntomic methods (see [Y]).

The new point of view introduced in the present article is the systematic use of a topos which we call “Faltings’s topos” associated to X and of certain new (“ind-continuous”) sheaves of rings $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}$ and \mathbb{B}_{cris} in it. Faltings’s topos is the category of sheaves on a certain site which we denote \mathfrak{X} (for a more precise definition see the sections 1.1 and 2.1). Despite the suggestion of the notations, the sections of $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}$ are not the rings $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R})$ used by Faltings (for the precise relationship between the two see the next section.) If \mathbb{L} is a \mathbb{Q}_p -local system on X_K then \mathbb{L} may be viewed as a sheaf on \mathfrak{X} and we have:

a) $H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla})$ is Faltings’ cohomology associated to \mathbb{L} as above. Thus the present theory gives a geometric interpretation of Faltings’s construction.

b) In this setting one may attach to \mathbb{L} in a geometric way a sheaf on X with a connection and thus define crystalline local systems on $X_K^{\text{ét}}$ and their associated F -isocrystals.

c) We also provide a new idea of the proof of the comparison isomorphism. The main reason the comparison isomorphism in the algebraic setting over K fails to follow the classical pattern over the complex numbers is because the de Rham complex of sheaves of X

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{d_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \xrightarrow{d_X} \dots$$

is not exact (i.e. there is no algebraic Poincaré lemma). Let us just mention that even if we replace X (or X_K) by the rigid analytic variety X^{rig} associated to X_K its de Rham complex is still not exact. But if we now pass to the finer topology \mathfrak{X} and remark that the sheaf \mathbb{B}_{cris} is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with a connection ∇ such that $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}$ is its sheaf of horizontal sections we have an exact sequence of sheaves on \mathfrak{X} :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

In other words working on the site \mathfrak{X} and after tensoring with the sheaf of periods \mathbb{B}_{cris} the de Rham complex of X becomes exact and a resolution of the sheaf $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$. Now an acyclicity property of this resolution (for a more precise formulation see the next section) permits the calculation of the cohomology on \mathfrak{X} of the sheaf $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ as the hyper-cohomology of the de Rham complex on X of the associated F -isocrystal without any use of Poincaré duality. Therefore these results extend to p -adic formal schemes. In the next sub-section we present a more precise description of the article.

1.1 Description of the paper

Let X denote either a smooth scheme over \mathcal{O}_K or a smooth p -adic formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K with special fiber denoted \bar{X} . If X is a scheme we denote its generic fiber by X_K and if X is a formal scheme we denote its rigid analytic generic fiber X^{rig} , also by X_K .

Let us define (in the algebraic setting) the category $E_{X_{\bar{K}}}$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ where $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ is an étale morphism, $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\bar{K}}$ is a finite étale morphism and the morphisms between two pairs are pairs of morphisms satisfying natural compatibilities. G. Faltings defined in [F3] a certain topology on $E_{X_{\bar{K}}}$ but as recently noticed by A. Abbes a fundamental error occurred in that construction namely to put is as directly as possible, the topos defined in [F3] is not the category of sheaves on the topology he defines there (see section 2.1 for a counterexample.) A salvage was suggested in a letter of P. Deligne to L. Illusie in 1995 raising a series of questions related to section 3.4 in [I]. He pointed out that the correct definition of Faltings's topos should be as a certain "topos fleché". The general theory of these topoi was further developed by O. Gabber, L. Illusie, F. Orgogozo and was implemented in the case of interest to us by A. Abbes. We chose not to follow this direction and fix the problem in an equivalent way here (see also [Err]) by defining a different pre-topology, which we call $\text{PT}_{X_{\bar{K}}}$ on the category $E_{X_{\bar{K}}}$. See section 2.1 for the precise statements and the definition in the formal context.

There is a fundamental operation on sheaves and continuous sheaves of abelian groups on $\text{PT}_{X_{\bar{K}}}$ called **localization** and defined as follows. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a continuous sheaf on $\text{PT}_{X_{\bar{K}}}$ (let us recall that such an object is a projective system $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_n$ of p -power torsion sheaves on \mathfrak{X}) and let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ be an affine connected object of X^{et} (by which we mean the étale site on X). We fix a geometric generic point $\eta = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$ and denote by

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) := \lim_{\leftarrow, n} \mathcal{F}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \text{ with } \mathcal{F}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) := \lim_{\rightarrow, S} \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{U}, \text{Spec}(S)),$$

where in the inductive limit S runs over all $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ -sub algebras of \mathbb{K} which are finite and étale. Let us remark that $\mathcal{F}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a continuous representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_K, \eta)$.

We have natural functors $v: X^{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, $u: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X_{\bar{K}}^{\text{et}}$ defined (in the algebraic setting) as follows: $v(\mathcal{U}) = (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_{\bar{K}})$ and respectively $u(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}$. The functors v^* and u_* allow us to view sheaves on X^{et} and respectively on $X_{\bar{K}}^{\text{et}}$ as sheaves on \mathfrak{X} . The functor v_* is left exact and its right derived functors are central to our theory. We have the following result proved in [AI] describing these functors in terms of localizations.

Theorem 1.2 ([AI], theorem 6.12). *Let \mathcal{F} be a continuous sheaf on \mathfrak{X} satisfying certain conditions (Assumptions 6.10 in [AI]). Then for all $i \geq 0$, $R^i v_* \mathcal{F}$ are the sheaves associated to the pre-sheaves on X^{et}*

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow H_{\text{cont}}^i(\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\bar{K}}, *), \mathcal{F}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})).$$

This theorem reduces via the Leray spectral sequence the calculation of the sheaf cohomology groups $H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{F})$ to local calculations of fundamental group cohomology with values in localizations and sheaf cohomology on X^{et} .

We now pass to the description of the sheaves $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ and \mathbb{B}_{cris} announced at the beginning of this introduction. We call these ‘‘Fontaine sheaves’’ and prove that they enjoy the following properties.

- a) Both are ind-continuous sheaves of B_{cris} -algebras on \mathfrak{X} such that for a ‘‘small’’ affine $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spec}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ the localizations $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ are respectively isomorphic to the rings $B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ defined in [F2] and [Bri].
- b) $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ is endowed with a filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)$ by sub-sheaves and a Frobenius endomorphism.
- c) \mathbb{B}_{cris} is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} B_{\text{cris}}$ -algebras, is endowed with a filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})$ by sub-sheaves, a Frobenius endomorphism and a quasi-nilpotent and integrable connection ∇ such that
 - i) ∇ satisfies the Griffith transversality property.
 - ii) $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ is exactly the sub-sheaf of \mathbb{B}_{cris} of horizontal sections for ∇ .
- d) For $i \geq 1$ we have $R^i v_* \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} = 0$.

We remark that property d) above is a deep result stating that the sheaf \mathbb{B}_{cris} is acyclic for the functor v_* . It is a consequence of theorem 1.2 and the results in [AB]. As we now have Fontaine sheaves on \mathfrak{X} we can start developing a Fontaine theory with sheaves. To start let \mathbb{L} denote a locally constant \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaf on X_K^{et} which, let us recall, we view via base change and u_* as a sheaf on $\text{PT}_{X_{\overline{K}}}$. We define $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) := v_*(\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})$. It is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_K} \otimes_K B_{\text{cris}}$ -modules on X_K^{et} endowed with a filtration, Frobenius endomorphism, quasi-nilpotent and integrable connection and a continuous G_K -action. Here \mathcal{O}_{X_K} denotes the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X[p^{-1}]$ on X . We set $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) := (\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))^{G_K}$.

Definition 1.3. We say that \mathbb{L} is a **crystalline sheaf** on X_K^{et} if

- $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -modules on X_K^{et} .
- The natural morphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$ is an isomorphism.

The definition 1.3 is the sheaf theoretic analogue of the usual definition of crystalline representations in p -adic Hodge theory. We prove that it coincides with the notions of ‘‘locally crystalline representations’’ in the relative setting due to [Bri] and with that of ‘‘associated sheaves’’ due to Faltings. If X is a formal scheme as at the beginning of this section and \mathbb{L} is a crystalline sheaf on X_K^{et} then $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a filtered convergent F -isocrystal on X_K^{et} in the sense of [B3] and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_K B_{\text{cris}}$. We remark that in the recent preprint [T1] T. Tsuji developed systematically a theory of crystalline étale local systems on schemes X_K in the case where X is the complement of a divisor with normal crossings in a proper formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K with semi-stable special fiber and such that the horizontal divisor has normal crossings also with the special fiber. The paper uses different methods and does not contain comparison isomorphisms. If X is a smooth formal scheme (and the horizontal divisor is trivial) our notion of a crystalline étale local system on X_K coincides with the one in [T1].

We can now list the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that X is a smooth p -adic formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K and let \mathbb{L} be a crystalline sheaf on X_K^{et} . For every $i \geq 0$ we have a natural isomorphism of δ -functors with*

values in B_{cris} -modules respecting the filtrations, Frobenii and the G_K -actions

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})).$$

The theorem 1.4 has two main applications, one which is the comparison isomorphism for smooth proper schemes over \mathcal{O}_K (theorem 1.5 below) and the other which is an application to modular forms, more precisely an overconvergent Eichler-Shimura isomorphism (see [AIS]).

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that X is a smooth proper scheme over \mathcal{O}_K and \mathbb{L} is a crystalline sheaf on X_K^{et} . For every $i \geq 0$ we have a canonical isomorphism of δ -functors with values in B_{cris} -modules, which respects the filtrations, the Frobenii and the G_K -actions*

$$H^i(X_K^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}} \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_K B_{\text{cris}}.$$

The theorem 1.5 is a consequence of theorem 1.4 and of the following two results:

- If X is a smooth, proper formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K then we have an isomorphism of filtered, Frobenius modules: $H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\overline{X}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_K B_{\text{cris}}$.

and

- The natural morphism of sheaves on \mathfrak{X} , $\mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ induces for every $i \geq 0$ canonical isomorphisms as B_{cris} -modules respecting all the structure $H^i(X_K^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}} \cong H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)$.

This last isomorphism which is also proved in [F3] as being one of the central and deep results of that paper is in our theory an elementary consequence of theorem 1.4 and of the criterion for “admissibility” of filtered, Frobenius modules in [CF].

Let us remark that in lemma 3.14 we prove that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline sheaf on X_K^{et} if and only if \mathbb{L} and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ are associated in Faltings’s sense. This and the fact that $H^i(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)$ is naturally isomorphic to the i -th cohomology group defined by Faltings shows that the comparison isomorphism of theorem 1.5 is the same as the one defined by Faltings, and hence it is the same as all the other period maps defined in the literature.

Finally, in a future work we are planning to show how to produce examples of crystalline sheaves and how to explicitly calculate their $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$. More precisely let X and Y denote smooth p -adic formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_K and suppose that $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a smooth proper morphism which is algebraizable Zariski locally on Y . We believe that we would be able to prove:

Theorem 1.6. *Let us suppose that \mathbb{M} is a crystalline sheaf on X_K^{et} and for every $i \geq 0$ let us denote by $\mathbb{L}_i := R^i f_{\text{et},*} \mathbb{M}$. Then \mathbb{L}_i is a crystalline sheaf on Y_K^{et} and we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, Y}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}_i) \cong \mathbb{R}^i f_{\text{cris},*}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, X}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{M}) \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet)$ of δ -functors with values in the category of filtered convergent F -isocrystals on Y_k .*

The reader will remark throughout the paper the presence of an auxiliary field M which is an extension of K contained in \overline{K} and which indexes all the objects appearing: \mathfrak{X}_M , $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$, $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}$ etc. If M is a finite extension of K , this allows us to prove the theorems above also for the base change of X to the ring of integers of M . Equivalently the above results are valid without

assuming that K is absolutely unramified but under the hypothesis that X (and the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in 1.6) is defined over $\mathbb{W}(k)$. Since the notations become more complicated and possibly obscure some of the simple ideas present in the proofs we have chosen to sketch these ideas in the introduction in the simplified assumption that K is unramified.

We would also like to point out that the methods presented here seem suitable for pursuing further inquiries into this problem. Namely we have already worked out the comparison theorems for schemes and formal schemes over the ring of integers of a finite extension K of \mathbb{Q}_p (hence removing the “unramified-ness” assumption present in this paper) with semi-stable special fibers and hope to be able to report on these results soon. Moreover we think that for smooth schemes over \mathcal{O}_K one may replace the locally constant \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaf \mathbb{L} on $X_K^{\text{ét}}$ by a constructible \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaf and obtain interesting comparison isomorphisms. We also believe that we should be able to derive *integral* comparison isomorphisms which would work better than the existing ones.

Acknowledgements We thank Ahmed Abbes for pointing out the error in [F3] (see the section 2.1) and for many helpful discussions and email exchanges on this subject and Luc Illusie for kindly providing us with copies of his correspondence with Deligne on Faltings’ topology. We are grateful to the two referees for the careful reading of the paper and for pointing out some mistakes and suggesting ways to remedy them. Some of their remarks have been incorporated in the article (e.g. see remark 2.12.) Finally, part of the work on this article was done while both authors were guests of L’Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris during the Galois semester 2010. We thank this institution for its hospitality.

1.2 Notations

Let $p > 0$ be a prime integer, \mathcal{O}_K a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and perfect residue field k . Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K} \subset \bar{K}$, let \bar{k} denote its residue field and $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ the normalization of \mathcal{O}_K in \bar{K} . Write G_K for the Galois group of \bar{K} over K . Fix a field extension $K \subset M \subset \bar{K}$. We write $M_0 \subseteq M$ for the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of M and \mathcal{O}_{M_0} for its ring of integers.

The following notations will be used throughout the paper (some of the objects denoted here will be defined in this very section and the rest in the next sections):

- Rings:

$$W_n := \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), A_{\text{inf}}^+ := A_{\text{inf}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), A_{\text{inf}} := A_{\text{inf}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), A_{\text{cris},n} := A_{\text{cris},n}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), A'_{\text{cris},n} := A'_{\text{cris},n}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), A_{\text{cris}} := A_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) = A'_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}), B_{\text{cris}} := B_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}).$$

- Sheaves on \mathfrak{X}_M :

$$\mathbb{W}_{n,M} := \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}), \mathbb{W}_n := \mathbb{W}_{n,\bar{K}}, \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+ := \{\mathbb{W}_{n,M}\}_n, \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} := \{\mathbb{W}_n\}_n.$$

We recall a few facts regarding the properties and (one of) the constructions of A_{cris} needed in the sequel. For details we refer to [Fo, §1&§2]. Choose a compatible sequence of roots $(p^{1/p^{n-1}})_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ (compatible means that $(p^{1/p^n})^p = p^{1/p^{n-1}}$, for all $n \geq 1$). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a ring homomorphism $\theta_n: W_n := \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ given by $(s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i \tilde{s}_i^{p^{n-1-i}}$ where $\tilde{s}_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ is a lift of s_i for every i . Write φ for Frobenius on W_n . Denote by $\tilde{p}_n := [p^{1/p^{n-1}}] \in W_n$ the Teichmüller lift of $p^{1/p^{n-1}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$. Let $\xi_n := \tilde{p}_n - p \in W_n$,

then ξ_n generates $\text{Ker}(\theta_n)$. Denote by $A_{\text{cris},n}$ the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP-envelope of W_n with respect to the ideal $\text{Ker}(\theta_n)$ (where $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP-envelope means that the divided powers are compatible with the standard divided powers on $p\mathbb{W}_n(k)$). Note that $A_{\text{cris},n}$ is naturally endowed with an action of G_K . Denote by $\text{Ker}(\theta_n)^{\text{DP}}$ the PD-ideal on $A_{\text{cris},n}$. Note that $\varphi(\xi_n) = \varphi(\tilde{p}_n - p) = (\tilde{p}_n^p - p^p) + (p^p - p)$. Since $\tilde{p}_n^p - p^p \in \text{Ker}(\theta_n)$ and p admits divided powers in $A_{\text{cris},n}$, also $\varphi(\xi_n)$ does. Thus Frobenius on W_n extends to an operator called Frobenius and denoted by φ , on $A_{\text{cris},n}$.

Let $\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ where the inverse limit is taken with respect to Frobenius. Put $A_{\text{inf}}^+ := \mathbb{W}(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \cong \varprojlim_{\infty \leftarrow n} W_n$ where the latter inverse limit is taken with respect to the map $u_{n+1}: W_{n+1} \rightarrow W_n$ defined by the natural projection composed with Frobenius. Remark that the maps θ_n are compatible i. e., $\theta_n = \theta_{n+1} \circ u_{n+1}$, that the sequence $\xi := \{\xi_n\}_n$ is compatible i.e., $u_{n+1}(\xi_{n+1}) = \xi_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, and that $\text{Ker}(\theta)$ is generated by ξ . Denote by $A_{\text{cris}} := \varprojlim_{\infty \leftarrow n} A_{\text{cris},n}$. It is the p -adic completion of the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP-envelope of A_{inf}^+ with respect to the ideal $\text{Ker}(\theta)$. We then have

$$A_{\text{cris}} = A_{\text{inf}}^+ \{ \langle \xi \rangle \} = A_{\text{inf}}^+ \{ \delta_0, \delta_1, \dots \} / (p\delta_0 - \xi^p, p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$$

where $\delta_i = \gamma^{i+1}(\xi)$ and γ is the application on the kernel of θ on A_{cris} given by $z \mapsto (p-1)!z^{[p]}$; cf. [Bri, Prop. 6.1.2]. Note that $\mathbb{W}_n(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \cong A_{\text{inf}}^+ / p^n A_{\text{inf}}^+$ since $A_{\text{inf}}^+ = \mathbb{W}(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}))$ and $\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ is a perfect ring by construction.

Lemma 1.7. *The kernel of the ring homomorphism $q_n: \mathbb{W}_n(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$ induced by \bar{q}_n is the ideal generated by $\{[\tilde{p}]^{p^n}, V([\tilde{p}]^{p^n}), V^2([\tilde{p}]^{p^n}), \dots, V^{n-1}([\tilde{p}]^{p^n})\}$. In particular*

$$A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \cong W_n[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots] / (p\delta_0 - \xi_{n+1}^p, p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$$

via the map which sends $\delta_i \mapsto \delta_i$ and induces on $\mathbb{W}_n(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}))$ the morphism $q_n: \mathbb{W}_n(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \rightarrow W_n$ associated to \bar{q}_n .

Proof. We prove the first claim. We have $\tilde{p}^{p^n} = (\xi + p)^{p^n} \equiv \xi^{p^n} \pmod{p^n A_{\text{inf}}^+}$ and $\xi^{p^n} = p^{p^n-1} \delta_0^{p^n-1} = 0 \pmod{p^n A_{\text{inf}}^+}$. The kernel of the projection $\bar{q}_n: \underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}) = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ on the $n+1$ -th factor of the limit is generated by \tilde{p}^{p^n} . This proves the lemma for $n=1$. The general case follows by induction on n using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \xrightarrow{V} \mathbb{W}_n(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_1(\underline{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now let us recall that $[\tilde{p}]^{p^n} = 0$ in $A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$ and similarly, for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have $V^i([\tilde{p}]^{p^n}) = p^i [\tilde{p}]^{p^{n-i}} = 0$ in $A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$. Then the second claim follows. \square

In particular $A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$ is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of W_n with respect to $\xi_{n+1} W_n = \text{Ker}(\theta_n \circ \varphi)$. We then get a surjective map of DP algebras

$$q_n: A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris},n}$$

sending $\xi_{n+1}^{[i]} \mapsto \xi_n^{[i]}$ and inducing Frobenius on W_n . We also have a map

$$u_n: A_{\text{cris},n+1} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$$

sending $\xi_{n+1}^{[i]} \mapsto \xi_{n+1}^{[i]}$ and inducing the natural projection $W_{n+1} \rightarrow W_n$.

We introduce the following ideal $\mathbb{I} \subset A_{\text{cris}}$. Let $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a compatible system of primitive p^n -th roots of unity: $\zeta_2 \neq 1$ and $\zeta_{n+1}^p = \zeta_n$. It defines an element $\varepsilon = (1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \dots) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$. Let $[\varepsilon] \in A_{\text{inf}}^+$ be its Teichmüller lift. Let \mathbb{I} be the ideal generated by $\{\varphi^{-n}([\varepsilon]) - 1\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the Teichmüller lifts $[x]$ of elements $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}})$ such that x_0 lies in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. It is proven in [Bri, Lem. 6.3.1] that $\mathbb{I}^2 = \mathbb{I} \bmod p^n A_{\text{cris}}$. Since $\theta([\varepsilon] - 1) = 0$, the element $[\varepsilon] - 1$ admits divided powers. In A_{cris} we have the following important element

$$t := \log([\varepsilon]) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)!([\varepsilon] - 1)^{[n]}.$$

We have $\varphi(t) = pt$ and for $\sigma \in G_K$, $\sigma(t) = \chi(\sigma)t$ where $\chi: G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ is the cyclotomic character defined by $\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{\chi(\sigma)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $B_{\text{cris}} := A_{\text{cris}}[1/t]$. Since t lies in $\text{Ker}(\theta)$, it admits divided powers in A_{cris} so that $t^p = p!t^{[p]}$ and p is invertible in B_{cris} . Then B_{cris} is a $\mathbb{W}(\overline{k})$ -algebra, endowed with an action of G_K , a Frobenius operator φ and a separated and exhaustive filtration $\text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}} := \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Fil}^{r+n} A_{\text{cris}} \cdot t^{-n}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2 Fontaine sheaves

Let X denote a smooth scheme over \mathcal{O}_K or a smooth p -adic formal scheme topologically of finite type, over \mathcal{O}_K . In this section we introduce several sites describing their underlying categories and giving pre-topology structures i. e., for each object, we describe the covering families. The topologies underlying the sites will be the topologies generated by the given pre-topologies. See [SGAIV, §II.1] for details.

2.1 Faltings' topos; the algebraic setting

Let us first treat the case when X is a scheme of finite type over \mathcal{O}_K . We denote by $X^{\text{ét}}$ the small étale site on X and by X_M^{fet} the finite étale site of X_M . Then $\text{Sh}(X^{\text{ét}})$ and $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{fet}})$ will denote the categories of sheaves of abelian groups on these sites, respectively.

Definition 2.1. Let E_{X_M} be the category defined as follows

i) the objects consist of pairs $(g: U \rightarrow X, f: W \rightarrow U_M)$ such that g is an étale morphism of finite type and f is a finite étale morphism. We will usually denote by (U, W) this object to shorten notations;

ii) a morphism $(U', W') \rightarrow (U, W)$ in E_{X_M} consists of a pair (α, β) , where $\alpha: U' \rightarrow U$ is a morphism over X and $\beta: W' \rightarrow W$ is a morphism commuting with $\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \text{Id}_M$.

Let us remark that the pair (X, X_M) is a final object of E_{X_M} . Moreover, finite projective limits are representable in E_{X_M} and, in particular, fibre products exist: the fibre product of the objects (U', W') and (U'', W'') over (U, W) is $(U' \times_U U'', W' \times_W W'')$. See [Err].

Faltings defined in [F3, p. 214] a pre-topology on E_{X_M} by defining a family of morphisms $\{(U_i, W_i) \longrightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I}$ to be a covering family if $\{U_i \longrightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering in X^{et} and $\{W_i \longrightarrow W\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering family in X_M^{fet} . He then defined the presheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on E_{X_M} by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) := \text{the normalization of } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \text{ in } \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$$

and stated that this was a sheaf. However, this is not true in general due to point b) of the following example. Moreover point c) below shows that even if one sheafified the presheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on Faltings' site the theory of "localizations" of sheaves, as developed later in this paper, would not work. It should be noticed, though, that even if the definition of the topology is not correct, the topos of sheaves described by Faltings coincides with the one defined in this paper.

Example 2.2. *Assume that $M = \bar{K}$. Let $p > 2$ be a prime and let us denote by $A := \mathbb{Z}_p[X, \frac{1}{X^2 + p}]$ and $B := \mathbb{Z}_p[X, Y, \frac{1}{X^2 + p}]/(Y^2 - X^2 - p)$. For $i = 1, 2$ we define $B_i := B[\frac{1}{Y + (-1)^i X}]$ and let f_i denote the composition of the natural \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra morphisms $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B_i$. We denote $U := \text{Spec}(A)$, $V := \text{Spec}(B)$, $U_i := \text{Spec}(B_i)$ and $W = W_i := \text{Spec}(B_{\bar{K}})$. Fix $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then we have*

a) *The pairs (U, W) and (U_i, W_i) are objects of $E_{U_{\bar{K}}}$ and if we denote by $F_i : (U, W) \longrightarrow (U_i, W_i)$ the morphism induced by the pair (f_i, Id) , then this morphism is a coverings in Faltings' sense.*

b) *For the covering above the presheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ does not satisfy the sheaf property.*

c) *Let us denote by \mathcal{F} the sheaf associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ on the topology defined by Faltings and by \mathcal{G} the sheaf $\mathcal{F}/p\mathcal{F}$. Then the natural map: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W)/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(U, W)$ is the zero map.*

Proof. a) Let us observe that

$$\bar{B} := B/pB \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X, 1/X]/((X + Y)(X - Y)) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X, 1/X] \times \mathbb{F}_p[X, 1/X],$$

and we let $\bar{V} := \text{Spec}(\bar{B}) \cong \bar{V}_1 \amalg \bar{V}_2$ where we have denoted by $\bar{V}_i \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p[X, 1/X])$ for $i = 1, 2$ the components of \bar{V} .

Then let us remark that $U_i \cong V - \bar{V}_i$. As $V \longrightarrow U$ is étale and surjective it follows that the morphisms induced by f_i , $U_i \longrightarrow U$ are étale and surjective. Moreover the natural \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra morphisms $B \longrightarrow B_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ induce isomorphisms as \bar{K} -algebras $B_{\bar{K}} \cong B_{1, \bar{K}} \cong B_{2, \bar{K}}$. Now

a) follows.

b) We fix $i \in \{1, 2\}$ as in the statement and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) & \xrightarrow{h_i} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i) & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i \times_U U_i, W_i \times_W W_i) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B_{\bar{K}} & \longrightarrow & B_{i, \bar{K}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & B_{i, \bar{K}} \otimes_{B_{\bar{K}}} B_{i, \bar{K}} \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows in the diagram are inclusions therefore they are injective. Moreover γ is defined by: $\gamma(b) := b \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes b = 0$ for all $b \in B_{i, \bar{K}}$ in view of the remarks above, therefore $\gamma = 0$ which implies that $g_i = 0$. If $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ were a sheaf then the top sequence would be exact, i.e. h_i would be an isomorphism. Thus all elements of $B_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i)$ would be integral over A . In particular as B_i is a finitely generated A -algebra, B_i would be finite over A . Since $U = \text{Spec}(A)$

is connected the degree of B_i as an A -module would be constant. But $A/pA \rightarrow B_i/pB_i$ is an isomorphism while $B_{i,\overline{K}}$ is a free $A_{\overline{K}}$ -module of rank 2. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is not a sheaf.

c) As \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, for each $i = 1, 2$ we have a commutative diagram with the bottom row exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) & \xrightarrow{h_i} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i) & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i \times_U U_i, W_i \times_W W_i) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(U, W) & \xrightarrow{u_i} & \mathcal{F}(U_i, W_i) & \xrightarrow{v_i} & \mathcal{F}(U_i \times_U U_i, W_i \times_W W_i) \end{array}$$

The arguments at b) above show that the map $g_i = 0$ therefore the image of the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i, W_i)$ is contained in the image of u_i . More precisely the natural map: $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U, W)$ has the property that $\varphi = w_i \circ h_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $w_i : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U, W)$ is the map defined by the above diagram.

We remark that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) = B$ as B is integral over A and being smooth it is normal, similarly $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U_i, W_i) = B_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover we have $h_i = f_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. As \mathcal{F} is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W)/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U, W)$ is the composition $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W)/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U, W)/p\mathcal{F}(U, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U, W)$. But the map

$$\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W)/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U, W) \cong \overline{B} \cong \overline{B}_1 \times \overline{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U, W)/p\mathcal{F}(U, W)$$

induced by φ has the property that it factors through \overline{f}_i , for $i = 1, 2$ and $\overline{f}_i : \overline{B} \rightarrow \overline{B}_i$ is the natural projection on the i -th factor. We deduce that $\overline{\varphi} = 0$. \square

Faltings' site PT_{X_M} . Let X be a scheme of finite type over \mathcal{O}_K and let M be an algebraic extension of K . We denote by E_{X_M} the category defined in definition 2.1.

Definition 2.3. Let $\{(U_i, W_i) \rightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of morphisms in E_{X_M} . We say that it is of type α respectively β if:

a) $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering in X^{et} and $W_i \cong W \times_U U_i$ for every $i \in I$. Here the morphism $W \rightarrow U$ used in the fibre product is the composition $W \rightarrow U_M \rightarrow U$.

or

β) $U_i \cong U$ for all $i \in I$ and $\{W_i \rightarrow W\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering in X_M^{fet} .

We endow E_{X_M} with the topology T_{X_M} **generated** by the families of type α and β described in definition 2.3 and denote by \mathfrak{X}_M the associated site. We call T_{X_M} Faltings' topology and \mathfrak{X}_M Faltings' site associated to (X, M) . Note that T_{X_M} can be described differently as follows.

Definition 2.4. A family $\{(U_{ij}, W_{ij}) \rightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ of morphisms in E_{X_M} is called a **strict covering family** if

a) For each $i \in I$ there exists an étale morphism $U_i \rightarrow X$ such that we have isomorphisms $U_i \cong U_{ij}$ over X for every $j \in J$.

b) $\{U_i \rightarrow U\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering in X^{et} .

c) For every $i \in I$ the family $\{W_{ij} \rightarrow W \times_U U_i\}_{j \in J}$ is a covering in X_M^{fet} .

To simplify notations we will henceforth denote a strict covering family $\{(U_{ij}, W_{ij}) \rightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ by $\{(U_i, W_{ij}) \rightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$.

Remark 2.5. The families of type α and β in definition 2.3 are examples of strict coverings. Conversely a strict covering family $\{(U_i, W_{ij}) \longrightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$ can be obtained as a composite of the covering $\{(U_i, W \times_U U_i) \longrightarrow (U, W)\}_{i \in I, j \in J}$, which is of type α) and for every $i \in I$ the covering $\{(U_i, W_{ij}) \longrightarrow (U_i, W \times_U U_i)\}_{j \in J}$, which is of type β). In particular the topology generated by the strict coverings coincides with T_{X_M} .

Remark 2.6. The morphisms $(U_i, W_i) \longrightarrow (U, W)$, for $i = 1, 2$ in example 2.2 are **not** coverings in the sense of 2.3. In fact, it follows from 2.11 and 2.2 Faltings' topology associated to (X, \bar{K}) is coarser than the one originally introduced by Faltings.

Remark 2.7. The category E_{X_M} with the strict covering families do not form a pre-topology. Indeed, since finite projective limits exist in E_{X_M} the strict covering families satisfy PT0, PT1 and PT3 of [SGAIV, Def II 1.3] but contrary to what was written in [AI] and as was pointed out to us by A. Abbes, they do not satisfy PT2. However, one may define tautologically the generated pre-topology PT_{X_M} by considering as covering families the composite of finitely many strict coverings (or of finitely many families of type α) and β) of definition 2.3). The associated topology is T_{X_M} .

Remark 2.8. It follows from [SGAIV, Cor. II 2.3] or by a direct check using the definitions that a pre-sheaf on E_{X_M} is a sheaf if and only if it satisfies the usual exactness property for the strict covering families.

The next lemma and [SGAIV, Remark II 3.3] show that it is enough to use strict covering families in order to sheafify a presheaf on E_{X_M} , as done in [AI].

Lemma 2.9. *Let (U, W) be an object of E_{X_M} . Then the strict covering families are cofinal in the collection of all covering families of (U, W) in PT_{X_M} .*

Proof. See [Err]. □

Definition 2.10. We define the pre-sheaf of \mathcal{O}_M -algebras on E_{X_M} , denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$, by

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U, W) := \text{the normalization of } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) \text{ in } \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W).$$

We also define the sub pre-sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ whose sections over $(U, W) \in E_{X_M}$ consist of elements $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U, W)$ for which there exist a finite unramified extension $K \subset L$, a finite étale morphism $U' \rightarrow U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L$ and a morphism $W \rightarrow U'_K \otimes_L M$ over U_M such that x , viewed in $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$, lies in the image of $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'})$.

We have

Proposition 2.11. *The pre-sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ are sheaves.*

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ is a sheaf. Let $\{(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i}) \longrightarrow (U, W)\}_{\alpha,i}$ be a strict covering family. We set $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \times_U U_\beta$ and $W_{\alpha\beta ij} := W_{\alpha,i} \times_W W_{\beta,j}$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U, W) & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_{i,\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \prod_{(\alpha,i),(\beta,j)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U_{\alpha\beta}, W_{\alpha\beta ij}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{\alpha,i} \Gamma(W_{\alpha,i}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\alpha,i}}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{(\alpha,i),(\beta,j)} \Gamma(W_{\alpha\beta ij}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\alpha\beta ij}}) \end{array}$$

Since the $\{U_\alpha \rightarrow U\}_\alpha$ is a covering in $X^{\text{ét}}$ and for every α , $\{W_{\alpha,i} \rightarrow W \times_U U_\alpha\}_i$ is a covering in $(W \times_U U_{\alpha,M})^{\text{ét}}$ it follows that $\{W_{\alpha,i} \rightarrow W\}_{\alpha,i}$ is a covering in $X_M^{\text{ét}}$. In particular the bottom row of the above diagram is exact. Moreover the vertical maps are all inclusions therefore f is injective, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ is a separable pre-sheaf. Let $x \in \text{Ker}(g)$. Then $x \in \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \cap \prod_{\alpha,i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i})$. We are left to prove that x is integral over $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$. Without loss of

generality we may assume that W is connected and that $U_\alpha = \text{Spec}(A_\alpha)$ is affine for every α . Note that there exists a finite extension $K \subset L$ in M and a finite and étale morphism $W' \rightarrow U_L$ so that its base change via $L \rightarrow M$ is $W \rightarrow U_M$ and $x \in \Gamma(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'})$. Let us denote by x_α the image of x in $\Gamma(W' \times_U U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{W' \times_U U_\alpha})$. Because the family $\{W_{\alpha,i} \rightarrow W \times_U U_\alpha\}_i$ is a covering family in $(W \times_U U_{\alpha,M})^{\text{ét}}$ and the image $x_{\alpha,i}$ of x_α in $\Gamma(W_{\alpha,i}, \mathcal{O}_{W_{\alpha,i}})$ is in fact in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i})$, hence integral over A_α , it follows that x_α is integral over A_α . Let $P_\alpha(X) \in A_\alpha[X]$ be the (monic) characteristic polynomial of x_α over A_α with respect to the finite and étale extension $W' \times_U U_\alpha \rightarrow U_{\alpha,K}$ (see remark 2.12 below.) Then $P_\alpha(X)|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}} = P_\beta(X)|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}}$ for all α and β and, therefore, there is a monic polynomial $P(X) \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ such that $P(X)|_{U_\alpha} = P_\alpha(X)$. As $P(x)|_{U_\alpha} = P_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 0$ for every α it follows that $P(x) = 0$, i.e. that x is integral over $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ by construction, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ is a separated pre-sheaf. Using the previous notations, it suffices to show that given W connected and U_α 's affine and given $x \in \prod_{i,\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i})$, whose image in $\prod_{i,\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(U_\alpha, W_{\alpha,i})$ lies in $\text{Ker}(g)$, then $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(U, W)$. As before for every α let x_α be the image of x in $\Gamma(W_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{W_\alpha})$. By replacing the U_α 's by a finite subcover, we may assume that we have only finitely many α 's. By definition there is a finite unramified extension $K \subset L'$ such that each x_α is defined over a finite and étale cover of $U_\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$. Possibly after enlarging L , we may assume that $L' \subset L$. Let W'_α (resp. Z'_α) be the spectrum of the normalization of the sub-algebra $A_\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} L[x_\alpha]$ (resp. $A_\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L'}[x_\alpha]$) in $\Gamma(W \times_U U_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{W \times_U U_\alpha})$. By construction Z'_α is finite and étale over $U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ and we have morphisms $W'_\alpha \rightarrow Z'_{\alpha,K} \otimes_{L'} L$ over $U_{\alpha,L}$. Moreover, $x_\alpha \in \Gamma(W'_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{W'_\alpha})$ is in the image of $\Gamma(Z'_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{Z'_\alpha})$. Note that $W'_\alpha \times_{U_\alpha} U_{\alpha\beta} \cong W'_\beta \times_{U_\beta} U_{\alpha\beta}$ so that the various W'_α glue to a finite and étale morphism $W' \rightarrow U_L$ and there is a morphism $W \rightarrow W'$ as schemes over U_L such that $x \in \Gamma(W', \mathcal{O}_{W'})$. Moreover, also the various Z'_α glue to a scheme Z' finite and étale over $U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ and we have a morphism $W' \rightarrow Z' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} L$. Then x is in the image of $\Gamma(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'})$ and we conclude that $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(U, W)$ as claimed. \square

The following argument was offered by the referee of the paper.

Remark 2.12. Let A be a noetherian normal domain and let B be the integral closure of A in a finite étale extension of $A[1/p]$. Let $x \in B[1/p]$ be an element and let $Q(X) \in A[1/p][X]$ be the characteristic polynomial of x (it exists as $B[1/p]$ is a finitely generated projective $A[1/p]$ -module.) Then $x \in B$ if and only if $Q(X) \in A[X]$.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear and to prove necessity, as A is a noetherian normal domain, it is enough to prove that $Q(X) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, for all \mathfrak{p} prime ideal of height 1 of A which contains p . Hence we may assume that A is a DVR and in this case B is a free A -module of finite rank and $Q(X)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix associated to the endomorphism $B \rightarrow B; b \rightarrow xb$ with respect to a basis of B over A . Therefore $Q(X) \in A[X]$. \square

2.2 Faltings' topos; the formal setting

Let now X denote a formal scheme. Denote by $X^{\text{ét}}$ the étale site on X and by $\text{Sh}(X^{\text{ét}})$ the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $X^{\text{ét}}$. Of particular importance will be the so called *small affine opens* of $X^{\text{ét}}$. These are objects \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ is affine and connected and there are parameters $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$ such that the map $R_0 := \mathcal{O}_K\{T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}\} \subset R_{\mathcal{U}}$ is formally étale.

The site $X_{M,\text{ét}}$. For every finite extension $K \subset L$ in M let $X_{L,\text{ét}}$ be the site of étale and quasi-compact morphisms $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow X_L$ of L -rigid analytic spaces. Here X_K denotes the K -rigid analytic space associated to X and X_L is its base change to L . We refer to [dJvdP, §3.1&3.2] for generalities about étale morphisms of rigid analytic spaces. Given extensions $L \subset L'$ of K contained in M the base change from L to L' provides a morphism of sites $X_{L,\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{L',\text{ét}}$. We then get a fibred site $X_{*,\text{ét}}$ over the category of finite extensions of K contained in M in the sense of [SGAIV, §VI.7.2.1]. We let $X_{M,\text{ét}}$ be the site defined by the projective limit of the fibred site $X_{*,\text{ét}}$; see [SGAIV, Def. VI.8.2.5].

We can give the following explicit description. The objects in $X_{M,\text{ét}}$ consist of pairs (\mathcal{W}, L) where L is a finite extension of K contained in M and $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow X \otimes_K L$ is an étale and quasi-compact map of L -rigid analytic spaces. Given (\mathcal{W}, L) and (\mathcal{W}', L') define $\text{Hom}_{X_{M,\text{ét}}}((\mathcal{W}', L'), (\mathcal{W}, L))$ as the direct limit $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_{L''}(\mathcal{W}' \otimes_{L'} L'', \mathcal{W} \otimes_L L'')$ over all finite extensions $L'' \subset M$, containing both L and L' , of the morphism $\mathcal{W}' \otimes_{L'} L'' \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes_L L''$ as rigid analytic spaces over $X \otimes_K L''$. The coverings of a pair (\mathcal{W}, L) in $X_{M,\text{ét}}$ are finite families of pairs $\{(\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}, L_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha}$ over (\mathcal{W}, L) such that $L \subset L_{\alpha}$ for every α and there exists a finite extension of K , contained in M and containing L_{α} for every α such that the induced map $\coprod_{\alpha} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha} \otimes_{L_{\alpha}} L' \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes_L L'$ is surjective.

The site $\mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$. Let $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ be an étale map topologically of finite type of p -adic formal schemes. Define $\mathcal{U}^{*,\text{fet}}$ to be the following site fibred over the category of finite extensions $K \subset L$ contained in M . For every such L write $\mathcal{U}^{L,\text{fet}}$ to be the category of finite étale covers $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_L$ as L -rigid analytic spaces. Given extensions $L \rightarrow L'$ we consider the base change map $\mathcal{U}^{L,\text{fet}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{L',\text{fet}}$. Define $\mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$ as the projective limit site. For notational purposes we write (\mathcal{W}, L) , or simply \mathcal{W} , for an object of $\mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$. In the first notation we implicitly assume that $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{U}^{L,\text{fet}}$. We refer to [AI, §4.1] for an explicit description. Note that the fiber product of two pairs over a given one exists in $\mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$ and, if those are defined in $\mathcal{U}_{L,\text{fet}}$ for some L , it coincides with the image of the fibre product in $\mathcal{U}_{L,\text{fet}}$.

Let $\mathcal{U}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_1$ be a map of formal schemes over X . Assume that they are étale over X . We then have a functor $\mathcal{U}_{1,*,\text{fet}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{2,*,\text{fet}}$ of sites fibred over the category of finite extensions $K \subset L$ contained in M . We let

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2} : \mathcal{U}_{1,M,\text{fet}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{2,M,\text{fet}}$$

be the induced morphisms of projective limits. It is given on objects by

$$(\mathcal{W}, L) \mapsto (\mathcal{W} \times_{\mathcal{U}_{1,K}} \mathcal{U}_{2,K}, L).$$

The category E_{X_M} and Faltings' topology T_{X_M} . Define E_{X_M} to be the category of pairs $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ where $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ is an étale map of formal schemes and \mathcal{W} is an object of $\mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$. A morphism of

pairs $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is defined to be a morphism $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ as formal schemes over X and a map $\mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \times_{\mathcal{U}_K} \mathcal{U}'_K$ in $\mathcal{U}'_{M, \text{fet}}$.

We define strict covering families exactly as in definition 2.4 and Faltings' topology \mathbb{T}_{X_M} to be the topology generated by the strict covering families. We call the associated site the locally Galois site attached to the data (X, M) and denote it by \mathfrak{X}_M .

We define the pre-sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ on E_{X_M} as in definition 2.10. The analogue of proposition 2.11 holds in our formal context i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ are sheaves.

2.3 Continuous functors. Localization functors

We define:

- I.a if X is a scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , we have $u_{X,M}: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow X_{M, \text{et}}$ with $u_{X,M}(U, W) := W$;
- I.b if X is a p -adic formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K , let $u_{X,M}: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow X_{M, \text{et}}$ be $u_{X,M}(\mathcal{U}, (\mathcal{W}, L)) := (\mathcal{W}, L)$;
- II.a if X is a scheme of finite type over \mathcal{O}_K , let $v_{X,M}: X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_M$ be given by $v_{X,M}(U) := (U, U_M)$;
- II.b if X is a formal scheme locally topologically of finite type over \mathcal{O}_K , we have $v_{X,M}: X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_M$ given by $v_{X,M}(\mathcal{U}) := (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_K)$;

Let $K \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \overline{K}$ be field extensions. Define

- III $\beta_{M_1, M_2}: \mathfrak{X}_{M_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{M_2}$ by $\beta_{M_1, M_2}(U, W) = (U, W \otimes_{M_1} M_2)$ (resp. $\beta_{M_1, M_2}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ equal to $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ viewed in \mathfrak{X}_{M_2}) in the algebraic (resp. formal) setting.

It is clear that the above functors send covering families to covering families and commute with fiber products. In particular they define continuous functors of sites by [SGAIV, Prop. III.1.6]. They also send final objects to final objects so that they induce morphisms of the associated topoi of sheaves.

Following [Err] we define a geometric point of \mathfrak{X} to be a pair (x, y) where x is a geometric point of X and y is a geometric point of X_K specializing to x i.e., a geometric point of the henselization of X at x . In loc. cit., we define the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{(x,y)}$ of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathfrak{X} to be the direct limit $\lim \mathcal{F}(U, W)$ over all pairs $((U, x'), (W, y'))$ where x' is a point of U mapping to x and y' is a point of W specializing to x' and mapping to y . We proved in loc. cit. that there are enough geometric point in \mathfrak{X} i.e., that a sequence of sheaves is exact if and only if the induced sequence on stalks is exact for all geometric points.

Lemma 2.13. *Both in the algebraic and in the formal setting we have an isomorphism of sheaves $v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ on \mathfrak{X}_M .*

Proof. Let Q be the pre-sheaf on \mathfrak{X} defined by $Q(U, W) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ if $W \neq \phi$ and $Q(U, \phi) = 0$. It is a separated pre-sheaf. Note that if $W \neq \phi$, (U, U_K) is the initial object in the category of all pairs (U', W') admitting a morphism $(U, W) \rightarrow (U', W')$ in \mathfrak{X} . Thus, $v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is the sheaf on \mathfrak{X} associated to the pre-sheaf Q . Note also that we have a natural map $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$. Let

$a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(U, W)$ and view it in $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$. By definition there exists a finite extension $K \subset L$ in M and a finite and étale morphism $U' \rightarrow U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L$ so that we have a map $W \rightarrow U'_K \otimes_L M$ over U_M and a is in the image of $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_{U'})$ in $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$. Note that U' is a direct factor of $U' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L$ so that a is in the image of $Q(U', W)$ in $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ as wanted. This proves that the natural morphism $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ is surjective. To prove injectivity let (U, W) be such that U is connected and $W \neq \phi$. Since the composition

$$Q(U, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(U, W) \subset \Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$$

is injective we deduce that the first map is injective. It follows that the induced morphism from the sheaf associated to Q to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ is injective. \square

The localization functors. For this section we suppose that X is either a smooth scheme or a smooth formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K . Let \mathcal{U} be a connected affine open in the étale site of X with underlying algebra $R_{\mathcal{U}}$. Write $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M := \prod_{i=1}^n R_{\mathcal{U},i}$ with $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U},i})$ connected. Fix a geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}_i = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{U},i})$ of $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U},i})$ and denote by $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i}$ the union of all finite normal $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ sub-algebras of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{U},i}$, which are finite and étale over $R_{\mathcal{U},i}$ after inverting p . We let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},i}$ be the Galois group of $R_{\mathcal{U},i} \subset \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. Eventually, let $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}} := \prod_{i=1}^n \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i}$ and let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M} := \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},i}.$$

Let $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M})$ (resp. $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M})^{\mathbb{N}}$) be the category of discrete abelian groups (resp. the category of inverse systems of finite abelian groups indexed by \mathbb{N}) with continuous action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}$. We have natural functors, which we'll call localization functors

$$\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M})^{\mathbb{N}}$$

defined as follows (we only define the functor in the case X is a scheme over \mathcal{O}_K as above and leave it to the reader to fill in the details for the other cases): if $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)$ is a sheaf of abelian groups, its localization is $\mathcal{G}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{G}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i})$ where $\mathcal{G}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i}) := \varinjlim \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \text{Spec}(S))$, for S running over all $R_{\mathcal{U},i}$ sub-algebras of $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ which are finite and étale. It is a set with the discrete topology and it is endowed with a continuous action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}$. The objects $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathfrak{X}_M , with $\mathcal{W} = \text{Spec}(S)$ and $R_{\mathcal{U},i} \rightarrow S \subset \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$, correspond to finite index sub-groups $G_{\mathcal{W}} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},i}$. For any such, we can recover $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ from the localization of \mathcal{G} by the formula $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{G}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U},i})^{G_{\mathcal{W}}}$. This allows to recover $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ for every $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_M$ finite and étale (see [AI, Lemma 4.5.3]).

Lemma 2.14. *Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf on \mathfrak{X}_{M_1} . Let $M_1 \subset M_2$ be a Galois field extension. Then*

- i. *the sheaf $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathcal{G})$ coincides with the pre-sheaf $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$;*
- ii. *for every object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathfrak{X}_{M_1} the group $\beta_{M_1, M_2, *}(\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathcal{G}))(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is endowed with an action of $\text{Gal}(M_2/M_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = (\beta_{M_1, M_2, *}(\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathcal{G}))(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))^{\text{Gal}(M_2/M_1)}$.*

iii. take $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ to be a connected affine open in the étale site of X . Then

$$\beta_{M_1, M_2, *} (\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\mathcal{G})) (\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathcal{G}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{[M_2: M_1]}.$$

Proof. We prove the statements in the formal case, leaving the algebraic case to the reader.

(i) Given an object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathfrak{X}_{M_2} , the group $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is $\lim_{\mathcal{W}'} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}')$ where the direct limit is taken over all objects $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}') \in \mathfrak{X}_{M_1}$ and all morphisms from $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ to $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}')$ in \mathfrak{X}_{M_2} . Note that \mathcal{W} is finite and étale over \mathcal{U}_L for some finite extension $K \subset L$ contained in M_2 . In particular it is a finite and étale over $\mathcal{U}_{L'}$ for $L' := M_1 \cap L$. Thus the direct limit admits as final object the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ with $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ viewed as an object of \mathfrak{X}_{M_1} . The first claim follows; see also [AI, Pf. Prop. 4.4.2(4)].

(ii) Take an object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathfrak{X}_{M_1} . Assume that \mathcal{W} is finite and étale over \mathcal{U}_L with $L \subset M_1$. Then $\beta_{M_1, M_2, *} (\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\mathcal{G})) (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ coincides with the direct limit $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}_{L'})$ over all finite extensions $L \subset L' \subset M_2$ where $\mathcal{W}_{L'}$ is considered as an étale covering of $\mathcal{U}_{L'}$ for $L'' := L' \cap M_1$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(M_2/M_1)$ acts on this set and the invariants under $\text{Gal}(L'M_1/M_1)$ are exactly $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. The claim follows.

(iii) It follows from (ii) and the fact that $\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}[p^{-1}] \otimes_{M_1} M_2 = \overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}[p^{-1}]^{[M_2: M_1]}$. \square

2.4 The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M}^+$.

Let us recall the following definitions from §5 of [AI]. Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ the inverse system of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_M -algebras $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}\}_n \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\mathbb{W}_{s, M} := \mathbb{W}_s(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})$; it is the sheaf $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})^s$ with ring operations defined by Witt polynomials and the transition maps in the inverse system defined by Frobenius. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M}^+$ in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the inverse system of sheaves of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras $\{\mathbb{W}_{n, M}\}_n$ where the transition maps are defined as the composite of the natural projection $\mathbb{W}_{n+1, M} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, M}$ and Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}_{n, M}$. Note that $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M}^+$ is endowed with a Frobenius operator, denoted by φ , and is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras.

If $M_1 \subset M_2$ is a field extension, it follows from 2.14 that we have a natural isomorphism $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_2}}$. In particular we have a natural map

$$\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\mathbb{W}_{s, M_1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{s, M_2}$$

which is an isomorphism since β_{M_1, M_2}^* is exact. In particular we have natural isomorphisms of inverse systems of sheaves $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1}}) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_2}}$ and $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* (\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M_1}^+) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M_2}^+$.

Proposition 2.15. *Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine object of the site $X^{\text{ét}}$; see §2.2 for the definition. Then the natural maps*

$$a) \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) =: \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}),$$

$$b) \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}^+(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \mathbb{W}_{n, M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) =: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M}^+(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

are isomorphisms.

We'll only prove the result in the formal case and leave it to the reader to repeat the arguments in the algebraic case. First of all we prove

Lemma 2.16. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pre-sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}$ is separated i. e., if $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is a covering, the natural map*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')$$

is injective.

Proof. The lemma is a direct consequence of [A] Miscellany (1.8), (iv) or in this particular case one may reason as follows. We write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \cup_i S_i$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}') = \cup_j S'_j$) as the union of normal and finite R_U -algebras (resp. $R_{U'}$ -algebras), étale after inverting p such that for every i there exists j_i so that S_i is contained in S'_{j_i} and the map $\text{Spec}(S'_{j_i}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_i)$ is surjective on prime ideals containing p . Let $x \in S_i \cap p^n S'_{j_i}$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset S_i$ be a prime ideal over p and let $\mathcal{P}' \subset S'_{j_i}$ be a height one prime ideal over it. Then $x \in S_{i,\mathcal{P}} \cap p^n S'_{j_i,\mathcal{P}'}$. Hence $x \in p^n S_{i,\mathcal{P}}$. Thus x lies in the intersection of all height one prime ideals of S_i so that $x \in S_i$. We conclude that the map $S_i/p^n S_i \rightarrow S'_{j_i}/p^n S'_{j_i}$ is injective. The claim follows. \square

The lemma implies that we have an injective map

$$\overline{R}_U/p^n \overline{R}_U = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\overline{R}_U)/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\overline{R}_U) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U).$$

The proposition follows then from the following

Lemma 2.17. *1) The cokernel of $\overline{R}_U/p^n \overline{R}_U \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$ is annihilated by the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$.*

2) The image of the map $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$ factors via

$$\overline{R}_U/p^n \overline{R}_U \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U).$$

3) The image of Frobenius on $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$ factors via $\overline{R}_U/p \overline{R}_U \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$.

Proof. It follows from 2.16 that the value of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}$ on $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is given by the direct limit, over all coverings $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')$ of $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ with \mathcal{U}' affine, of the elements b in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')$ such that the image of b in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}'', \mathcal{W}'')/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}(\mathcal{U}'', \mathcal{W}'')$ is 0, where $(\mathcal{U}'', \mathcal{W}'')$ is the fiber product of $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}')$ with itself over $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. Hence

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R}_U) = \lim_{S,T} \text{Ker}_{S,T,n}$$

where the notation is as follows. The direct limit is taken over all normal $R_{U,\infty}$ sub-algebras S of \overline{R}_U , finite and étale after inverting p over $R_{U,\infty}[1/p]$, all affine covers $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and all normal extensions $R_{U',\infty} \otimes_{R_U} S \rightarrow T$, finite, étale and Galois after inverting p . Eventually, we put $\mathcal{U}'' := \text{Spf}(R_{U''})$ to be the fiber product of \mathcal{U}' with itself over \mathcal{U} i. e., $R_{U''} := \widehat{R_{U'} \otimes_{R_U} R_{U'}}$. We let $\text{Ker}_{S,T,n} := \text{Ker} \left(T/p^n T \rightrightarrows \widetilde{T \otimes_S T} / p^n \widetilde{T \otimes_S T} \right)$, where $\widetilde{T \otimes_S T}$ is the normalization of

$T \otimes_S T$. Write \widetilde{T}_S for the normalization of $T \otimes_{(R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}, \infty} S)} T$. We have a natural morphism $\widetilde{T \otimes_S T} \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_S$ of $R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty$ -algebras. Let

$$\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n} := \text{Ker} \left(T/p^n T \rightrightarrows \widetilde{T}_S/p^n \widetilde{T}_S \right).$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})(\overline{R_{\mathcal{U}}}) \subset \lim_{S,T} \text{Ker}'_{S,T,n}.$$

Study of $\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n}$. For every S and T as above, write $G_{S,T}$ for the Galois group of $T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ over $S \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}, \infty} R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. Then \widetilde{T}_S is simply the product $\prod_{g \in G_{S,T}} T$. Hence we have

$$\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n} = \text{Ker} \left(T/p^n T \rightrightarrows \prod_{g \in G_{S,T}} \frac{T}{p^n T} \right) = (T/p^n T)^{G_{S,T}},$$

where the two maps in the display are $a \mapsto (a, \dots, a)$ and $a \mapsto (g(a))_{g \in G_{S,T}}$.

Study of $\text{Coker}(S/p^n S \rightarrow \text{Ker}_{S,T,n})$. For the rest of this proof we make the following notations: if B is a normal $R_{\mathcal{U}, \infty}$ -algebra we denote by $B' := B \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}, \infty} R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty = B \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{U}'}$, also $B'' := B' \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty} R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty = B' \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}'}} R_{\mathcal{U}''}$ (the second equalities above follow from [AI, Lemma 6.19]). Note that B' and B'' are normal. Indeed, $B = \cup B_i$ is the union of finite and normal $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ -algebras B_i . Since $R_{\mathcal{U}'}$ is an excellent ring by [Va], it follows from [EGAIV, §7.8.3(ii)] that each B_i is excellent. Thanks to [EGAIV, §7.8.3(v)] we conclude that $B_i \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{U}'}$ is normal since it is the p -adic completion of an étale B_i -algebra of finite type. Thus, $B' := B \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{U}'}$ is normal as well. Similarly one shows that B'' is normal.

We then get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & S/p^n S & \longrightarrow & S'/p^n S' & \rightrightarrows & S''/p^n S'' \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ker}_{S,T,n} & \longrightarrow & T/p^n T & \rightrightarrows & \widetilde{T \otimes_S T/p^n T \otimes_S T} \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ker}'_{S,T,n} & \longrightarrow & T/p^n T & \rightrightarrows & \widetilde{T}_S/p^n \widetilde{T}_S. \end{array}$$

The top row is exact by étale descent and the middle and bottom rows are exact by construction. Since $S' \subset T$ and $S'' \subset T''$ are finite extensions of normal rings, the maps α and β are injective. Let us remark that the image of $S'/p^n S'$ in $\widetilde{T}_S/p^n \widetilde{T}_S = \widetilde{T \otimes_S T/p^n T \otimes_S T}$ is 0, therefore the image of α factors via $\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n} = (T/p^n T)^{G_{S,T}}$.

Define Z as $\text{Coker}(S'/p^n S' \rightarrow (T/p^n T)^{G_{S,T}}) \subset \text{Coker}(\alpha)$ and Y as $\text{Coker}(S/p^n S \rightarrow \text{Ker}_{S,T,n})$. Since $\text{Ker}_{S,T,n}$ is $G_{S,T}$ -invariant, the image of Y in $\text{Coker}(\alpha)$ is contained in Z . Since α and β are injective, the map $Y \rightarrow Z$ is injective. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S'/p^n S' = T^{G_{S,T}}/p^n T^{G_{S,T}} \longrightarrow (T/p^n T)^{G_{S,T}} \longrightarrow \text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T).$$

Then $Y \subset Z \subset \text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T)$. Since $R_{\mathcal{U}'}, \infty \rightarrow T$ is almost étale, the group $\text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T)$ is annihilated by any element of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$; see [F1, Thm. I.2.4(ii)]. This implies the first claim of lemma 2.17.

Study of the projection $\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n+1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}'_{S,T,n}$. It is induced by the natural projection $T/p^{n+1}T \rightarrow T/p^nT$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{p^{n+1}} & T & \longrightarrow & T/p^{n+1}T \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & p\downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{p^n} & T & \longrightarrow & T/p^nT \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Taking $G_{S,T}$ -invariants we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S'/p^{n+1}S' & \longrightarrow & (T/p^{n+1}T)^{G_{S,T}} & \longrightarrow & \text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S'/p^nS' & \longrightarrow & (T/p^nT)^{G_{S,T}} & \longrightarrow & \text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T). \end{array}$$

Since multiplication by p annihilates $\text{H}^1(G_{S,T}, T)$, we conclude that the projection $\text{Ker}'_{S,T,n+1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}'_{S,T,n}$ factors via S/p^nS . Hence the image of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$ factors via $\overline{R}_U/p^n\overline{R}_U \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$. This proves the second claim of the lemma.

Consider the map of sets $T/pT \rightarrow T/p^2T$ sending an element a to the p -th power \tilde{a}^p of a lift \tilde{a} of a in T/p^2T . It is well defined since it does not depend on the choice of the lift \tilde{a} . It induces a map $\rho: (T/pT)^{G_{S,T}} \rightarrow (T/p^2T)^{G_{S,T}}$. Frobenius on $(T/pT)^{G_{S,T}}$ factors as the composite of ρ and the projection $(T/p^2T)^{G_{S,T}} \rightarrow (T/pT)^{G_{S,T}}$. It follows from the above discussion that Frobenius $\text{Ker}'_{S,T,1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}'_{S,T,1}$ factors via S/pS . Hence the image of Frobenius on $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$ factors via $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \subset (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\overline{R}_U)$. This proves the last claim of the lemma and the proposition 2.15. \square

The map θ_M . We define a morphism $\theta_M: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ of objects of $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows.

We work in the formal setting. Fix a non-negative integer n . Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ be an object of \mathfrak{X}_M . Let $S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ and consider the diagram of sets and maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S/p^nS)^n & \xrightarrow{a_n} & S/p^nS \\ \downarrow b_n & & \\ (S/pS)^n & & \end{array}$$

where $a_n(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i s_i^{p^{n-1-i}}$ and b_n is the natural projection. Remark that there is a unique map of sets, $c_n: (S/pS)^n \rightarrow S/p^nS$ which makes the diagram commutative, i.e. such that $c_n \circ b_n = a_n$. Moreover, c_n induces a ring homomorphism $c_{n,(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})}: \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \rightarrow S/p^nS$ functorial in $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ i.e. a morphism of presheaves $\mathbb{W}_{n,M} \xrightarrow{c_n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$. Denote by $\theta_{M,n}$ the induced morphism on the associated sheaves.

Lemma 2.18. *a) The following diagram of sheaves and morphisms commutes for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{W}_{n+1,M} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{M,n+1}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M} \\ \downarrow u_n & & \downarrow v_n \\ \mathbb{W}_{n,M} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{M,n}} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M} \end{array}$$

where u_n is the composition of the natural projection and Frobenius and v_n is the natural projection.

b) For $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ connected open affine in X^{et} , the localization of

$$\theta_M = \{\theta_{M,n}\}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+ \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$$

is the map $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}: A_{\text{inf},M}^+(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of [Bri, Prop. 5.1.1].

Proof. a) It is enough to prove that the diagram commutes at the level of pre-sheaves, so let as before $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ be an object of \mathfrak{X}_M and let $S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. We are reduced to checking that the following diagram of sets and maps commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S/pS)^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{c_{n+1}} & S/p^{n+1}S \\ \downarrow u_n & & \downarrow v_n \\ (S/pS)^n & \xrightarrow{c_n} & S/p^nS \end{array}$$

where $u_n(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n) = (s_0^p, s_1^p, \dots, s_{n-1}^p)$. This is a simple calculation which we leave to the reader.

b) Using the proposition 2.15, the map $(\theta_{n,M})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is induced by $\lim_{\rightarrow, \mathcal{W}} c_{n,(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})}$ and therefore coincides with the map defined in [Bri, Prop. 5.1.1]. \square

Fix an object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ of \mathfrak{X}_M . Write $S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$.

Lemma 2.19. *Assume that $p^{1/p^{n-1}} \in S$. Then $\xi_n := [p^{1/p^{n-1}}] - p$ (see section 1.2) is a well defined element of $\mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$ and it generates the kernel of $c_n: \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \longrightarrow S/p^nS$.*

Proof. Let us first remark that $c_n(\xi_n) = (p^{1/p^{n-1}})^{p^{n-1}} - p = 0$, therefore $\xi_n \in \text{Ker}(c_n)$. We show that if $x \in \text{Ker}(c_n)$ then $x \in \xi_n \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$. We'll prove this statement by induction on n . For $n = 1$, $c_1 = \text{Id}$ and $\xi_1 = 0 \in \mathbb{W}_1(S/pS) = S/pS$. Let now $n > 1$ and suppose that our statement is true for $n - 1$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Ker}(c_n)$.

Claim 1 There are $\beta \in \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS)$ such that $\alpha = \xi_n \beta + \mathbb{V}(\gamma)$, where $\mathbb{V}: \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS) \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$ is Verschiebung, i.e. $\mathbb{V}(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}) = (0, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2})$, for $(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS)$.

To prove this claim let us write $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and so $0 = c_n(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^i \tilde{\alpha}_i^{p^{n-1-i}}$,

where if $x \in S/pS$, then \tilde{x} denotes any lift of x to S/p^nS . Therefore $\tilde{\alpha}_0^{p^{n-1}} = pc$ for some $c \in S$. Let $R_{\mathcal{U}} \subset S' (\subset S)$ be a finite and normal extension containing both $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ and $p^{1/p^{n-1}}$. For every height one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of S' we have $\tilde{\alpha}_0^{p^{n-1}}/p = c$ which lies in $S'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ so that $\tilde{\alpha}_0/p^{1/p^{n-1}} \in S'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since the latter is a dvr. We conclude that $\tilde{\alpha}_0/p^{1/p^{n-1}}$ lies in the intersection of the localizations of S' at every height one prime ideal so that, since S' is noetherian, we must have $\tilde{\alpha}_0/p^{1/p^{n-1}} \in S'$. Denote by β_0 the image of $\tilde{\alpha}_0/p^{1/p^{n-1}}$ in S/pS . Then $\alpha_0 = p^{1/p^{n-1}} \beta_0$ in S/pS . Let $\beta := (\beta_0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$ and let us compute: $\alpha - \xi_n \cdot \beta = \alpha - \tilde{p}_n \cdot \beta + p\beta = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) - (\alpha_0, 0, \dots, 0) + (0, \beta_0^p, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS))$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS)$ be such that $\alpha - \xi_n \beta = \mathbb{V}(\gamma)$. Then $c_n(\mathbb{V}(\gamma)) = c_n(\alpha - \xi_n \beta) = 0$ and $c_n(\mathbb{V}(\gamma)) = w_n(c_{n-1}(\gamma))$, where w_n is the isomorphism $w_n: S/p^{n-1}S \cong pS/p^nS$. Therefore

$c_{n-1}(\gamma) = 0$ and by the inductive hypothesis there is $\delta \in \mathbb{W}_{n-1}(S/pS)$ such that $\gamma = \xi_{n-1}\delta$. The lemma now follows from

Claim 2 $\mathbb{V}(\xi_{n-1}\delta) = \xi_n\mathbb{V}(\delta)$. This is a simple calculation with Witt vectors. Write $\delta = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{n-1}\delta &= (p^{1/p^{n-2}}, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-2}) - p\delta = \\ &= (p^{1/p^{n-2}}\delta_0, p^{1/p^{n-3}}\delta_1, \dots, p^{1/p}\delta_{n-2}) - p\delta.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{V}(\xi_{n-1}\delta) = (0, p^{1/p^{n-2}}\delta_0, \dots, p^{1/p}\delta_{n-2}) - \mathbb{V}(p\delta).$$

On the other hand,

$$\xi_n\mathbb{V}(\delta) = \xi_n \cdot (0, \delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-2}) = (0, p^{1/p^{n-2}}\delta_0, \dots, p^{1/p}\delta_{n-2}) - p(\mathbb{V}\delta),$$

which proves the second claim and the lemma because \mathbb{V} is an additive map. \square

Note that if $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is an object of $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$ then $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}} \subset S$ and $\tilde{p}_n \in \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$. In particular ξ is naturally a section of the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ over $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. We deduce from 2.19:

Corollary 2.20. *We have $\text{Ker}(\theta_{\overline{K}}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}) = \xi \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}$ as sheaves in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}})^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine as in §2.2. Write $q' := \frac{[\varepsilon]-1}{[\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}-1}} = 1 + [\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + [\varepsilon]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \in A_{\text{inf}}^+$. Then

Lemma 2.21. (1) *For every positive integer r the Frobenius morphism φ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r-1}(q')\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r}(q')\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$;*

(2) *for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the \mathbb{W}_n -module $\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is flat;*

(3) *assume that we are in the formal case. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow 0$ is exact where $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ is the constant group over $\text{Spec}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M)$.*

Proof. (1) We proceed by induction on n . The kernel of the reduction $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is $\mathbb{V}^n\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$, where \mathbb{V} is the Verschiebung. The latter is isomorphic to $\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ as an abelian group with structure of $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -module via the map $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$, $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \mapsto a_0^{p^n}$.

Let $A_{r,n}$ be the assertion that φ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r-1}(q')\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r}(q')\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Let $B_{r,n}$ be the following assertion: the sheaf

$$\mathbb{V}^n\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r}(q')\mathbb{V}^n\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}),$$

which is isomorphic to $\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/((1 + \zeta_2 + \dots + \zeta_2^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p^{r-n}}}, p)\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$, injects in

$$\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/\varphi^{-r}(q')\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

We prove by induction on r and n that the claims $A_{r,n}$ and $B_{r,n}$ hold. For $n = 1$ and any r the fact that the map φ is injective follows from the fact that \overline{R}_U is normal, cf. proof of 2.16. It is surjective by [Bri, Prop. 2.0.1]. Thus $A_{r,1}$ holds. Since $1 + \zeta_2 + \cdots + \zeta_2^{p-1}$ has p -adic valuation 1 assertion $B_{r,n}$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $r \geq n$. It then follows by descending induction on $r < n$ and from $A_{r,1}$ that $B_{r+1,n}$ implies $B_{r,n}$. Thus $B_{r,n}$ holds for every r and n . Proceeding by induction on n one then proves that $A_{r,n}$ and $B_{r,n+1}$ together with $A_{r,1}$ imply $A_{r,n+1}$.

(2) It suffices to prove that the map $J \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ is injective for every finitely generated ideal $J \subset W_n$. We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n = 1$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ is the union of discrete valuation rings and J is finitely generated we have $J = p^\delta \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ for some $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ i. e., $J \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p^{1-\delta}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ and the inclusions $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ is given by multiplication by $\cdot p^\delta: \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p^{1-\delta}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. Then $J \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U = \overline{R}_U/p^{1-\delta}\overline{R}_U$ and the map $J \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \rightarrow \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ is the map $\overline{R}_U/p^{1-\delta}\overline{R}_U \rightarrow \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ given by $s \mapsto p^\delta s$. Since \overline{R}_U is normal, this map is injective as well.

Assume that the claim holds for ideals of W_n for $n \leq N$. Let $J \subset W_{N+1}$ be an ideal. Let $\pi_N: W_{N+1} \rightarrow W_N$ be the natural projection. Its kernel is $\mathbb{V}^N W_{N+1}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$. Let J_N be the image of J via π_N and put $J' := J \cap \text{Ker}(\pi_N)$ which we view as an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$ via the identification above. Since Frobenius is surjective on $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$, and hence on $\mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$, and multiplication by p is $\mathbb{V} \circ \varphi$, we have $\mathbb{V}\mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) = p\mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$. Then $J' \otimes_{W_{N+1}} \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \cong J' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ which is isomorphic to $J' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \overline{R}_U/(\varphi^{-N+1}(q'), p)\overline{R}_U$ since multiplication by $\varphi^{-N+1}(q')$ is multiplication by $p \equiv 0$ on J' . Moreover the map from $J' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \overline{R}_U/(\varphi^{-N+1}(q'), p)\overline{R}_U$ to $\mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ factors via $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \cong \mathbb{V}^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ and via these identifications it is the map $a \otimes b \mapsto ab^{p^{N-1}}$. This is proven to be injective as in the proof of the $n = 1$ case.

We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J' \rightarrow J \rightarrow J_N \rightarrow 0$ of W_{N+1} -modules. The induced map $J' \otimes_{W_{N+1}} \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ has been proven to be injective. Note that $J_N \otimes_{W_{N+1}} \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \cong J_N \otimes_{W_N} \mathbb{W}_N(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ since the kernel $\mathbb{V}^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) = \mathbb{V}^N \varphi^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) = p^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ and $p^N \equiv 0 \in W_N$. Furthermore, the map $J_N \otimes_{W_N} \mathbb{W}_N(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_N(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ is injective by inductive hypothesis. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} J' \otimes \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) & \longrightarrow & J \otimes \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) & \longrightarrow & J_N \otimes \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}^N \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{W}_N(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U), \end{array}$$

where in the first row the tensor products are over the ring W_{N+1} . The rows are exact and we have proven that the left and right vertical maps are injective. Therefore the map $J \otimes_{W_{N+1}} \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{N+1}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ is injective as well.

(3) Proceeding by induction on n it suffices to prove the claim for $n = 1$ i. e., that the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Since R_U is p -adically complete every finite extensions of R_U is also p -adically complete and by Hensel's lemma the connected components of the associated spectrum are in bijection with the connected components of its reduction modulo p . In particular the scheme $\text{Spec}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ has as many connected components as $\text{Spec}(\overline{R}_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_M)$ has. By construction these coincide with the connected components of $\text{Spec}(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M)$. The exactness on the left and in the middle follow from Artin-Schreier theory.

The cokernel of $\varphi - 1$ on $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ is contained in $H^1(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U, \mathbb{F}_p)$ by Artin–Schreier theory. Let $\overline{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$ be an \mathbb{F}_p -torsor. It can be lifted to an \mathbb{F}_p -torsor $Z \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S)$ over a finite and normal extension $R_U \subset S$, étale after inverting p . In particular $Z = \text{Spec}(T)$ is affine with T normal so that $Z(\overline{R}_U)$ admits a section by definition of \overline{R}_U . Then \overline{Z} admits a section as well and thus it is the trivial torsor. Therefore $H^1(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ and the claim follows. \square

Corollary 2.22. *For every n the sheaf $\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}$ is a sheaf of flat W_n -modules. Furthermore, φ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}/([\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p^{r+1}}} - 1)\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}/([\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p^r}} - 1)\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the formal case the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}} \xrightarrow{\varphi-1} \mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.*

Proof. It suffices to show the claims for the pre-sheaf $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_K})$ and further after passing to its localizations at every small affine $\mathcal{U} \subset X$. The claims follow from lemma 2.21. \square

2.5 The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$.

We start with a formal definition. A $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -divided power ($\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP) sheaf of algebras in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)$ or $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N}$ is a triple $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ consisting of (1) a sheaf of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)$ (resp. an inverse system of sheaves of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras $\{\mathcal{F}_n\} \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N}$), (2) a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{F}$ (resp. an inverse system of sheaves of ideals $\{\mathcal{I}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n\}$), (3) maps $\gamma_i: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ the triple $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}), \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}), \gamma_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})})$ (resp. for every n the triple $(\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}), \mathcal{I}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}), \gamma_{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})})$) is a DP algebra compatible with the standard DP structure on the ideal $p\mathbb{W}(k)$ in the sense of [BO, Ch. 3]. Given a sheaf of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras \mathcal{G} and an ideal $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{G}$ (resp. an inverse system of sheaves of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras \mathcal{G} and ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{G}$) the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -divided power envelope of \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{J} is a $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ and a morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of sheaves (or inverse systems of sheaves) of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras, such that \mathcal{J} maps to \mathcal{I} , which is universal for morphisms as sheaves (or inverse systems of sheaves) of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras from \mathcal{G} to $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras \mathcal{F}' such that \mathcal{J} maps to the sheaf of ideals of \mathcal{F}' on which the divided power structure is defined.

We'd like to consider the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}, M}^+ \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)$ with respect to the sheaf of ideals $\text{Ker}(\theta_M)$. One could use the general machinery of [B1, Thm. I.2.4.1] to guarantee that it exists but we prefer to provide a different more explicit description. We start with:

Lemma 2.23. *Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras and let $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{G}$ be an ideal. Assume that the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -divided power envelope $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ of \mathcal{G} with respect to \mathcal{I} exists. Then for every $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{ét}}$ the restriction of $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}, \gamma)$ to \mathfrak{U}_M is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -divided power (DP) envelope of $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$.*

Proof. Let $j: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_M$ be the continuous morphism of sites sending $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times_{(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}_K)} (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_K)$. Let $j_!: \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)$ be the functor of extension by zero. It is the right adjoint of the functor $j^*: \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_M)$ which is the restriction functor from \mathfrak{X}_M to the subcategory $\mathfrak{U}_M \subset \mathfrak{X}_M$; see [Err]. Let $f: \mathcal{G}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ be a morphism of sheaves such that $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{I}', \gamma')$ is a $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras on \mathfrak{U}_M and $f(\mathcal{J}) \subset \mathcal{I}'$. Then $(j_!(\mathcal{F}'), j_!(\mathcal{I}'), j_!(\gamma'))$ is a $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras and by adjointness of $j_!$ we get a morphism $j_!(f): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow j_!(\mathcal{F}')$. The latter extends uniquely to a morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_!(\mathcal{F}')$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras by the universal

property of \mathcal{F} . Restricting to \mathfrak{U}_M we get a morphism $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras extending f . Using the adjointness of $j_!$ and the universal property of \mathcal{F} one proves that such a morphism is unique. The claim follows. \square

By lemma 2.14 for every object $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ we have a natural identification $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \cong \beta_{M,\bar{K},*}(\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)}$. We used the fact that $\beta_{M,\bar{K}}^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{n,M})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. Define $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ to be the sheaf on \mathfrak{X}_M associated to the pre-sheaf given by

$$(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto (A_{\text{cris},n} \otimes_{W_n} (\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)}.$$

Let $\theta_{M,n}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ be the map of sheaves induced by the map of pre-sheaves

$$\left(A_{\text{cris},n} \otimes_{W_n} (\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}),$$

given by $\theta_n \otimes \theta_{n,M}$. Here using again lemma 2.14 we have identified

$$(\beta_{M,\bar{K},*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)}$$

with $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. We get from lemma 2.19 that $\text{Ker}(\theta_{M,n})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ coincides with the $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)$ -invariants of the ideal of $A_{\text{cris},n} \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})/p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))$ generated by $\text{Ker}(\theta_n)^{\text{DP}}$. Such an ideal has $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP structure thanks to corollary 2.22. In particular the sheaf $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})$ is endowed with $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP structure as well. Using the identification $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \cong (\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))^{\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)}$ we also have a natural map

$$h_{M,n}: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_M -algebras, $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras. Consider the map $r_{n+1}: \mathbb{W}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n$ defined by the natural projection composed with Frobenius. Now we tensor with $A_{\text{cris},n+1}$ over W_n . Since ξ_n is the image of ξ_{n+1} via r_{n+1} , taking $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/M)$ -invariants, we get a natural map $r_{M,n+1}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$. Denote by $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ the sheaf in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by the family $\{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla\}_n$ with the transition maps $\{r_{M,n+1}\}_n$.

Proposition 2.24. 1) *The sheaf of rings $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$, with the sheaf of ideals $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})$ and the natural map $h_{n,M}: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$, is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ with respect to $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})$.*

2) *The system of sheaves of rings $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$, with the sheaf of ideals $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})\}_n$ and the natural map $h_M = \{h_{n,M}\}_n: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+$ with respect to $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})\}_n$.*

3) *The Frobenius map $\varphi: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ defines a map $\varphi_n: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$.*

4) *For varying n the maps $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ define a morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$;*

5) *If $M_1 \subset M_2$ is a Galois field extension we have a natural isomorphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_1}^\nabla) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_2}^\nabla$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras, compatible with Frobenius and the natural structures of \mathbb{W}_{n,M_2} -sheaves of modules;*

6) *We have a natural isomorphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M_1}^\nabla) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M_2}^\nabla$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras, compatible with Frobenius and the natural structures of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M_2}^+$ -sheaves of modules.*

Proof. (1) Let \mathcal{G} be a $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras and let $f: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism sending $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})$ to the DP ideal of \mathcal{G} . Due to 2.14, for every $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_M$, we have $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \left(\beta_{M,\overline{K}}^{-1}(\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/M)}$. Since $\beta_{M,\overline{K}}^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{n,M})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ is equal to $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$, the map $\beta_{M,\overline{K}}^{-1}(f)$ extends uniquely to a map $A_{\text{cris},n} \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \beta_{M,\overline{K}}^{-1}(\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. Taking $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/M)$ -invariants and using the definition of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$, we get a unique map $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ as $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras extending f . This proves the universal property of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$. Claim (2) follows from claim (1). Recall that Frobenius on W_n extends to an operator φ on $A_{\text{cris},n}$. Claims (3) and (4) follow. (5) The existence of a natural map $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^* (\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_1}^\nabla) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_2}^\nabla$, compatible with Frobenius and the structure of \mathbb{W}_{n,M_2} -modules follows from the definition of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n}^\nabla$. To check that it is an isomorphism it suffices to prove it for the stalks. The stalk of $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{n,M_1})$ at a point $x \in X$ is $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1},x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1},x})$; see [AI, Prop. 4.4]. The latter is a W_n -algebra. It then follows from 2.19 that the stalk $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_1,x}^\nabla$ is $A_{\text{cris},n} \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1},x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1},x})$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1},x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_2},x}$, the claim follows. Claim (6) follows from (5) \square

The next step is to study the localization $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ over small affines. In analogy with the classical case of A_{cris} recalled in §1.2 we provide a second essentially equivalent definition of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ via the system $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla/p^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ be the sheaf on \mathfrak{X}_M associated to the pre-sheaf given by

$$(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto \left((A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/M)}.$$

Let $\theta'_{M,n}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ be the map $\theta_{M,n} \circ (q_n \otimes \varphi)$ (we refer to §1.2 for the map $q_n: A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris},n}$). Denote by $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ the sheaf in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N}$ defined by the family $\{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla\}$ with the transition maps $r'_{M,n+1}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ induced by $r_{n+1}: \mathbb{W}_{n+1,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n,M}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the map of sheaves

$$q_{M,n}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$$

associated to the map of pre-sheaves inducing $q_n: A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris},n}$ and Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. Consider the map of sheaves

$$u_{n,M}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$$

associated to the map of pre-sheaves which induces $u_n: A_{\text{cris},n+1} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$ (see 1.2) and the natural projection $\mathbb{W}_{n+1,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$.

Proposition 2.25. 1) The sheaf of rings $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ with the sheaf of ideals $\text{Ker}(\theta'_{n,M})$ and the natural map $h'_{n,M}: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ with respect to $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M} \circ \varphi)$.

2) The system of sheaves of rings $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$, with the sheaf of ideals $\{\text{Ker}(\theta'_{n,M})\}_n$ and the natural map $h'_M = \{h'_{n,M}\}_n: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M}^+$ with respect to $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M} \circ \varphi)\}_n$.

3) Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ defines maps $\varphi'_n: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ which are compatible for varying n and give a morphism $\varphi := \{\varphi'_n\}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$.

4) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $q_{M,n} \circ u_{M,n} = r_{M,n+1}$ and $u_{M,n} \circ q_{M,n+1} = r'_{M,n+1}$. Furthermore, the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{u_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} & \xrightarrow{q_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^{\nabla} \\ \downarrow \varphi_{n+1} & & \downarrow \varphi'_n & & \downarrow \varphi_n \\ \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{u_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} & \xrightarrow{q_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^{\nabla} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{W}_{n+1,M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{W}_{n,M} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \\ \downarrow h_{n+1,M} & & \downarrow h'_{n,M} & & \downarrow h_{n,M} \\ \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M}^{\nabla} & \xrightarrow{u_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} & \xrightarrow{q_{n,M}} & \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^{\nabla} \\ \downarrow \theta_{n+1,M} & & \downarrow \theta'_{n,M} & & \downarrow \theta_{n,M} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M} & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}. \end{array}$$

5) If $M_1 \subset M_2$ is a Galois field extension we have a natural isomorphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^* (\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M_1}) \cong \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M_2}$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras, compatible with Frobenius and the natural structures of \mathbb{W}_{n,M_2} -sheaves of modules;

6) We have a natural isomorphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^* (\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M_1}) \cong \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M_2}$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras, compatible with Frobenius and the natural structures of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},M_2}^+$ -sheaves of modules.

Write $q_M := \{q_{n,M}\}_n: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}$ and $u_M := \{u_{n,M}\}_n: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}$.

Lemma 2.26. a) For every n we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \xrightarrow{a} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,M} \xrightarrow{b} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,M} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $b = r'_{2,M} \circ \dots \circ r'_{n,M} \circ r'_{n+1,M}$ and a is the map of sheaves associated to the Vershiebung $\mathbb{V}: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n+1,M}$.

b) We have $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,\overline{K}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(\delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$.

c) We have $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = (A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{W_n} (\mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))$ for every $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$. In particular the sequence in (a) is exact also as sequence of presheaves for $M = \overline{K}$.

Proof. a) Certainly $b \circ a = 0$. To check exactness we study the stalks. Since for any sheaf \mathcal{G} on \mathfrak{X}_M we have $\beta_{M,\overline{K}}^*(\mathcal{G})_x = \mathcal{G}_x$ by [AI, Prop. 4.4] and since $\beta_{M,\overline{K}}^* (\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}) \cong \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}$ by proposition 2.25 it suffices to prove the claim for $M = \overline{K}$. The kernel H of the natural projection $s: \mathbb{W}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}$ is identified with \mathbb{W}_n via Vershiebung. It is a W_{n+1} -module via the projection $W_{n+1} \rightarrow W_n$ composed with Frobenius on W_n and the structure of W_n -module of \mathbb{W}_n . Hence

$$(A_{\text{cris}}/p^{n+1}A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes H \cong (A_{\text{cris}}/p^{n+1}A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_n,$$

where \otimes stands for $\otimes_{W_{n+1}}$. Since $s(\xi_{n+2}^p) \equiv p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$ we have

$$(A_{\text{cris}}/p^{n+1}A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{W_{n+1}} \mathbb{W}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}/p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}}[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(\delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}.$$

Recall from 2.22 that Frobenius to the n -th power φ^n gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p^{1/p^n}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}$. Hence

$$(A_{\text{cris}}/p^{n+1}A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{W_{n+1}} (\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(\delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}.$$

The composite of s with φ^n is $r_2 \circ \dots \circ r_n \circ r_{n+1}: \mathbb{W}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}$. This proves the exactness of the sequence displayed in Claim a) with the exception of the exactness on the left. We prove the left exactness on stalks. Let x be a point of X . Note that $\xi = [\tilde{p}] - p$. Since the ideal generated by p admits $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP in A_{cris} , the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of ξ in A_{cris} coincides with the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $[\tilde{p}]$ in A_{cris} i. e.,

$$A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \cong W_n \langle \tilde{p}_{n+1} \rangle = W_n [\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^p, p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}.$$

Define $B := \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x})[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$. Similarly, denote by

$$C := \mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x})[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(p\delta_{m+1} - \delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$$

and write $D := \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(\delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$. Note that $B/(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^p)B$ is the stalk $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}, x}$ of $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}$ at x , $C/(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+2}^p)C$ is $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}, n+1, x}$ and $D/\tilde{p}_{n+2}^p D = \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, 1, \bar{K}, x}$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{a_x} & C & \xrightarrow{s_x} & D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow (p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^p) & & \downarrow (p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+2}^p) & & \downarrow -\tilde{p}_{n+2}^p & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{a_x} & C & \xrightarrow{s_x} & D & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here, a_x sends $\delta_i \mapsto \delta_i$ and induces Verschiebung $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x})$. Since B (resp. C) is a free $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x})$ -module (resp. $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x})$ -module) with basis given by the monomials in the δ_i 's and Verschiebung is injective the map a_x is injective. The map s_x is the natural projection. Since also D is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}$ -module with basis given by the monomials in the δ_i 's the rows in the displayed diagram are exact. The sequence of cokernels $B/(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^p)B \rightarrow C/(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+2}^p)C$ is the map on stalks associated to a . Note that $\tilde{p}_{n+1} = \tilde{p}_{n+2} = p^{1/p^n}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ and the kernel of multiplication by p^{1/p^n} on D is $pp^{-1/p^n}D = p^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^n}}D = \tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n-1}D$. Choose $y \in D$ and let $x \in C$ be the lift defined taking the Teichmüller lifts of the coefficients of x with respect to the $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K, x}$ -basis of D given by the monomials in the δ_i 's. In particular $\tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n}y = \tilde{p}y = 0$. Put $z := \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} p^i \delta_0^i \tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n-i-1}y$. Then

$$(p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^p)z = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} \delta_0^{i+1} p^{i+1} \tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n-i-1}y - \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} \delta_0^i p^i \tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n-i}y = \delta_0^{p^n} p^{p^n}y - \tilde{p}_{n+1}^{p^n}y = 0$$

and $s_x(z) = p^{\frac{p^n-1}{p^n}}y$. This proves that the kernel of multiplication by $p\delta_0 - \tilde{p}_{n+2}^p$ on C surjects onto the kernel of multiplication by \tilde{p}_{n+2}^p on D . The claimed left exactness follows from this using the snake lemma in the displayed diagram.

b) follows using that $A_{\text{cris}}/pA_{\text{cris}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(\delta_m^p)_{m \geq 0}$; see 1.2.

c) We prove the claim by induction on n . For $n = 1$ it follows from (b) since $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,\bar{K}}^\nabla$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}$. Suppose the claim proved for n . Write \underline{A}'_n for the presheaf $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto (A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes_{W_n} (\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}))$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \underline{A}'_n & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes a} & \underline{A}'_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes b} & \underline{A}'_1 & \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\bar{K}}^\nabla(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,\bar{K}}^\nabla(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,\bar{K}}^\nabla(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) & \end{array}$$

The bottom row is exact due to (a). The top one is exact as well (see the proof of (a)). This fact together with the inductive hypothesis and a diagram chase imply the claim. \square

To conclude the comparison between $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ we prove the following:

Lemma 2.27. *For positive integers $m > n$ the map $u_{n,M} \circ r_{n+2,M} \circ \cdots \circ r_{m,M}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}^\nabla/p^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$.*

Proof. As in the proof of lemma 2.26(b) it suffices to prove the lemma for $M = \bar{K}$. We can write multiplication by p^n on \mathbb{W}_m as the composite of $\mathbb{V}^n \circ \varphi^n$ with $\mathbb{V} = \text{Vershiebung}$ and $\varphi = \text{Frobenius}$. Since φ is surjective on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}$ by [AI, Lemma 4.3(v)] we deduce that $\mathbb{W}_m/p^n \mathbb{W}_m \cong \mathbb{W}_n$ where the map is the natural projection. Via this identification the map $u_n \circ r_{n+1} \circ \cdots \circ r_m: \mathbb{W}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_n$ is φ^{m-n-1} and, hence, sends $\xi_m \mapsto \xi_{n+1}$. Note that $\tilde{p}_m = \xi_m + p$. Since p and ξ_m admit DP in $A_{\text{cris},m}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}})$ also \tilde{p}_m admits DP. We compute $\mathbb{V}^s(\tilde{p}_m)^{p^n} = (\varphi^s(V^s(\tilde{p}_m)))^{p^{n-s}} = (p^s \tilde{p}_m)^{p^{n-s}} = p^{sp^{n-s}} \tilde{p}_m^{n-s} = p^{sp^{n-s}} p^{n-s} \tilde{p}_m^{[p^{n-s}]}$. This is 0 in $A_{\text{cris},m}/p^n A_{\text{cris},m}$ since $sp^{n-s} + n - s \geq n$. The element $\tilde{p}_m^{p^n}$ generates the kernel of φ^{m-n-1} on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}}$; see the proof of 2.26. Hence $(\mathbb{V}^s(\tilde{p}_m)^{p^n})_{0 \leq s \leq n}$ generates the kernel of φ^{m-n-1} on \mathbb{W}_n . Similarly $W_m/p^n W_m \cong W_n$ and $(\mathbb{V}^s(\tilde{p}_m)^{p^n})_{0 \leq s \leq n}$ is the kernel of φ^{m-n-1} on W_n . Hence $A_{\text{cris},m}/p^n A_{\text{cris},m}$ is the DP envelope of W_n with respect to $\varphi^{m-n-1}(\xi_m) = \xi_{n+1}$ i. e. it coincides with $A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}$. We conclude that $p^n \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},m,\bar{K}}^\nabla$ contains the kernel of the map $u_{n,\bar{K}} \circ r_{n+1,\bar{K}} \circ \cdots \circ r_{m,\bar{K}}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,\bar{K}}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\bar{K}}^\nabla$. It is also clearly contained in this kernel. The claim follows. \square

Let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ be an object in X^{et} . Assume it is small in the sense of §2.2. As in §2.3 fix a geometric generic point and define $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ as in loc. cit. Following [Bri, §6] define $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as the p -adic completion of the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ with respect to the kernel of the map ϑ defined as follows. For every n let ϑ_n be the composite of the projection $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$, of the map $\mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ associated to the projection $\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the n -th component and of $\theta_n: \mathbb{W}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p^n \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $\vartheta: \mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \rightarrow \widehat{\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}}$ be the map $x \mapsto \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \vartheta_n(x)$. It is proven in loc. cit. that $\text{Ker}(\vartheta)$ is a principal ideal generated by ξ and that Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ induces Frobenius φ on $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. For every n let g_n be the composite of the projection $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ and of the map $v_n: \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ associated to the projection $\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}/p\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ on the $n+1$ -th component. We get that

$$A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(p\delta_0 - \xi^p, p\delta_{i+1} - \delta_i^p)_{i \geq 0}.$$

Since $g_n(\xi) = \xi_{n+1}$, we have a map $g_n: A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_U)$. Note that $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_U) = \beta_{M,\overline{K}}^*(\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_U))$ by 2.14 and the latter coincides with $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_U)$ thanks to proposition 2.25. We then get a map $g_{M,n}: A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_U)$.

Proposition 2.28. 1) The map $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$ defined by q_M is an isomorphism.

2) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $g_{n,M}: A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_U)$ is injective, commutes with the Frobenius maps and its cokernel is annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} .

3) The induced map $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$ is an isomorphism and commutes with Frobenius.

Proof. (1) It follows from proposition 2.25(3) that r_M defines an inverse. Claims (2) and (3) follow from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 2.29. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K},n}(\overline{R}_U)$ is injective, its cokernel is annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} and the transition map $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K},n+1}(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K},n}(\overline{R}_U)$ factors via $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$.

Proof. Since \overline{R}_U is a normal ring and Frobenius is surjective on $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ by [Bri, Prop. 2.0.1], the kernel of the projection $\mathcal{R}(\overline{R}_U) = \varprojlim \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U \rightarrow \overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ on the $n+1$ -factor is \tilde{p}^{p^n} .

Since $\xi = \tilde{p} - p$ and both p and ξ have DP in $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$, also \tilde{p} admits DP. As in the proof of lemma 2.27 it follows that $\mathbb{V}^s([\tilde{p}])^{p^n} \equiv 0$ in $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$. These elements generate the kernel of v_n . Hence

$$A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \cong \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)[\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots]/(p\delta_0 - \xi^p, p\delta_{i+1} - \delta_i^p)_{i \geq 0}$$

where the isomorphism induces the map $g_n: \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{R}(\overline{R}_U)) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U)$.

We prove the lemma by induction on n . It follows from what we have just seen 2.26 and 2.17 that the map $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_U)$ is injective and that its cokernel is annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} .

Since $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)$ has no p -torsion by [Bri, Prop. 6.1.4] we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \xrightarrow{p} A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p^{n+1} A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U)/p A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow 0.$$

One checks that it is compatible with the exact sequence obtained by taking the localizations of the sequence in 2.26(b). Due to 2.26(b) the map $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},1,\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_U)$ is the map

$$\frac{A_{\text{cris}}}{p^n A_{\text{cris}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_n} \left(\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U) \right) \rightarrow \frac{A_{\text{cris}}}{p A_{\text{cris}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}}(\overline{R}_U) \right)$$

which by construction induces the map $\mathbb{W}_n(\overline{R}_U) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_{\overline{K}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_{\overline{K}}})(\overline{R}_U)$ given by the natural projection and Frobenius to the $n-1$ -th power. In particular since $n \geq 2$ this map factors via $\overline{R}_U/p\overline{R}_U$ by 2.17. The inductive step follows from this using the inductive hypothesis. \square

2.6 The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$.

In this section we assume that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{W}(k)$ is absolutely unramified. Write \mathcal{O}_{M_0} for the ring of integers of the maximal unramified extension M_0 of K in M . Recall that in lemma 2.13 we have described $v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ as the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ introduced in 2.10. It is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras. For every $n \geq 1$ let us define the sheaf $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M} := \mathbb{W}_n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras and the morphism of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ -algebras $\theta_{X,n,M}: \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ associated to the following map of pre-sheaves. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ be an object of \mathfrak{X}_M such that $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ is affine, let $R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{un}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ and let $S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$. Then S contains $R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{un}}$ and we define

$$\theta_{n,(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})}: \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow S/p^n S \text{ by } (x \otimes r) \rightarrow c_n(x)r.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}$ denote the sheaf of ideals $\text{Ker}(\theta_{X,n,M})$. Due to [B1, Thm. I.2.4.1] one knows that the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$ of $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}$ exists. The main point of this section is an explicit description of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$ in theorem 2.31 which will be used in the sequel.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ denote a small affine open as in 2.1 with parameters $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$. For every $n \geq 0$ define $R_{\mathcal{U},n} := R_{\mathcal{U}}[\zeta_n, T_1^{1/p^n}, \dots, T_d^{1/p^n}]$, where $R_{\mathcal{U},0} = R_{\mathcal{U}}$, ζ_n is a primitive p^n -th root of 1 such that $\zeta_{n+1}^p = \zeta_n$ and T_i^{1/p^n} is a fixed p^n -th root of T_i in $\overline{R_{\mathcal{U}}}$ such that $(T_i^{1/p^{n+1}})^p = T_i^{1/p^n}$. We consider the category $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ consisting of objects $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ and a morphism to $(\mathcal{U}, \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U},n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M)$. The morphisms are the morphisms as objects over $(\mathcal{U}, \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U},n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M)$. The covering families of an object $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ are the covering families as an object of \mathfrak{X}_M . There is a morphism of sites $\iota: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ sending $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ to $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times_{(X, X_K)} (\mathcal{U}, \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U},n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M)$. Given a sheaf on \mathfrak{X}_M we write $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ for $\iota^*(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ with $\mathcal{V} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{V}})$ affine and put $S := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$. Note that $T_i^{1/p^n} \in R_{\mathcal{U},n} \subset S$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Denote by

$$\tilde{T}_i := ([T_i], [T_i^{1/p}], \dots, [T_i^{1/p^n}], \dots) \in \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \mathbb{W}_n(R_{\mathcal{U},n}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n}),$$

where the inverse limit is taken with respect to $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(R_{\mathcal{U},n+1}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(R_{\mathcal{U},n}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n})$ the natural projection $\mathbb{W}_{n+1}(R_{\mathcal{U},n+1}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_n(R_{\mathcal{U},n+1}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n+1})$ and the map induced by Frobenius $R_{\mathcal{U},n+1}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n+1} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{U},n}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n}$. The image of \tilde{T}_i in $\mathbb{W}_n(R_{\mathcal{U},n}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n})$ is the Teichmüller lift $(T_i^{1/p^n}, 0, \dots, 0)$ of T_i^{1/p^n} . Write

$$X_i := 1 \otimes T_i - \tilde{T}_i \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{W}_n(R_{\mathcal{U},n}/pR_{\mathcal{U},n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R_{\mathcal{U}}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$. They are naturally elements of $\mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Lemma 2.30. *The kernel of the map $\theta_{n,(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W})}: \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow S/p^n S$ is the ideal generated by (ξ_n, X_1, \dots, X_d) .*

Proof. The kernel of the ring homomorphism $R_0/p^n R_0 \otimes R_0/p^n R_0 \rightarrow R_0/p^n R_0$ defined by $x \otimes y \rightarrow xy$ is the ideal $I = (T_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_1, \dots, T_d \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes T_d)$. Since $R_0/p^n R_0 \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{V}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}$ are étale morphisms, then I generates the kernel of $R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \otimes R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}$. Base changing via $R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow S/p^n S$ we conclude that I generates the kernel of $S/p^n S \otimes R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}/p^n R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow S/p^n S$. By lemma 2.19 the kernel of $c_n: \mathbb{W}_n(S/pS) \rightarrow S/p^n S$ is generated by ξ_n . The conclusion follows. \square

For every $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ let $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ be the DP envelope of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M,n}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ with respect to the ideal (X_1, \dots, X_d) . As explained in [Bri, §6], we have

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle = \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})[X_{i,0}, X_{i,1}, \dots]_{1 \leq i \leq d} / (pX_{i,m+1} - X_{i,m}^p)_{1 \leq i \leq d, m \geq 0}$$

where $X_{i,j} = \gamma^{j+1}(X_i)$ and $\gamma: z \mapsto (p-1)!z^{[p]}$. In particular it is a free $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ -module with bases given by the monomials in the variables $X_{i,0}, X_{i,1}, \dots$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ in which each variable $X_{i,j}$ appears with degree $\leq p-1$. We conclude that $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ is a sheaf and that the ideal generated by $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M}) \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla$ and $(X_{1,j}, \dots, X_{d,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ has $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP structure. Write $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ for the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$. Let $\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ be the map sending $X_{i,j} \mapsto 0$ and coinciding with $\theta_{n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$. For every $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ with $\mathcal{V} := \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{V}})$ affine, define the map

$$R_0 = \mathbb{W}(k)\{T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$$

of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras setting $T_i \rightarrow \tilde{T}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$. As T_i is a unit in $R_{\mathcal{U}}$, then \tilde{T}_i is a unit in $\mathbb{W}_n(S/pS)$ where $S := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$. Since $X_i^p = pX_i^{[p]}$ is nilpotent in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$, also $\tilde{T}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i$ is a unit and hence the displayed ring homomorphism is well defined. Let us now look at the following diagram of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}} & (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M})(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R_0 & \longrightarrow & R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}. \end{array}$$

The diagram is commutative since $\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(\tilde{T}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i) = \theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(\tilde{T}_i) = (T_i^{1/p^n})^{p^n} = T_i$. The kernel of $\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is the DP ideal generated by $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ and by $(X_{1,j}, \dots, X_{d,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that it is nilpotent. As $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ is étale over R_0 and $R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}}$ étale over $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ there exists a unique homomorphism

$$R_{\mathcal{V}}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle \quad (1)$$

of R_0 -algebras making both triangles in the above diagram commutative. We thus get a map $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$. Passing to the associated sheaves we get a map of sheaves

$$h_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}: \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}.$$

It follows from 2.30 that the image of $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ is contained in the ideal generated by $\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})$ and $(X_{1,j}, \dots, X_{d,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ which has $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP structure.

Theorem 2.31. (1) The $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$ of $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}$ exists.

(2) For every small affine \mathcal{U} of X^{et} the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ with the ideal $\text{Ker}(\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}})$ endowed with its $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP structure, is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ with respect to $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$. In particular the restriction of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$ to $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ is $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$.

(3) Let $M_1 \subset M_2$ be a Galois extension. The morphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^* \left(\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_1}^{\text{un}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1}}^{\text{un}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_2}^{\text{un}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_2}}^{\text{un}}$ induces an isomorphism $\beta_{M_1,M_2}^* (\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_1}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M_2}$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras.

Proof. (1) The existence is a formal consequence of [B1, Thm. 1.2.4.1].

(2) Consider a $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras \mathcal{G} and a morphism $f: \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ sending $\mathcal{I}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ to the DP ideal of \mathcal{G} . Due to 2.24 and 2.23 the induced map $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ extends uniquely to a morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras. Since the section $X_i = 1 \otimes T_i - \tilde{T}_i \otimes 1$ of $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}$ lies in $\text{Ker}(\theta_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}})$ we can uniquely extend such map to a morphism $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. This proves the first part of the claim. The second part follows from the first and lemma 2.23.

(3) Via the morphism $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^* \left(\mathbb{W}_{n, M_1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_1}^{\text{un}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_1}}^{\text{un}} \right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, M_2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_2}^{\text{un}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{M_2}}^{\text{un}}$ one checks that $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\theta_{X,n, M_1})$ and θ_{X,n, M_2} are compatible so that $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathcal{I}_{X,n, M_1})$ is sent to \mathcal{I}_{X,n, M_2} . Due to the universal property of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_2}$ we get a map $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_2}$. By construction it induces the isomorphism $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_1}^\nabla) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_2}^\nabla$ of proposition 2.24. It follows from (2) that the restriction to \mathfrak{U}_{n, M_1} sends $X_i \mapsto X_i$ for every small affine \mathcal{U} and in particular it is an isomorphism. This implies the claim. \square

Let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ denote a small affine open in X^{et} . Choose $T_1, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^\times$ parameters and let $F_{\mathcal{U}}: R_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the unique map inducing Frobenius on \mathcal{O}_K and sending $T_i \mapsto T_i^p$. Denote by $F_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ the induced map of sheaves on \mathcal{U}^{et} . Taking $v_{\mathcal{U}, M}^*$ it provides a morphism $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$. Let

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}: \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M} \cong \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{U}, M, n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{U}, M, n} \cong \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$$

be the map of sheaves associated to the map of pre-sheaves $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}, n} \otimes F_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{W}_{n, \mathcal{U}, M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_M}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, \mathcal{U}, M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_M}^{\text{un}}$.

Corollary 2.32. 1) *The morphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}$ on $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ extends uniquely to an operator $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$, called Frobenius, compatible with Frobenius on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ defined in proposition 2.24.*

2) *Via the identification given in theorem 2.31 the restriction $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}$ to $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ is uniquely determined by requiring that it induces Frobenius on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ and sends $X_i \mapsto 1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1 = X_i \left(\sum_{h=1}^{p-1} T_i^h \tilde{T}_i^{p-h} \right)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

3) *The isomorphism $\beta_{M_1, M_2}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_1}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{M_1}}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n, M_2}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{M_2}}$ of $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaves of algebras is compatible with Frobenius.*

Proof. The fact that Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ extends to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ follows from proposition 2.24.

(2) For $i = 1, \dots, d$ we compute that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(X_i) = 1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1 = X_i \left(\sum_{h=1}^{p-1} T_i^h \tilde{T}_i^{p-h} \right)$ so that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(X_i)$ admits divided powers. This implies that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}, n, M})$ admits divided powers so that by the universal property of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ (see 2.31) the morphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ extends to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$.

(1) Since $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}, n, M})$ admits divided powers in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ by (2) then also $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{U}, n, M})$ admits divided powers in $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$. By the universal property of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$, which follows from 2.31 and 2.23, the morphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}}$ extends to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_M, n}$.

(3) It suffices to prove the claim after restricting to \mathfrak{U}_{n, M_2} . In this case it follows from (1) and theorem 2.31. \square

Let $r_{X,n+1,M}: \mathbb{W}_{n+1,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ be the morphism which is the identity on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ and is reduction composed with Frobenius on $\mathbb{W}_{n+1,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n,M}$. Then we have an inclusion $r_{X,n+1,M}(\text{Ker}(\theta_{X,n+1})) \subset \text{Ker}(\theta_{X,n})$. Hence $r_{X,n+1,M}$ defines a map $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n+1,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ denote the sheaf in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by the family $\{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}\}_n$ with the transition functions $r_{X,n,M}$. It is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\{\mathbb{W}_{n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}\}_n$ with respect to the ideals $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{X,n})\}_n$. For every small affine \mathcal{U} and parameters T_1, \dots, T_d denote by $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ the map in $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\{\varphi_{\mathcal{U},n}\}_n$.

Lemma 2.33. *For every $m' > m > n$ the maps $r_{X,m',M} \circ \dots \circ r_{X,m+1,M}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m',M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}$ induce an isomorphism $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m',M}/p^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m',M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}/p^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}$.*

With the notations of 2.31, for every small \mathcal{U} of X^{et} the restriction of this sheaf to $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^{\nabla}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the two claims restricting to $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ for every small object \mathcal{U} . They follow from theorem 2.31 and lemma 2.27. \square

Denote by $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}/p^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},m,M}$ for $m > n$ introduced in 2.33. It is the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M}$ with respect to the kernel of the map $\theta'_{X,n}: \mathbb{W}_{n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ induced by $\theta'_{n,M}$ on $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ and the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$. For every small affine \mathcal{U} and parameters T_1, \dots, T_d denote by $\varphi'_{\mathcal{U},n}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\mathcal{U},n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathcal{U},n,M}$ the map defined by $\varphi_n \otimes F_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$. Let $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M} := \{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}\}_n$ be the associated system of sheaves, where the transition maps $r'_{X,n+1,M}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ are induced by the transition maps $\{r_{X,m,M}\}_m$. By construction we have a natural morphism $q_{X,n}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence a map $q_X := \{q_{X,n}\}_n: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$. For every small affine \mathcal{U} and parameters T_1, \dots, T_d denote by $\varphi'_{\mathcal{U}} := \{\varphi'_{\mathcal{U},n}\}_n$.

Following [Bri] define $A_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as the p -adic completion of the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP envelope of $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R_{\mathcal{U}}$ with respect to the kernel of the map $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ given by $x \otimes y \mapsto \vartheta(x)y$. Furthermore, it is proved that the operator $\varphi \otimes F_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{R}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R_{\mathcal{U}}$ defines an operator φ on $A_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. It is shown in [Bri, Prop. 6.1.8] that $A_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is the p -adic completion of the algebra $A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$. Hence

$$A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong (A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle.$$

This and 2.33 provide a natural map $g_{\mathcal{U},n}: A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and hence a map

$$g_{\mathcal{U}} := \lim_n g_{\mathcal{U},n}: A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Proposition 2.34. *1) The map $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ induced by q_X is an isomorphism.*

2) The map $g_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isomorphism and commutes with the two Frobenii.

Proof. The first claim follows from 2.33 and 2.28. The second statement follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 2.35. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $g_{\mathcal{U},n}$ is injective, its cokernel is annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} , it commutes with Frobenii and the transition map $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n+1,M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ factors via $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$.*

Proof. It follows from lemma 2.33 and lemma 2.29. \square

2.7 Further properties of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$.

Let us recall that we write $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ both for the system of sheaves $\{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla\}_n$ and for $\{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla\}_n$. Similarly, we write $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ both for $\{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}\}_n$ and for $\{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}\}_n$. We specify which system is used when needed. Whenever $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ appears we implicitly assume, as in the previous section, that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{W}(k)$. Consider the filtration $\{\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{n,M})^{[r]}\}_n$ (resp. $\{\text{Ker}(\theta'_{n,M})^{[r]}\}_n$). Analogously define the filtration $\{\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M})\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by the subsheaves $\{\text{Ker}(\theta_{X,M,n})^{[r]}\}_n$ (resp. $\{\text{Ker}(\theta'_{X,M,n})^{[r]}\}_n$).

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine of X^{et} and choose parameters T_1, \dots, T_d in $R_{\mathcal{U}}^\times$. Then $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}|_{\mathcal{U}_{n,M}} = \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla|_{\mathcal{U}_{n,M}} \{ \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle \}$ by 2.31 and $\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M})|_{\mathcal{U}_{n,M}}$ is $\sum \text{Fil}^{s_0}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla) X_1^{[s_1]} \cdots X_d^{[s_d]}$ over all $s_0, \dots, s_d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_0 + \cdots + s_d \geq r$.

We remark that the element t is an element of $\text{Fil}^1(A_{\text{cris}})$ and, hence, of $\text{Fil}^1(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as well. Write $B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$ and $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$. Note that since t lies in $\text{Ker}(\theta)$, it admits divided powers in $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ so that $t^p = p!t^{[p]}$ and p is invertible in $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. In particular the definition given here agrees with the one given in [Bri, Def. 6.1.11]. In [Bri, §6.2.1] decreasing filtrations $\{\text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\{\text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ are defined. Then

Proposition 2.36. *The filtrations $\{\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M})\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ are decreasing, separated and exhaustive.*

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine. Via the identifications $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ given in 2.28 (resp. 2.34), we have for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the identifications $\text{Fil}^a A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\text{Fil}^a A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. In particular,

$$\text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \sum_{a+b \geq r} t^b \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) [p^{-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \sum_{a+b \geq r} t^b \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) [p^{-1}].$$

Proof. The first claim is clear. The filtrations on $B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ are defined in loc. cit. as the pull-back of the natural filtrations on $B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{\nabla+}$ and $B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^+$ via the inclusions $B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \subset B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^\nabla$ and $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \subset B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^+$. In particular this induces a filtration on $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ by restriction. It is proved in [Bri, Pf. Prop. 6.2.1] that it coincides with the filtration induced by $\text{Fil}^\bullet \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$ via the identification $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. By loc. cit. $A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ maps to the ring $B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^+ = B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{\nabla+} \llbracket X_1, \dots, X_d \rrbracket$ and due to [Bri, Prop. 5.2.5] we have $\text{Fil}^r(B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^+) = \sum_{s_0 + \dots + s_d = r} \text{Fil}^{s_0}(B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{\nabla+}) X_1^{s_1} \cdots X_d^{s_d}$. Since $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = A_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \{ \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle \}$, also the filtration on $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ induced from $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ coincides with the filtration associated to $\text{Fil}^\bullet \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ via the identification $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Since multiplication by t induces a shift by -1 on $\text{Fil}^\bullet B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and on $\text{Fil}^\bullet B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ by [Bri, Prop. 5.2.1] and [Bri, Prop. 5.2.5] and since multiplication by p on $B_{\text{dR}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an isomorphism and preserves the filtration, the claim follows. \square

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^i \in \text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}})$ be the sheaf of continuous Kähler differentials on the étale site of X relative to \mathcal{O}_K . Then $v_{X,M}^*(\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^i)$ is a locally free sheaf of $v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ -modules over \mathfrak{X}_M . The de Rham complex on X defines a de Rham complex $v_{X,M}^*(\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ on \mathfrak{X}_M . For every n we get a complex $\mathbb{W}_{X,M,n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}} v_{X,M}^*(\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ with $\mathbb{W}_{n,M}$ -linear maps $\nabla^{i+1}: \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}} v_{X,M}^*(\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^i) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{X,n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}} v_{X,M}^*(\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1})$.

Convention: In order to simplify the notation, for every sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}$ -modules \mathcal{E} and any sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{M} we write $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}$ for $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}}} v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{M})$.

Let d be the relative dimension of X over \mathcal{O}_K . Then we have

Proposition 2.37. *The complex $\mathbb{W}_{X,n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet$ extends uniquely to a complex*

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \xrightarrow{\nabla^1} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla^2} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla^d} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^d \longrightarrow 0$$

with the following property: for every $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_M$, for m, n and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $x \in \text{Ker}(\theta_{X,n})(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{U}/\mathcal{O}_K}^i$ we have $\nabla^{i+1}(x^{[m]} \otimes \omega) = x^{[m-1]} \otimes \nabla^{i+1}(x\omega)$. Furthermore

- i. the sequence above is exact;
- ii. the natural inclusion $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ identifies $\text{Ker}(\nabla^1)$ with $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla$;
- iii. (Griffith's transversality) we have $\nabla(\text{Fil}^r(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M})) \subset \text{Fil}^{r-1}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$ for every r ;
- iv. for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $0 \longrightarrow \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^\nabla \longrightarrow \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \xrightarrow{\nabla^1} \text{Fil}^{r-1} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla^2} \text{Fil}^{r-2} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla^3} \cdots$, with the convention that $\text{Fil}^s \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} = \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ for $s < 0$, is exact;
- v. the connection $\nabla: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$ is quasi-nilpotent;
- vi. Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine, choose parameters $T_1, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^\times$ and let $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the induced lift of absolute Frobenius to $R_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then Frobenius $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is horizontal with respect to $\nabla_{\mathcal{U}}$ i. e., $\nabla|_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \varphi_{\mathcal{U}} = (\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes dF_{\mathcal{U}}) \circ \nabla|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proof. The uniqueness is clear. We have to prove that the formula defining ∇^i is well defined. By uniqueness it suffices to show it after passing to the subcategory $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$ where \mathcal{U} is a small affine of X^{et} . Write $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^\nabla|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ as in 2.31. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$ restricted to \mathfrak{U}_M is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_M}$ -module with basis dT_1, \dots, dT_d and the element $X_i \in \mathbb{W}_{X,n}(\mathfrak{U}_{n,M})$ satisfies $\nabla(X_i) = 1 \otimes dT_i$. In particular the complex above extends uniquely to a complex $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}^{\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{U}/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet$ characterized by the property that $\nabla(X_i^{[m]}) = X_i^{[m-1]} \otimes dT_i$.

Claims (i)–(vi) can be also checked after passing to $\mathfrak{U}_{n,M}$. Claims (ii) and (iii) follow from the formulae given above. Claims (i) and (iv) follow from the formulae and Poincaré's lemma for the PD polynomial algebras ([BO, Proof of Thm. 6.12]). Claim (v) follows remarking that $\nabla(\partial/\partial T_i)^p \equiv 0$ modulo $p\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$.

Note that $F_{\mathcal{U}}(T_i) = T_i^p$ so that $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i) = 1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1$. Hence $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i^{[m]}) = (1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1)^{[m]}$. We compute $\nabla(\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}(X_i^{[m]})) = (1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1)^{[m-1]} \otimes \nabla(1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes T_i^p - \tilde{T}_i^p \otimes 1)^{[m-1]} \otimes dT_i^p = (\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes dF_{\mathcal{U}}) \nabla(X_i^{[m]})$. This proves (vi). \square

We conclude this section with a variant of the constructions above considering Tate twists. For every integer r define the inverse systems of sheaves of $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ -modules (resp. $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ -modules):

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r) := \left\{ u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \right\}_n$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) := \left\{ u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \right\}_n$$

For $i \geq 1$ define

$$\nabla^{i-1}(r): \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^i \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}$$

to be induced by the system of morphisms on $u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^i \rightarrow u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{i+1}$ given by $1 \otimes \nabla^{i-1}$. Put $\nabla(r) := \nabla^1(r)$.

We define an exhaustive, separated decreasing filtration on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r)$ (resp. on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)$) by inverse systems of sub-sheaves by setting

$$\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r) := \left\{ u_{X,M}^*(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^{i-r} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \right\}_n$$

and

$$\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) := \left\{ u_{X,M}^*(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^{i-r} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \right\}_n$$

for every $i \geq r$ and setting it to be $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r)$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)$) for $i \leq r$.

Recall that $p^{-1} = (p-1)! \frac{t^{[p]}}{t^p} \in A_{\text{cris}} \cdot t^{-p}$. Thus, p^{-r} lies in $A_{\text{cris}} t^{-pr}$ and, since it is invariant under G_M , it is a well defined element of $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(pr)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define Frobenius $\varphi: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(pr)$ to be the system of morphisms

$$u_{X,M}^*(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M} \longrightarrow u_{X,M}^*(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M},$$

given by $a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes p^{-r} \varphi(b)$. Assume that \mathcal{U} is a small affine, choose parameters $T_1, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$ and let $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the induced lift of absolute Frobenius to $R_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then using the same formula we get a Frobenius $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(r)|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(pr)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ which is horizontal with respect to the connection $\nabla(r)|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Lemma 2.38. *The filtrations $\{\text{Fil}^i(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r))\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\text{Fil}^i(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r))\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are decreasing, separated and exhaustive.*

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine of X^{et} . Then

$$\text{Fil}^i(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r))(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \text{Fil}^{i-r} A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cdot t^r \text{ and } \text{Fil}^i(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r))(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \text{Fil}^{i-r} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cdot t^r.$$

The analogue of claims (i)–(vi) of 2.37 hold for the connection $\nabla(r)$ and the system of sheaves $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r)$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)$.

Proof. The first and second statements follow from proposition 2.36. The last statement follows from the definition and proposition 2.37. \square

2.8 The ind-sheaves $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$ and \mathbb{B}_{cris} .

Generalities on inductive systems. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. We denote by $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$, called the category of inductive systems of objects of \mathcal{A} , the category whose objects are $(A_i, \gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with A_i object of \mathcal{A} and $\gamma_i: A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$ morphism in \mathcal{A} for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given an integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ a morphism $f: \underline{A} := (A_i, \gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \underline{B} := (B_j, \delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of degree N is a system of morphisms $f_i: A_i \rightarrow B_{i+N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\delta_{i+N} \circ f_i = f_{i+1} \circ \gamma_i$. Since \mathcal{A} is an additive category the set of morphisms of degree N form an abelian group with the zero map, the sum of two functions and the inverse of a function defined componentwise. Given a morphism $f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ of degree N we get a morphism of degree $N + 1$ given by $(\delta_{i+N} \circ f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. This defines a group homomorphism from the morphisms $\text{Hom}^N(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ of degree N to the morphisms $\text{Hom}^{N+1}(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ of degree $N + 1$. We define the group of morphisms $f: (A_i, \gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (B_j, \delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the inductive limit $\lim_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}^N(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ with respect to the transition maps just defined.

Given any such morphism f we let $\text{Ker}(f)$ be the inductive system $(\text{Ker}(f_i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with transition morphisms defined by the γ_i 's. We let $\text{Coker}(f)$ be the inductive system $(\text{Coker}(f_{i-N}))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with transition morphisms induced by the δ_i 's. One verifies that with these definitions the category $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ is an abelian category. Note that we have a natural functor

$$\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$$

sending A to the inductive system $(A, \text{Id})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ which is exact and fully faithful.

Assume furthermore that \mathcal{A} is a tensor category. Given objects $\underline{A} := (A_i, \gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\underline{B} := (B_j, \delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ we define $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}$ to be the inductive system $(A_i \otimes B_i, \gamma_i \otimes \delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. In this way $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ is endowed with the structure of a tensor category so that the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ is a morphism of tensor categories. By abuse of notation given an object $B \in \mathcal{A}$ we write $\underline{A} \otimes B$ for the inductive system $\underline{A} \otimes \underline{B}$ with $\underline{B} = (B, \text{Id})$.

Let \mathcal{B} be an abelian category in which direct limits of inductive systems indexed by \mathbb{Z} exist. Consider the induced functor

$$\varinjlim: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}.$$

Suppose we are given δ -functors $T^n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\varinjlim T^n: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

as the composite of the functor $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$, given by $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (T^n(A_i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and of the functor \varinjlim .

Lemma 2.39. *If \varinjlim is left exact then the functors $\varinjlim T^n$, for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a δ -functor. If $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is universal then also $(\varinjlim T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is universal.*

Proof. Due to the universal property of direct limits the functor \varinjlim is always right exact. Thus the assumption is equivalent to the requirement that it is exact. The claim follows. \square

Remark 2.40. One can relax the definition of a morphism in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. Consider a non-decreasing function $\alpha: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Given objects $\underline{A} := (A_i, \gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\underline{B} := (B_j, \delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we define a morphism $f: \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ of type α to be a collection of morphisms $f_i: A_i \rightarrow B_{\alpha(i)}$ such that $f_{i+1} \circ \gamma_i = \prod_{\alpha(i) \leq j < \alpha(i+1)} \delta_j \circ f_i$. We denote by $\text{Hom}^\alpha(\underline{A}, \underline{B})$ the group of homomorphisms of type α . We say that two morphisms f and g of type α (resp. β) are equivalent if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that f_i composed with $B_{\alpha(i)} \rightarrow B_{\max(\alpha(i), \beta(i)) + N}$ and g_i composed with $B_{\beta(i)} \rightarrow B_{\max(\alpha(i), \beta(i)) + N}$ coincide. One checks that this defines an equivalence relation. We define a morphism $\underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ to be a class of morphisms with respect to this equivalence relation. The morphisms in the more restrictive sense given before inject into this new class of morphisms. Since this complicates the notation we will work mainly with the previous more restrictive notion.

Recall that given an integer r the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(r)$ is characterized by the property that for every small affine open $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{et}}$ its localization $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(r)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is the group $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ with action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ twisted by the r -th power of the cyclotomic character. Let $t := \log[\epsilon] \in A_{\text{cris}}$ (see section 1.2). Fix integers $r \geq s$. For every \mathcal{U} as above we have a map $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(s)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(r)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -module sending $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes t^{[r-s]}$. Since they are equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$, these maps for varying \mathcal{U} arise from a unique morphism

$$j_{r,s}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}^\nabla(r).$$

They are compatible for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define a morphism of continuous sheaves $j_{r,s}: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(r)$. Define $\iota_{r,s} := (r-s)! j_{r,s}$. It follows from the construction that $j_{r,s}$, and hence $\iota_{r,s}$, sends $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(s)$ to $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(r)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$ in $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N})$ to be the inductive system of continuous sheaves having $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(-r)$ in degree r with transition maps given by $\iota_{r-1, r}$. Analogously for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$ in $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N})$ be the inductive system of continuous sheaves having $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(-r)$ in degree r with transition maps induced by $\iota_{r-1, r}$. By construction it is a sub-object of $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$. The Frobenius morphisms on $\varphi: \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla(pr)$ are compatible for varying $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\iota_{r-1, r}$. Using the more general notion of a morphism of inductive systems given in 2.40 it induces a morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$ in $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N})$ sending $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla \rightarrow \text{Fil}^{r+p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$.

Similarly, we define the continuous sheaves $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(r)$. As before we get the inductive systems $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ and $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in $\text{ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N})$ as the inductive system of continuous sheaves having $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(-r)$ (resp. $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(-r)$) in degree r . Assume that \mathcal{U} is a small affine. Then Frobenius $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, M}(r)|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, M}(pr)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ induces, in the more general framework of 2.40, a morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_M)^\mathbb{N})$.

We remark that the morphisms $j_{s+p, s}$ for varying $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ define a morphism j on $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla, \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla, \text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ such that $p!j$ is the identity in the category of inductive systems. We deduce that multiplication by p is an isomorphism on all the objects above.

For notational convention put $\text{Fil}^{-\infty} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla = \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla$ and similarly without ∇ . As explained before, the localization functor on $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$, extends to a functor on $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^\mathbb{N})$. Put $\text{Fil}^{-\infty} B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = B_{\text{cris}}^\nabla(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and similarly without ∇ . Summarizing and using the results of the previous section we get :

Lemma 2.41. (1) *Multiplication by p is an isomorphism on $\text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla, \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla, \text{Fil}^n \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$.*

(2) For every $r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ we have an exact sequence of inductive systems

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}^{\nabla} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M} \xrightarrow{\nabla^1} \mathrm{Fil}^{r-1} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla^2} \mathrm{Fil}^{r-2} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \cdots$$

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine, choose parameters $T_1, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$ and let $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the induced lift of absolute Frobenius to $R_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then,

(3) Frobenius $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is horizontal with respect to $\nabla|_{\mathcal{U}}$ and induces Frobenius on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}^{\nabla}|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

(4) $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong B_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong B_{\mathrm{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}^{\nabla})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathrm{Fil}^i B_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, M})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathrm{Fil}^i B_{\mathrm{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.9 The fundamental exact sequence

Following [Fo, §5.3.6] put $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} = \{x \in \mathrm{Fil}^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} | \varphi(x) \in p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}}\}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\frac{\varphi}{p^r}: \mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ be the induced map. Note that $p^r \mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^r A_{\mathrm{cris}}$. For every n and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ define the sheaf

$$\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} := (\mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} / p^n \mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}}) \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}.$$

For $r = 0$ it coincides with $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ thanks to lemma 2.26(c). Since $\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}$ is flat as a sheaf of W_n -modules by corollary 2.22, $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ defines a subsheaf of $\mathrm{Fil}_p^0 \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$. Let

$$\frac{\varphi}{p^r}: \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$$

be the morphism defined by $\frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ on $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} / p^n \mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ and by φ on $\mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}$. Let $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n+1, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ be the morphism defined by reduction modulo p^n on $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} / p^{n+1} \mathrm{Fil}_p^r A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ and $r_{n+1, \overline{K}}: \mathbb{W}_{n+1, \overline{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, \overline{K}}$. Put $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ to be the associated inverse system of sheaves. Write $\frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ for the induced morphism $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$.

Proposition 2.42. *Assume we are in the formal case. Then:*

(1) The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} t^{\{r\}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \xrightarrow{1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(2) The morphism of continuous sheaves $\mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Proof. We start with the proof of (2). It follows from 2.22 and 2.26 that $\mathrm{Fil}^r(A_{\mathrm{cris}}/p^n A_{\mathrm{cris}}) \otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ is an isomorphism. We then get natural maps $p^r \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n-r, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, n-r, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}$ inducing morphisms of continuous sheaves

$$p^r \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}.$$

This proves (2).

For the proof of (1) we proceed as in the proof of [T, Thm. A3.26]. Following [Fo, §5.3.1] define $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} := \{x \in A_{\text{cris}} \mid \varphi^n(x) \in \text{Fil}^s A_{\text{cris}} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ write $m = q(m)(p-1) + r(m)$ with $0 \leq r(m) < p-1$. Let $t^{\{m\}} := t^{r(m)} \cdot \left(\frac{t^{p-1}}{p}\right)^{[q(m)]}$. It is proven in loc. cit. that $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}}$ is the closure for the p -adic topology of the A_{inf}^+ -module generated by the elements $t^{\{s\}}$ for $s \geq r$. Furthermore $A_{\text{cris}}/I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}}$ is p -torsion free by [Fo, Prop. 5.3.5]. In particular the decreasing filtration $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}$ on $\text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, has torsion free graded quotients. Its reduction modulo p^n injects into $\text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}/p^n \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}$ and defines a decreasing filtration on the latter. Since $\mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}}$ is flat as a sheaf of W_n -modules by 2.22 taking $\otimes_{W_n} \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}}$ we get a decreasing filtration on $\text{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$ which we denote by $\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$. We write $I^{[s]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$ if $r = 0$.

Write $q' := \frac{[\varepsilon]-1}{[\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}}-1} = 1 + [\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + [\varepsilon]^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$. It follows from [Fo, §5.3.6] that $\frac{I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}}{I^{[s+1]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}}$ is p -torsion free and it is generated as A_{inf}^+ -module by the element $(q')^{r-s} t^{\{s\}}$ for $0 \leq s < r$ and by $t^{\{s\}}$ for $s \geq r$. Since $\varphi(q') \in pA_{\text{cris}}$, by [Fo, §5.2.9], the map $\frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ sends $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}$ to $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}}$ so that $1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ sends $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}$ to $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}}$. We deduce that the morphism $1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ sends $\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$ to $I^{[s]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$. The conclusion follows from 2.43. \square

Lemma 2.43. *The morphism $1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ induces isomorphisms*

$$\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla \longrightarrow I^{[r+1]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$$

and

$$\frac{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla}{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla} \longrightarrow \frac{I^{[s]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla}{I^{[s+1]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla}$$

for $0 \leq s < r$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} \cdot t^{\{r\}} \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla}{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla} \xrightarrow{1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}} \frac{I^{[r]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla}{I^{[r+1]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By construction we have $\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla = I^{[r+1]}bA'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}{}^\nabla$ so that the operator $1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}$ is $1 - p \cdot \frac{\varphi}{p^{r+1}}$ which is unipotent and hence an isomorphism. This proves the first assertion.

It follows from [Fo, Prop. 5.1.3 & Rmk. 5.3.2] that $I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap A_{\text{inf}}^+ = ([\varepsilon] - 1)^s A_{\text{inf}}^+$ and that

$$A_{\text{inf}}^+ / ([\varepsilon] - 1)A_{\text{inf}}^+ \longrightarrow I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} / I^{[s+1]}A_{\text{cris}}, \quad x \mapsto x \cdot t^{\{s\}}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular this isomorphism induces the isomorphisms

$$A_{\text{inf}}^+ / ([\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1)A_{\text{inf}}^+ \longrightarrow \frac{I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}}{I^{[s+1]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}}, \quad x \mapsto x(q')^{r-s} t^{\{s\}}$$

for $0 \leq s < r$ and the isomorphism

$$A_{\text{inf}}^+ / ([\varepsilon] - 1)A_{\text{inf}}^+ \longrightarrow \frac{I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}}{I^{[s+1]}A_{\text{cris}} \cap \text{Fil}_p^r A_{\text{cris}}} = I^{[s]}A_{\text{cris}} / I^{[s+1]}A_{\text{cris}}, \quad x \mapsto xt^{\{s\}}$$

for $s \geq r$. It follows from [Fo, §5.2.9] that $(1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}) \left((q')^{r-s} t^{\{s\}} \right) \equiv t^{\{s\}} \pmod{I^{[s+1]} A_{\text{cris}}}$ for every $0 \leq s \leq r$. We then deduce by base changing via the flat extension $W_n \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}}$ that for $0 \leq s \leq r$ the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} / ([\varepsilon]^{\frac{1}{p}} - 1) \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} & \xrightarrow{q', r-s-\varphi} & \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} / ([\varepsilon] - 1) \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}}{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [s+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}} & \xrightarrow{1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}} & \frac{I^{[s]} b \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}}{I^{[s+1]} b \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}} \end{array}$$

is commutative and that the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. The second claim of the lemma follows remarking that the top horizontal morphism is the sum of a nilpotent map, given by multiplication by $q', r-s$ and the map $-\varphi$ which is an isomorphism due to 2.22. Similarly for $s = r$ the following diagram is commutative with vertical arrows isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} / ([\varepsilon] - 1) \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} / ([\varepsilon] - 1) \mathbb{W}_{n, \bar{K}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}}{\text{Fil}_p^{r, [r+1]} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}} & \xrightarrow{1 - \frac{\varphi}{p^r}} & \frac{I^{[r]} b \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}}{I^{[r+1]} b \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, \bar{K}}} \end{array}$$

The last assertion follows remarking that the top horizontal arrow is surjective with kernel $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ due to corollary 2.22. \square

Define $\text{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}(m)$ as the system $\{ (\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n \mathbb{Z}_p(r)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}_p^{i-r} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, M} \}_n$. Let $\text{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}$ be the inductive system of continuous sheaves $\text{Fil}_p^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}(m)$.

Since multiplication by p is an isomorphism on $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}$ by 2.41 it follows from proposition 2.42 that it coincides with $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}$. The morphism $1 - \varphi: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}(-r) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}(-pr)$ induces a morphism of inductive systems $1 - \varphi: \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}$. Since multiplication by t is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}$ by 3.1 we deduce from proposition 2.42 the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \longrightarrow \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We then get the following commutative diagram with exact rows, called the *fundamental diagram of sheaves*:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \cap & & \cap & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}})^{\varphi=1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

3 The crystalline comparison isomorphism.

3.1 Crystalline étale sheaves.

In this section we assume that X is defined over $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{W}(k)$ so that the sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}$ is defined. Recall that we have natural morphisms of sites $u_M: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow X_M^{\text{et}}$, given by $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto \mathcal{W}$, and

$v_M: X^{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_M$ given by $\mathcal{U} \mapsto (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^{\text{rig}})$. If \mathbb{L} is a sheaf on X_M^{et} , to ease the notation we simply write \mathbb{L} for $u_{M,*}(\mathbb{L})$. The aim of this section is to introduce the so called “crystalline \mathbb{Q}_p -adic sheaves” on X_M^{et} . As explained in proposition 3.7 the definition amounts to a sheaf theoretic generalization of the usual notion of crystalline representation, due to Fontaine, in the relative setting. We show in 3.7 that this notion coincides with the notion of “locally crystalline representations” introduced by [Bri] in the relative setting. We will prove in lemma 3.14 that it is also equivalent to Faltings’ notion of associated sheaves. Contrary to these alternative definitions which are checked on small enough open affines the present definition has the advantage of being purely sheaf theoretic.

\mathbb{Q}_p -adic sheaves. By a p -adic sheaf \mathbb{L} on X_M^{et} we mean a system $\{\mathbb{L}_n\} \in \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that \mathbb{L}_n is a locally constant and locally free of finite rank étale sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules and $\mathbb{L}_n = \mathbb{L}_{n+1}/p^n\mathbb{L}_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given two p -adic sheaves $\mathbb{L} := \{\mathbb{L}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{M} := \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ define $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{M} := \{\mathbb{L}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_n\}_n$ and $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{M}) := \{\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{L}_n, \mathcal{M}_n)\}_n$. Put $\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ to be the sheaf $\{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}\}_n$ with $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ the constant sheaf. This defines a structure of abelian tensor category on p -adic sheaves on X_M^{et} . Define $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ to be the sheaf $\{\mu_{p^n}\}_n$ of p -power roots of unity. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\mathbb{Z}_p(m)$ to be the m -fold tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. For $m \leq 0$ put $\mathbb{Z}_p(m) := \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_p(-m), \mathbb{Z}_p)$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathbb{L} a p -adic sheaf denote $\mathbb{L}(m) := \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(m)$.

Define $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ to be the full subcategory of $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}})$ (see §2.8) consisting of inductive systems of the form $(\mathbb{L})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where \mathbb{L} is a p -adic étale sheaf and the transition maps $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ are given by multiplication by p . It inherits from the category of p -adic sheaves on X_M^{et} the structure of an abelian tensor category.

Let $\mathbb{L} = \{\mathbb{L}_n\}$ be a p -adic étale sheaf. By definition for every $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ we have $u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{L}_n)(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) = \mathbb{L}_n(\mathcal{W})$. Since \mathbb{L}_n is a locally constant sheaf of finite abelian groups there exists $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{U}_{M,\text{fet}}$ such that for every morphism $(\mathcal{U}', \mathcal{W}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$ in \mathfrak{X}_M the map $\mathbb{L}_n(\mathcal{W}') \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_n(\mathcal{W})$ is a bijection. In particular $u_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{L}_n)$ is locally constant on \mathfrak{X}_M and $u_{X,M,*}$ is fully faithful. Similarly if we extend $u_{X,M,*}$ to inductive systems of inverse systems of sheaves we get a fully faithful morphism $u_{X,M,*}: \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}})$. We simply write \mathbb{L}_n for $u_{M,*}(\mathbb{L}_n)$ and \mathbb{L} for the inverse system of sheaves $\{u_{M,*}(\mathbb{L}_n)\}_n$.

If $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ is affine connected then the localization $\mathbb{L}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as defined in 2.2 is given by a free $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -module with continuous action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}$ which we denote by $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}_n)$. Write $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}_n)$.

The categories $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}}$ and $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$. Denote by $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) the following category. The objects are systems $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_n \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with \mathcal{M}_n a sheaf of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n,M}^{\nabla}$ -modules (resp. $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ -modules). Given objects \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' the morphisms are $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$) i. e. the subset of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ which are by definition compatible systems of homomorphisms $\{f_n: \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ commuting with the underlying structure of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}$ -modules (resp. $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$ -modules) i. e. such that f_n is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ -modules (resp. $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}$ -modules) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define the sheaf $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}^{\nabla}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$ (resp. $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}')$) in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}}$ (respectively in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) associated to the pre-sheaf whose sections at $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ consist of the

group

$$\left\{ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{M}'_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})) \right\}_n$$

respectively

$$\left\{ \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})}(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}), \mathcal{M}'_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})) \right\}_n.$$

Define $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}} \mathcal{M}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}} \mathcal{M}'$) to be the sheaf in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ (respectively in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) associated to the pre-sheaf valued $\left\{ \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M,n}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{M}'_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}) \right\}_n$ on $(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}) \in \mathfrak{X}$ (respectively $\left\{ \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W})} \mathcal{M}'_n(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{W}) \right\}_n$). Define $\mathbf{1}$ to be the element $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}$ (respectively \mathbb{A}_{cris}). With these structures both categories $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ and $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$ are abelian tensor categories. Given any object \mathcal{N} we write $\mathcal{N}(r)$ to be $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(r)$ (respectively $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)$).

Define $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$) to be the full subcategory of $\text{Ind}(\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}})$ (respectively $\text{Ind}(\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}})$) consisting of objects of the form $(\mathcal{M}(-r))_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with \mathcal{M} a fixed object of $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) and the transition morphisms $\iota_{\mathcal{M},r,s}: \mathcal{M}(s) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(r)$ are induced by the morphisms $\iota_{r,s}: \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(s) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(r)$ (and similarly for $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}$) defined in §2.8. Remark that this object is simply the tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$) defined in §2.8. Given objects \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} we denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$) the group of homomorphisms in this category. These categories inherit from $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ and $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$ the structures of tensor categories.

Consider objects $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ or in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$. Given integers m and n and a morphism $f: \mathcal{M}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(m+n)$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ (respectively $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) we define a morphism of inductive systems $(f_i: \mathcal{M}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(i+n))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ identifying $\mathcal{M}(i) \cong \mathcal{M}(m) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(i-m)$ and $\mathcal{N}(i+n) \cong \mathcal{N}(m+n) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}(i-m)$ and setting $f_i := f \otimes \text{Id}$ (and similarly if we have objects in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$).

Lemma 3.1. *The maps above define group isomorphisms*

$$\lim_{s,r \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}(s), \mathcal{N}(r)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Here, the direct limits on the left hand side is taken via the maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}(s), \mathcal{N}(r)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}(s'), \mathcal{N}(r'))$ given by $f \mapsto \iota_{\mathcal{N},r,r'} \circ f \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M},s',s}$ for integers $s' \geq s$ and $r \geq r'$. Similarly we get an isomorphisms

$$\lim_{s,r \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}}(\mathcal{M}(s), \mathcal{N}(r)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}).$$

Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}'_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ (resp. in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$) induced by a morphism $f_{m,n}: \mathcal{M}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(n)$ in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}}$) for some m and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following are equivalent:

- 1) f is an isomorphism;
- 2) there are r and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and a map $h_{r,s}: \mathcal{N}(n+r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(m-s)$ such that $f_{m,n}(s) \circ h_{r,s}$ is $\iota_{\mathcal{N},n+r,n-s}: \mathcal{N}(n+r) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(n-s)$ and $h_{r,s} \circ f_{m,n}(+r)$ is $\iota_{\mathcal{M},m+r,m-s}: \mathcal{M}(m+r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(m-s)$;

3) there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every small affine $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{et}}$ and every $a \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $\mathcal{M}_a(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_a(n)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ induced by $f_{m,n}$ has kernel and cokernel annihilated by t^N .

Furthermore multiplication by t is an isomorphism in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}}$ (resp. $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$).

Proof. The first claim follows from the definitions and is left to the reader. The last claim follows from 2.41 remarking that any object is of the form $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$).

The equivalence of (1) and (2) is clear. Note that the morphism $\mathcal{M}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(n)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a morphism of A_{cris} -modules so that (3) makes sense.

(2) \implies (3) Note that $\mathcal{M}(h)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \mathcal{M}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathcal{N}(h)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \mathcal{N}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -modules for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ (only the Galois action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$ is different). Via these identifications the maps $\mathcal{N}(n+r)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(n-s)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathcal{M}(m+r)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(m-s)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ are multiplication by t^{s+r} .

(3) \implies (2) Write $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{N} := \{\mathcal{N}_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{N}}$. Consider the map $\iota_{\mathcal{N},n+2N,n+N} : \mathcal{N}(n+2N) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(n+N)$. By assumption for every small affine $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ and every $a \in \mathbb{N}$ the image of the induced map on localizations $\mathcal{N}_a(n+2N)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_a(n+N)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is zero in the cokernel of $f_{a,\mathcal{U}}(N) : \mathcal{M}_a(m+N)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_a(n+N)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ induced by $f_{m,n}$. Hence it factors via the image $\text{Im}(f_{a,\mathcal{U}}(N))$ of $f_{a,\mathcal{U}}(N)$. The map $\text{Im}(f_{a,\mathcal{U}}(N)) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f_{a,\mathcal{U}})$ is multiplication by t^N and hence factors uniquely via $\mathcal{M}_a(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ by assumption. Thus the map $\mathcal{N}_a(n+2N)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_a(n)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ obtained from $\iota_{\mathcal{N},n+2N,n}$ by localization factors uniquely via $f_{a,\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{M}_a(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_a(n)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. By uniqueness this factorization is $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$ -equivariant and compatible for varying \mathcal{U} 's and a 's. In particular it provides a map $\{h_{a,2N,0} : \mathcal{N}_a(n+2N) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_a(m)\}_{a \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the required properties. \square

Let $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{et}}$ be a small affine with Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$. As explained in §2.8 the localization functor $\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}} \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ extend to a localization functor $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}$ which we denote by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Restricting it to the categories $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}}$ (respectively $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$) and using 2.41 they define functors

$$\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}} \longrightarrow \text{Mod} - B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})[\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}], \quad \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}} \longrightarrow \text{Mod} - B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})[\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}]$$

to the categories of $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -modules (resp. $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -modules) endowed with continuous action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$.

For later purposes we prove the following property of localizations of tensor products. In the next lemma we suppose that M is a finite extension of K . Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}}}$ -modules on X^{et} . Write $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}$ for $v_{X,M}^*(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathbb{L} be a p -adic sheaf on X_M^{et} . Fix $r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$. Let $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{et}}$ be a small affine. If $\mathcal{M}[p^{-1}](\mathcal{U})$ is a projective $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module then*

$$\left(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M} \right) (\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} \text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$$

in $\text{Mod} - B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})[\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}]$.

Similarly let $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ be the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$ -representation associated to \mathbb{L} . Then

$$(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}) (\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$$

in $\text{Mod} - B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})[\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}]$.

Proof. We prove the first statement. We assume that $X = \mathcal{U}$. Since $\mathcal{M}[p^{-1}]$ is projective and coherent it is a direct summand in a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module. We may then assume that $\mathcal{M}[p^{-1}]$ is free. The claim follows from 2.41. The second statement follows also from loc. cit. \square

Let $v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}: \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}})$ be the functor $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_n \mapsto \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} v_{X,M,*}(\mathcal{F}_n)$. As explained in §2.8 it induces a functor on the category $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}})$ and hence a functor

$$v_{M,*}: \text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}} \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}}).$$

Given a p -adic sheaf \mathbb{L} on X_M^{et} define

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) := v_{M,*}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}).$$

Recall that by abuse of notation we denoted \mathbb{L} the continuous sheaf on \mathfrak{X}_M given by $w_{X,M,*}(\mathbb{L})$. Then $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ -modules in $\text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}})$. Put

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) := \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(\mathbb{L}), \quad \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) := \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})$$

whenever M is a fixed finite extension of K .

Lemma 3.3. *The sheaf $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ is endowed with an action of G_M and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) = (\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))^{G_M}$.*

Proof. One has $v_{\bar{K},*}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(r)) = v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}(\beta_{M,\bar{K},*}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(r)))$ since $v_{\bar{K},*} = v_{M,*} \circ \beta_{M,\bar{K},*}$. Due to corollary 2.32 we have $\beta_{M,\bar{K}}^*(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}$ so that $v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}(\beta_{M,\bar{K},*}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(r)))$ coincides with $v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}(\beta_{M,\bar{K},*}^{\mathbb{N}} \circ \beta_{M,\bar{K}}^{\mathbb{N},*}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)))$. It then follows from lemma 2.14(ii) that the module $v_{\bar{K},*}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(r))$ is endowed with an action of G_M and that

$$v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r)) = v_{\bar{K},*}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}(r))^{G_M}.$$

Passing to direct limits on $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the lemma follows. \square

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine of X^{et} . Then $\mathbb{L}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -module by assumption and thus the natural map $\mathbb{L}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M})(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an isomorphism. Then $v_{M,*}(\mathbb{L}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M})(\mathcal{U}) = (\mathbb{L}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},n})(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}$. Then the map $\mathbb{L}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^r \longrightarrow \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \mathbb{L}_n(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^r$ is an isomorphism for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ since $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is p -adically complete and separated and thanks to proposition 2.34. Following [Bri] define

$$D_{\text{cris},M}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) := (V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}.$$

It then follows that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{cris},M}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$$

as $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -modules and since $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, \overline{K}}$ acts trivially on t we get

$$\left(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \right)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, \overline{K}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$$

as $R_{\mathcal{U}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} B_{\text{cris}}$ -modules. Let M be a finite extension of K . It follows that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}$ define functors

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}} : \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}} : \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} B_{\text{cris}});$$

here $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} B_{\text{cris}}$ stands for $\mathcal{O}_X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\text{cris}}[t^{-1}]$ where $\mathcal{O}_X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\text{cris}}$ is the sheaf on X^{et} defined by $\lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} ((\mathcal{O}_X/p^n \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\text{cris}})$. Furthermore we have.

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be a finite extension of K . The $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$ is projective, of finite type and of rank less or equal to the rank of \mathbb{L} .*

Proof. It follows from [Bri, Prop. 8.3.1] and the identification $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$. \square

Crystalline étale sheaves. Let $K \subseteq M (\subset \overline{K})$ be a finite extension. Following [O, Def. 1.1] we denote by $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$ to be the full subcategory of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -modules isomorphic to $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ for some coherent sheaf F of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -modules on X . A \mathbb{Q}_p -adic sheaf $\mathbb{L} = \{\mathbb{L}_n\}_n$ on X_M^{et} is called *crystalline* if

- i. $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$;
- ii. the natural map $\alpha_{\text{cris}, \mathbb{L}} : \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$.

Denote by $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ the full subcategory of $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ consisting of crystalline sheaves.

Convention: For any coherent $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module D we write

$$D \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M} := v_{X, M}^*(D) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}.$$

Remark 3.5. To make sense of (ii) note that by adjunction we have a morphism

$$f_m(\mathbb{L}) : v_{X, M}^* \left(v_{M, *} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m) \right) \right) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}$ is identified with $v_{X, M}^*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ by lemma 2.13. Using proposition 3.6 we know that for $m \leq N$ large the $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module $D(m) := v_{M, *} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m) \right)$ is coherent and its image in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$ is $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$. Then $\alpha_{\text{cris}, \mathbb{L}}$ is the map in $\text{Mod}(\mathfrak{X}_M)_{\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}}$ induced by the $f_m(\mathbb{L})$ for $m \leq N$. Due to proposition 3.6 and since X is noetherian

$$v_{X, M}^* \left(v_{M, *} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m) \right) \right) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}$$

is also isomorphic as inductive system to $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ for any coherent $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module D such that $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} M_0 \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -modules.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathbb{L} be a p -adic sheaf. If $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$ there exists a negative integer N such that for every $m \leq N$ the natural morphism*

$$\mu_m: v_{M,*} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(m) \right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$$

is injective and is an isomorphism after inverting p as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -modules. For any such $m \leq N$ and every small affine $\mathcal{U} \in X^{\text{et}}$ the $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module $v_{M,}^{\text{cont}} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(m) \right)(\mathcal{U})$ is finitely generated and p -torsion free.*

Proof. Since X is noetherian, $K \subset M$ is a finite extension and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that μ_m is surjective after inverting p for every $m \geq N$. Since the natural maps $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(s)$ are injective and $v_{M,*}^{\text{cont}}$ is left exact, μ_m is also injective. This proves the first statement.

Since $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$ is a projective and finitely generated $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module it is a direct summand in a finite and free $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module T_{M_0} . Let T be a free $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -submodule of T_{M_0} such that $T[p^{-1}] = T_{M_0}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough so that the image of $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ in $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \subset T_{M_0} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is contained in $T \cdot \frac{1}{p^n} \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Then $v_{M,*}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(m))(\mathcal{U})$ is $(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^m)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}$ and this is contained in the submodule $(T \cdot \frac{1}{p^n} \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^m)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}$.

Put $R' := (A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^m)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}$. It is p -adically complete and separated, it contains $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ and it is contained in $(B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^m)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}} = R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}[p^{-1}]$ by [Bri, Prop. 6.2.9]. We claim that this implies that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n R'$ is contained in $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$. If $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ were a complete dvr, the above conditions would imply the claim. In the general case replacing R with the localization at a prime ideal \mathcal{P} over p and $\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{P}}$ we deduce that there exists $n_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^{n_{\mathcal{P}}} R' \subset \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{P}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$. Taking n to be the maximum of all the $n_{\mathcal{P}}$'s we deduce that $R' \subset R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}[p^{-1}]$ and also $p^n R' \subset \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{P}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$. Since $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ is normal we deduce the claim. Since T is free $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module, we conclude that $v_{M,*}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(m))(\mathcal{U})$ is contained in $T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0} \cdot \frac{1}{p^n}$. In particular it is a finitely generated $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module as desired. \square

Let \mathbb{L} be a p -adic sheaf on X_M^{et} . Following [Bri] for every small affine \mathcal{U} of X^{et} we say that $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is *crystalline* if the map $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is an isomorphism. Then we have.

Proposition 3.7. *The following are equivalent:*

- 1) \mathbb{L} is crystalline;
- 2) for every small affine object \mathcal{U} of X^{et} the representation $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline;
- 3) there is a covering $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_i$ of X^{et} by small affine objects such that $V_{\mathcal{U}_i}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline for every i ;

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Due to 3.2 we have $(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) = V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Note that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$ is a projective $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module by 3.4 i.e. it is a direct summand in a free module. As a consequence of remark 3.5 and lemma 3.2 it follows that the localization of

$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. The implication follows applying the localization functor to the isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, M}$.

(2) \implies (3) is clear.

(3) \implies (1) For a small affine open \mathcal{U} of X^{et} and for a negative integer r let $g_{\mathcal{U}, r}$ be the natural map

$$g_{\mathcal{U}, r} : \left(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^r \right)^{g_{\mathcal{U}, M}} \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^r.$$

It is injective by [Bri, Prop. 8.2.6]. In particular $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline if and only if $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in the image of $g_{\mathcal{U}, r}$ for some $r < 0$. We deduce that if $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline then $V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline for every open affine $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

Fix a small affine \mathcal{U} which factors through one of the \mathcal{U}_i 's. In particular $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline. Assume that $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in the image of $g_{\mathcal{U}, r}$. Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be an étale morphism with \mathcal{V} affine. Let $D' \subset D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L}))$ be the image of $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \rightarrow D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L}))$. Since $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) = V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})$, then $V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})$ is in the image of $g_{\mathcal{V}, r}$ and also $V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})$ is crystalline. The extension $R_{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0 \rightarrow B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})$ is faithfully flat by [Bri, Thm. 6.3.8] so that the maps

$$D' \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_{(R_{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{V}}} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}}) \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})$$

are all injective and the composite is surjective. Thus $D' \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{V}} = D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L}))$. This proves that $\mathcal{V} \mapsto D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ -module. Since $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{V})$ it follows that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_{M_0}}$ -module as well. We deduce from [O, Prop. 1.2] that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}(\mathbb{L})$ lies in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$ i. e., condition (i) in the definition of a crystalline étale sheaf holds.

We can be more explicit. Take $N < 0$ as in 3.6. Put $D := \left(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^N \right)^{g_{\mathcal{U}, M}}$ and $V := V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$. From the proof of 3.6 it follows that D is a finitely generated $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module and by construction $D' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$. Since X is a noetherian topological space, this implies that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}, M}(\mathbb{L})$ lies in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0)$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} D \otimes A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{p^n} & D \otimes A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \longrightarrow & (D/p^n D) \otimes A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ g_{\mathcal{U}, N} \downarrow & & g_{\mathcal{U}, N} \downarrow & & g_{\mathcal{U}, N, n} \downarrow & & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^N & \xrightarrow{p^n} & V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^N & \longrightarrow & (V/p^n V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) t^N & \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where in the first row \otimes stands for $\otimes_{(R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})}$. Since $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is p -torsion free by [Bri, Prop. 6.1.10] and V is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module, the bottom row is exact. Recall that $g_{\mathcal{U}, N}$ is injective. Since (3) holds there exists N such that V is in the image of $g_{\mathcal{U}, N}$. Then the cokernel of $g_{\mathcal{U}, N}$ is annihilated by t^{-N} . Since for every open affine $\mathcal{V} \in \mathfrak{U}_K$ we have $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \cong V_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{L})$ we deduce that also the cokernel of $g_{\mathcal{V}, N}$ is annihilated by t^{-N} . Thus the kernel and cokernel of $g_{\mathcal{V}, N, n}$ are annihilated by t^{-N} .

Write f_N for the system of morphisms

$$f_{N, n} : v_{M, *} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris}, n, M}(m) \right) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, n, M}(m)$$

given by adjunction. For every $x \in \mathcal{U}$ the stalk $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M,x}$ at x contains $\lim_{x \in \mathcal{V}} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})$ where the limit is taken over all affine opens \mathcal{V} of x . The cokernel of $\lim_{x \in \mathcal{V}} A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \subset \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,M,x}$ is annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} by 2.35 and hence also by t . Since $\mathbb{L}_x = V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ and $f_{N,n,x}$ is $\lim_{x \in \mathcal{V}} g_{\mathcal{V},N,n}$ on $\lim_{x \in \mathcal{V}} D \otimes A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{V}})/p^n A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$, we conclude that kernel and cokernel of $f_{N,n,x}$ is annihilated by t^{-N+1} . Since X is a noetherian space and taking a smaller N if necessary, we may assume that kernel and cokernel of $f_{N,n,x}$ is annihilated by t^{-N+1} for every $x \in X$. Thus the same applies to $f_{N,n}$ and (1) follows from 3.1(3). \square

3.2 The functors $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ on crystalline sheaves.

Assume as before that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{W}(k)$ and let $K \subseteq M$ be a field extension. The goal of this section is to prove in 3.12 that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ defines an exact, fully faithful functor, commuting with tensor products, duals and Tate twists, from the category of \mathbb{Q}_p -adic crystalline sheaves on X_M^{et} to the category of admissible filtered convergent F -isocrystals on the special fiber of X relatively to M_0 . We also construct an inverse $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ on the essential image.

Given a p -adic sheaf \mathbb{L} on X_M^{et} and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get a well defined subsheaf

$$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) := v_{M,*} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M} \right) \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}).$$

Put $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) := \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(\mathbb{L})$ and if $K \subset M$ is a fixed finite extension, $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) := \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})$. It follows from 3.3 that $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) = \left(\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \right)^{G_M}$.

Since the connections $\nabla(r): \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},M}(r) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1$ are compatible for varying r they induce a connection

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1.$$

Given a small affine \mathcal{U} of X^{et} , write $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ for p -adic representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}$ defined by $\mathbb{L}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and put

$$\text{Fil}^r D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) := \left(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \right)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}}.$$

Due to 2.36 we deduce that via the identification $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ we have $\text{Fil}^r D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris},M}(\mathbb{L})(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. For every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the filtrations

$$\text{Fil}^r \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M} \right) := \sum_{a+b=r} \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Fil}^b \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}$$

and $\text{Fil}^r (\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}) := \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M}$ by sub-objects in the category $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}})$ of inductive systems of continuous sheaves. We denote by

$$\text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M} \right)$$

and $\text{Gr}^r (\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},M})$ the r -th graded quotients of the two filtrations (which are objects in $\text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}})$).

We will assume now until the end of this section that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline sheaf on X_M^{et} . By construction the isomorphism

$$\alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \cong \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}$$

has the property that $\alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}} \left(\text{Fil}^r \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right) \right) \subset \text{Fil}^r \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right)$ and thus it induces a morphism $\text{Gr}^r \alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}}$ on Gr^r .

Lemma 3.8. *For every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the natural morphism*

$$f: \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \longrightarrow \text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right)$$

is an isomorphism. In particular $\text{Gr}^r \alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The surjective morphisms

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=s} \text{Fil}^a \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Fil}^b \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \longrightarrow \text{Fil}^s \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right)$$

for $s = r$ and $r + 1$ induce a surjective morphism

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \longrightarrow \text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right).$$

The map f is the composite of this surjection and $\text{Gr}^r \alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}}$. In particular to deduce that $\text{Gr}^r \alpha_{\text{cris},\mathbb{L}}$ is an isomorphism we are left to prove that f is an isomorphism.

For every integer N define $D(N) := v_{M,*} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(N) \right)$ with the induced filtration. It follows from 3.6 that $\bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}$ is, for N sufficiently small the inductive system of continuous sheaves $\bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a D(N) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(m)$ and $\text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}} \right)$ is the inductive system of continuous sheaves $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(m) \right)$. Furthermore f is induced by the natural morphisms

$$f_m: \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a D(N) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(N+m) \right).$$

To conclude it would be enough to show that there exists a negative integer N and morphisms

$$g_m: \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Gr}^r \left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(m) \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \text{Gr}^a D(N) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}^b \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathbb{M}}(m+N)$$

such that $g_{N+m} \circ f_m$ and $f_{m+N} \circ g_m$ induce automorphisms on the two inductive systems.

Consider a small affine \mathcal{U} of X^{et} . Let $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{L}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ be the associated representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}}$. It is crystalline in the sense of [Bri] thanks to 3.7 with $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U})$. It follows from [Bri, Prop. 8.2.12] that it is de Rham with $D_{\text{dR}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) = D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ and from [Bri, Prop. 8.3.2] that it is Hodge-Tate with $D_{\text{HT}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) = \text{Gr} D_{\text{dR}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) = \text{Gr} D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ i.e. we have an isomorphism $D_{\text{HT}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{(R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as graded modules.

Using the identifications $B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}) = \text{Gr}(B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R_U}))$ (see [Bri, Cor. 5.2.7]) and $\text{Gr}(B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R_U})) = \lim_{\rightarrow, m} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U})$ (see 2.41) we obtain that in the following diagram the vertical arrows are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lim_{\rightarrow, m} \left(\text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U}) \right) & \xrightarrow{f_m(\overline{R_U})} & V_U(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \lim_{\rightarrow, m} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_{\text{HT}}(V_U(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & V_U(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}). \end{array}$$

In particular since $V_U(\mathbb{L})$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of finite rank there exists a negative integer Q such that $V_U(\mathbb{L})$ is contained in the image of $f_Q(\overline{R_U})$. Since $\text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U})[p^{-1}] = \text{Gr}\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathcal{U}) = D_{\text{HT}}(V_U(\mathbb{L}))$ and the latter is a projective $R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0$ -module by [Bri, Prop. 8.3.2], there exists $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and a free $R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module T and maps $a: \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow T$ and $b: T \rightarrow \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U})$ such that $b \circ a$ is multiplication by p^h .

We claim that p^h annihilates the kernel of the natural map

$$f: \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U}) \longrightarrow D_{\text{HT}}(V_U(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}).$$

To see this let us first remark that as T is a free $R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module, the natural map

$$T \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U}) \longrightarrow T \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U})$$

is injective. We have the following commutative diagram in which all the tensor products are over $R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ and we have denoted by $A_{M, m, \mathcal{U}}$ the ring $\text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes A_{M, m, \mathcal{U}} & \xrightarrow{a \otimes 1} & T \otimes A_{M, m, \mathcal{U}} & \xrightarrow{b \otimes 1} & \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes A_{M, m, \mathcal{U}} \\ f \downarrow & & \cap & & \downarrow \\ D_{\text{HT}}(V_U(\mathbb{L})) \otimes B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}) & \xrightarrow{a \otimes 1} & T \otimes B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}) & \xrightarrow{b \otimes 1} & D_{\text{HT}}(V_U(\mathbb{L})) \otimes B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U}) \end{array}$$

Let $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$. Then $p^h x = (b \otimes 1)(a \otimes 1(x)) = 0$ which proves the claim.

Now we choose the pre-image of basis elements of $V_U(\mathbb{L})$ in

$$\left(\text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(Q)(\overline{R_U}) \right).$$

This determines a map of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules $\zeta_U: V_U(\mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(Q)(\overline{R_U})$. The composite with the projection onto $V_U(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U})$ is the natural map $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$. For every negative integer m extend ζ_U as a $\text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U})$ linear map

$$t_m(\overline{R_U}): V_U(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(m)(\overline{R_U}) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{(R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \text{Gr}\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}(Q+m)(\overline{R_U}).$$

Then $f_{Q+m}(\overline{R_U}) \circ t_m(\overline{R_U})$ is multiplication by p^h times the shift by $N+Q$. Similarly, replacing ζ_U and $t_m(\overline{R_U})$ with $p^h \zeta_U$ and $p^h t_m(\overline{R_U})$, the composite $t_{m+N}(\overline{R_U}) \circ f_m(\overline{R_U})$ induces the identity on $\text{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U})$ so that $t_{N+m}(\overline{R_U}) \circ f_m(\overline{R_U})$ is also p^h times the shift by $Q+N$. In particular $t_m(\overline{R_U})$ and $f_m(\overline{R_U})$ define inverses one of the other for the two inverse systems defined by varying m .

Recall that the composite of ζ_U with the projection onto $V_U(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{HT}}(\overline{R_U})$ is the natural map $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$. In particular it is unique with this property and it is \mathcal{G}_U -equivariant. This implies

that multiplying it by p^h gives a \mathcal{G}_U -equivariant map ζ_U . Note that ζ_U determines $\zeta_{U'}$ for any small affine $U' \rightarrow U$ and since X can be covered by finitely many small affine opens by taking N and Q sufficiently small and h sufficiently large and reducing modulo p^n the morphisms ζ_U glue and define a morphism

$$\zeta_n: \mathbb{L}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}D(N) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{A}'_{\mathrm{cris},n,M}(Q)).$$

For every negative integer m we extend it $\mathrm{Gr}\mathbb{A}'_{\mathrm{cris},n,M}(m)$ -linearly to get morphisms

$$g_{m,n}: \mathbb{L}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Gr}\mathbb{A}'_{\mathrm{cris},n,M}(m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}D(N) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathbb{A}'_{\mathrm{cris},n,M}(m+Q)),$$

which are compatible for varying m and n . Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine. The composite $f_{m+Q,n}(\overline{R}_U) \circ g_{m,n}(\overline{R}_U)$ is multiplication by p^h on $V_U(\mathbb{L})/p^n V_U(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{L}_n(\overline{R}_U)$ and hence it is multiplication by p^h times the shift by $Q+N$ by linearity. Similarly $g_{m+N,n}(\overline{R}_U) \circ f_{m,n}(\overline{R}_U)$ is multiplication by p^h on $\mathrm{Gr}D(N)(\mathcal{U})$ and hence it is multiplication by p^h times the shift by $N+Q$ by linearity. This implies that $f_{m+Q,n} \circ g_{m,n}$ and $g_{m+N,n} \circ f_{m,n}$ are multiplication by p^h times the shift by $N+Q$. Thus since multiplication by p and shifts define automorphisms of inductive systems, we conclude that $\{f_{m,n}\}_n$ and $\{g_{m,n}\}_n$ define automorphisms of inductive systems as claimed. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Fix a finite extension $K \subset M$. Assume that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline étale sheaf on $X_M^{\mathrm{ét}}$ and take $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in 3.6. Then we have*

1) *for varying $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ -modules $\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ define a decreasing, exhaustive and separated filtration of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ by locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ -modules having the property that the quotient $\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})/\mathrm{Fil}^{r+1} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is locally free for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$;*

2) *the connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is integrable, quasi-nilpotent and satisfies Griffith's transversality relatively to the given filtration;*

3) *the isomorphism $\alpha_{\mathrm{cris},\mathbb{L}}: \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M} \cong \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ preserves the connection where on the left we consider the composite of the connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$ and the connection on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ while on the right we consider the connection induced from the one on $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ which is trivial on \mathbb{L} . Furthermore it induces an isomorphism, in the category $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}})$ of inductive systems of continuous sheaves, on filtrations;*

4) *the map $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} A_{\mathrm{cris}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ is injective and induces an isomorphism after inverting t . Furthermore $\sum_{a+b=r} \mathrm{Fil}^a \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathrm{Fil}^b A_{\mathrm{cris}} = (\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} A_{\mathrm{cris}}) \cap \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. 1) follows from [Bri, Prop. 8.3.2].

2) follows from [Bri, Prop. 8.3.4] using 3.6 (which is implicitly assumed in loc. cit.).

3) The assertion regarding the connection is clear. By construction the given morphism preserve the filtrations. Since X is noetherian there exists $H \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^H \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) = \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$. Due to Lemma 3.1 the fact that $\alpha_{\mathrm{cris},\mathbb{L}}$ is an isomorphism implies that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and morphisms of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ -modules $\gamma_m: \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N+m)$, compatible for varying m , defining the inverse of $\alpha_{\mathrm{cris},\mathbb{L}}$. Since γ_m is $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ -linear and $\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(m) = (\mathrm{Fil}^{r-m} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}) \cdot \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(m)$ the image via γ_m of Fil^r on the left hand side is contained in

$(\mathrm{Fil}^{r-m} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}) \cdot \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N+m)$ which is $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^{r+N} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N+m)$ and is contained in $\mathrm{Fil}^{r+N+H} (\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N+m))$. In particular $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M}$ is contained in the image of $\mathrm{Fil}^{r+N+H} (\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M})$ via $\alpha_{\mathrm{cris},\mathbb{L}}$.

We are left to prove that the map induced by $\alpha_{\mathrm{cris},\mathbb{L}}$ on the quotient inductive systems $\mathrm{Fil}^r/\mathrm{Fil}^s$ for $r \leq s$ is injective. Proceeding inductively it suffices to consider the case that $r = s+1$ and this follows from 3.8.

4) It follows from (3) that $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ is $v_{\overline{K},*} \left(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M} \right)$ as filtered module. Since $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is projective as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module it is locally a direct summand in a free module. To prove the first claim it then suffices to show that for every small affine \mathcal{U} , the map $R_{\mathcal{U}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}) \rightarrow (A_{\mathrm{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}}$ is injective and it has kernel annihilated by a fixed power of t . This is proven in [AB, Cor. 31].

To prove the second statement it suffices to show that the map induced on graded pieces $\sum_{a+b=r} \mathrm{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathrm{Gr}^b A_{\mathrm{cris}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^r \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ is injective for every r . It follows from 3.8 and the fact $\mathrm{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a projective $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module that $v_{\overline{K},*} (\mathrm{Gr}^r (\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M}))$ is $\bigoplus_{a+b=r} \mathrm{Gr}^a \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})} v_{\overline{K},*} (\mathrm{Gr}^b \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M})$. The claim follows remarking that $\mathrm{Gr}^b A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ injects in $v_{\overline{K},*} (\mathrm{Gr}^b \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},M})$. \square

Let \mathcal{U} be a small affine and choose parameters $T_1, \dots, T_d \in R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$. Write $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ (resp. $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$) for the associated Frobenius on \mathcal{U} (resp. on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}|_{\mathcal{U}_M^{\bullet}}$). Define a Frobenius φ_r on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}^{\nabla}(r)$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(r)|_{\mathcal{U}_M^{\bullet}}$) to be the map $p^r \otimes \varphi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_p(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}^{\nabla}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_p(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}|_{\mathcal{U}_M^{\bullet}}$). We then get $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ -linear maps

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}), \quad \varphi_{\mathcal{U}}: v_{M,*} (\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N)) \rightarrow v_{M,*} (\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}(N)).$$

Proposition 3.10. *Assume that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline étale sheaf. Then we have.*

- 1) $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ is horizontal with respect to the connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ i.e., $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}|_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \varphi|_{\mathcal{U}} = (\varphi|_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes dF_{\mathcal{U}}) \circ \nabla_{\mathbb{L}}|_{\mathcal{U}}$,
- 2) $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an étale $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ -module i.e., $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes 1: \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}}^{F_{\mathcal{U}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) follows since $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},M}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is horizontal by 2.37.

(2) follows since $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is a coherent module $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}) = D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ and the latter is étale thanks to [Bri, Prop. 8.3.3]. \square

Let \mathbb{F} be the residue field of \mathcal{O}_{M_0} and write $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}$ for $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{F}$. Assume that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline étale sheaf on X_M^{et} . It follows from 3.9(2) and from 3.10 that $(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}, \varphi_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a convergent F -isocrystal $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}$ relatively to \mathcal{O}_{M_0} in the sense of [B3, Def. 2.3.7].

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose we have two choices of parameters T_1, \dots, T_d and T'_1, \dots, T'_d of $R_{\mathcal{U}}^{\times}$. Denote by $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\varphi'_{\mathcal{U}}$ the corresponding Frobenius morphisms on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}, \varphi_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}, \varphi'_{\mathcal{U}})$ define the same convergent F -crystals on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}$ relatively to \mathcal{O}_{M_0} .*

Proof. Let $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $F'_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the Frobenii on $R_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by the two choices of parameters. Let $p_1, p_2: \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the two projections. The convergent connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}$ defines an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_K}$ -modules $\epsilon: p_2^*(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow p_1^*(\mathbb{D})$ on the tube of the diagonal $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$ defining the structure of isocrystal on \mathbb{D} . Consider $F = (F_{\mathcal{U}}, F'_{\mathcal{U}}): \mathcal{U}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_K \times \mathcal{U}_K$. Then $F^*(\epsilon)$ induces an isomorphism $F'_{\mathcal{U}}{}^*(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{U}}^*(\mathbb{D})$. The claim amounts to prove that $\varphi'_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes 1 = \varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes 1 \circ F^*(\epsilon)$. Since \mathbb{D} is coherent it suffices to verify this on \mathcal{U}_K -sections. Write $D := \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{U}_K)$. The map ϵ on \mathcal{U} is the map $D \ni m \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d N_i^{n_i} \right) (m) \otimes (1 \otimes T_i - T_i \otimes 1)^{[n_i]}$ where N_i is the endomorphism of D given by $\nabla_{\mathbb{L}} \circ 1 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial T_i}$ see [BO, Pf. Thm. 4.12]. Thus $F^*(\epsilon)$ is the map sending $m \otimes 1 \in D \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}}}^{F'_{\mathcal{U}}} R_{\mathcal{U}}$ to $\sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d N_i^{n_i} \right) (m) \otimes (F'_{\mathcal{U}}(T_i) - F_{\mathcal{U}}(T_i))^{[n_i]}$. Eventually $\varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes 1 \circ F^*(\epsilon)$ is the map $m \mapsto \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^d} \varphi_{\mathcal{U}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d N_i^{n_i} \right) (m) \otimes (F'_{\mathcal{U}}(T_i) - F_{\mathcal{U}}(T_i))^{[n_i]}$. This is the expression for $\varphi'_{\mathcal{U}}$ computed in [Bri, Prop. 7.2.3]. \square

In particular the F -isocrystals defined by $(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}}, \varphi_{\mathcal{U}})$ glue for different choices of \mathcal{U} 's and parameters and define a convergent F -isocrystal $(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}), \nabla_{\mathbb{L}}, \varphi_{\mathbb{L}, M})$. Denote by $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)$ the category of filtered convergent F -isocrystals. It is a tensor category and it is abelian if we consider only convergent F -isocrystals (forgetting the filtrations); see [B3, Rmk. 2.3.3(iii)&§2.3.7]. For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\mathbf{1}(n)$ to be the isocrystal $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ with the connection defined by the usual derivation, Frobenius given by p^{-n} times the Frobenius on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ and filtration which is 0 for $r > n$ and is $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ for $r \leq n$. Given a convergent filtered F -isocrystal \mathcal{E} we put $\mathcal{E}(n) := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbf{1}(n)$ and we call it the n -th Tate twist of \mathcal{E} . We get a functor

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}} : \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow \text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)$$

given by

$$\mathbb{L} \mapsto (\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}), \nabla_{\mathbb{L}}, \{\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})\}, \varphi_{\mathbb{L}, M}).$$

Define $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)^{\text{adm}}$, the category of *admissible filtered convergent F -isocrystals*, to be the essential image of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$.

Let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), \{\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{E}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}, \Phi)$ be a filtered convergent F -isocrystal on $X_{\mathbb{F}}$ relative to M_0 . Due to [B3, Thm. 2.4.2] there exists an $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module $(\mathcal{M}, \nabla, \Phi)$ with integrable and nilpotent connection and non-degenerate Frobenius such that $(\mathcal{M}^{\text{rig}}, \nabla^{\text{rig}}, \Phi^{\text{rig}})$ is the Tate twist $(\mathcal{E}(n), \nabla, \Phi(n))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By loc. cit. such crystal is unique up to isogeny and up to Tate twist. Define

$$\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\underline{\mathcal{E}}) := \text{Fil}^0 \left(v_M^*(\mathcal{M})(-n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_M}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, K} \right)^{\nabla=0, \Phi=1} \in \text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

Recall that we have a fully faithful functor $u_{X, M, *}: \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \longrightarrow \text{Ind}(\text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}})$ and we identify $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with its essential image.

Theorem 3.12. *The following hold:*

- 1) *the sub-category $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ of $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an abelian tensor sub-category, closed under Tate twists, duals and tensor products;*
- 2) *the sub-category $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)^{\text{adm}}$ of admissible filtered convergent F -isocrystals of $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)$ is an abelian tensor sub-category, closed under Tate twists, duals and tensor products.*
- 3) *the functor $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ is an exact functor of abelian tensor categories, it is fully faithful and commutes with duals and Tate twists;*

4) the functor $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ factors via $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}} \circ \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ is equivalent to the identity. In particular $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ defines an equivalence of categories

$$\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}} \cong \text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)^{\text{adm}}.$$

Proof. Claim (2) follows from (1)&(3). By construction $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ is essentially surjective. To verify (1) and the rest of (3) one reduces to the case that $X = \mathcal{U}$ is small affine. To verify that $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ is closed under tensor product, internal Hom and Tate twists and that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ commutes with tensor products, internal Hom and Tate twists one reduces to the case that $X = \mathcal{U}$ is a small affine. Using that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}) = D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ and that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ is coherent, these claims follow from analogous statements for $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ proven in [Bri, Thm. 8.4.2].

It follows from loc. cit. that if \mathbb{L} is crystalline and \mathcal{U} is a small affine as in 3.7 one can recover the \mathbb{Q}_p -representation $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ from $D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}))$ by the formula

$$V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) = \text{Fil}^0 \left(D_{\text{cris}}(V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \right)^{\nabla=0, \varphi=1}.$$

This is equal to $\mathbb{V}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})[p^{-1}]$ thanks to 3.2. This allows to recover \mathbb{L} up to isogeny. In particular $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}$ is fully faithful. Being essentially surjective it defines an equivalence of categories. \square

It is a difficult question to characterize $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)^{\text{adm}}$ in $\text{Isoc}(X_{\mathbb{F}}/M_0)$. If $X = \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, a satisfactory answer is provided in [CF] in terms of the so called weakly admissible modules. In more generality a complete answer exists for admissible filtered convergent F -isocrystals of rank 1 thanks to [Bri]. Let $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), \{\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{E}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}, \Phi)$ be a filtered convergent F -isocrystal on $X_{\mathbb{F}}$ relative to M_0 of rank 1 i. e., \mathcal{E} is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_K}^{\text{rig}}$ -module of rank 1. Define $t_H(\mathcal{E})$ to be the locally constant function on $X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ locally defined as the largest integer such that $\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ be a small étale open affine of X such that $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} M_0 e$. Since \mathcal{E} is an isocrystal we have $\Phi(e) = a_{\mathcal{U}} e$ with $a_{\mathcal{U}} \in R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0} [p^{-1}]^{\times}$. For every connected component $\mathcal{U}_i = \text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ of $\text{Spec}(R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0})$, the element p generates a prime ideal of $R_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ and we must have $a_{\mathcal{U}} = p^{n_i} \alpha$ with $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ a unit in $R_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. Then the integer $t_N(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{U}_i) := n_i$ does not depend on the choice of e and is locally constant on $X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$. Then we have.

Proposition 3.13. *A rank 1, filtered convergent F -isocrystal $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is admissible if and only if is locally free as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ for the étale topology on X and we have $t_H(\mathcal{E}) = t_N(\mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. It follows from 3.7 and [Bri, Prop. 8.6.2]. \square

Finally we compare our notion of a crystalline étale sheaf with the notion of “associated sheaves” given in [F2, p. 67]. Let $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ be a filtered convergent F -isocrystal on $X_{\mathbb{F}}$ relative to M_0 . As explained above we may assume that up to Tate twist it is the generic fiber of a $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ -module \mathcal{M} endowed with an integrable and nilpotent connection and a non-degenerate Frobenius. We identify \mathcal{M} with the associated crystal on $X_{\mathbb{F}}/\mathcal{O}_{M_0}$. Given a small affine $\mathcal{U} := \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ of X we write $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ for $\mathcal{M}(A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \otimes_{A_{\text{cris}}} B_{\text{cris}}$ where $\mathcal{M}(A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ is the value of the crystal \mathcal{M} on the PD-thickening $\theta: A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}}$. Note that given a morphism $\sigma: R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ as \mathcal{O}_{M_0} -algebras inducing the identity on $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}$ via

the projection $A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U}}$ (for example the one sending $T_i \mapsto \widetilde{T}_i$) we get that $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \cong \mathcal{M}(R_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) \cong \mathcal{E}(R_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Since \mathcal{M} is a crystal the first identification does not depend on the choice of σ . More precisely, given two sections σ and σ' there is a canonical isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}(R_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}}^{\sigma} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\mathcal{M}(R_{\mathcal{U}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}}^{\sigma'} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ whose Taylor expansion is defined using the connection on \mathcal{M} . In particular $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ is endowed with an action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ and Frobenius and since the connection on \mathcal{E} satisfies Griffith's transversality the filtration on $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$ induced from the filtration on $\mathcal{E}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ does not depend on σ . Let \mathbb{L} be a \mathbb{Q}_p -adic étale sheaf on X_M . Following Faltings one says that \mathbb{L} and \mathcal{E} are *associated* if there is an isomorphism

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \cong V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$$

of $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ -modules commuting with filtrations action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ and Frobenius for every small affine \mathcal{U} which is functorial in \mathcal{U} . Recall that $V_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{L})$ is the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ -representation $\mathbb{L}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Then we have.

Lemma 3.14. *The sheaves $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ and \mathbb{L} are associated in Faltings' sense if and only if \mathbb{L} is crystalline and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. First of all we remark that to be associated in Faltings' sense it suffices that there is a covering of X by small affines $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_i$ such that we have an isomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ for every i and $\rho_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{U}_j}$ are compatible on $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j$. By 3.7 we have that \mathbb{L} is crystalline if and only if there is a covering of X by small affines such that the natural map $g_{\mathcal{U}_i}: \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})(\mathcal{U}_i) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \cong V_{\mathcal{U}_i}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ is an isomorphism. By construction $g_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ is an isomorphism of $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ -modules and it commutes with filtrations, action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$, Frobenius and connections. For every i take the section $\sigma_i: R_{\mathcal{U}_i} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0} \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ sending $T_j \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_j$. It induces the section of the inclusion $A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \rightarrow A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \cong A_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \langle 1 \otimes T_j - \widetilde{T}_j \otimes 1 \rangle_{j=1, \dots, d}$ sending $1 \otimes T_j - \widetilde{T}_j \otimes 1 \mapsto 0$. Therefore it defines a section $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ of $B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \rightarrow B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ compatible with filtrations, Frobenius and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ -action (considering on $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ the Galois action twisted via the connection).

If \mathbb{L} is crystalline then we get an isomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{U}_i} := \tilde{\sigma}_i^*(g_{\mathcal{U}_i})$, compatible with all the supplementary structures and \mathbb{L} and $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ are associated. In the other direction, assume that \mathbb{L} and \mathcal{E} are associated. Write $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}))$ for $\mathcal{M}(A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})) \otimes_{A_{\text{cris}}} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}$ where $\mathcal{M}(A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}))$ is the value of the crystal \mathcal{M} on the PD-thickening $A_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}) \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. Since \mathcal{M} is a crystal by definition [BO, Def. 6.1] we have canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})) \cong \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ and $\mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})) \cong \mathcal{E}(B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})) \otimes_{B_{\text{cris}}^{\nabla}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})} B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ of $B_{\text{cris}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}_i})$ -modules. Being canonical they commute with the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}, M}$ -action and Frobenius. Since the connection on \mathcal{E} satisfies Griffith's transversality the isomorphisms preserve also the filtrations. Define $g_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ to be the extension of scalars of $\rho_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ using the second isomorphism. It follows from the first isomorphism that $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) = \mathcal{E}$ as filtered convergent Frobenius isocrystal. In particular \mathbb{L} is crystalline. The claim follows. \square

3.3 The cohomology of crystalline sheaves.

As before we assume that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{W}(k)$ and we fix a finite extension $K \subset M$ contained in \overline{K} . Let X be a smooth p -adic formal scheme over \mathcal{O}_K .

Theorem 3.15. *We have canonical isomorphisms of δ -functors from $Sh(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ to the category of filtered B_{cris} -modules endowed with the action of G_M and Frobenius*

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})),$$

for \mathbb{L} a crystalline \mathbb{Q}_p -adic étale sheaf. In fact for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have isomorphisms of B_{cris} -modules which are G_M -equivariant and compatible for varying r 's and compatible with the previous isomorphism

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla) \cong \mathbb{H}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet).$$

The cohomology $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla)$ of the inductive system $\mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla$ is taken as explained in §2.8 for every $r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$.

The cohomology group $\mathbb{H}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ means the following. Recall that for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have a complex of sheaves on X denoted $\text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet$ and given by

$$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \text{Fil}^{r-1} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \text{Fil}^{r-2} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots$$

We denote by $\mathbb{H}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ the i -th hypercohomology group of the respective complex.

The filtrations: For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ the r -th filtration of $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is by definition the image of $\mathbb{H}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ while the r -th filtration on $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)$ is the image of $\{H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)\}_r$.

Galois action: The Galois action on $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is induced by the Galois action on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ defined in 3.3. The Galois action on $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla)$ arises as follows. Since $\beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*$ is exact and sends flasque objects to flasque objects by 2.14 and [AI, Pf. Prop. 4.4(4)] and since $\beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*(\mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, M}^\nabla) = \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla$, one can compute $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla)$ taking global sections of the pull-back via $\beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*$ of an injective resolution \mathcal{I}^\bullet of $\mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, K}^\nabla$. Since $v_{\bar{K}, *}(\beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*(\mathcal{I}^\bullet)) = v_{M, *}(\beta_{K, \bar{K}, *} \circ \beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*(\mathcal{I}^\bullet))$ and $\beta_{M, \bar{K}, *} \circ \beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*(\mathcal{I}^\bullet)$ is endowed with an action of G_M , we get the claimed action of G_M .

Frobenius: The Frobenius on $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla)$ is induced by Frobenius on $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^\nabla$. Frobenius on $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is constructed as follows. Fix a covering of X by small affines \mathcal{U}_i for $i \in I$, and for each of them choose parameters $T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,d} \in R_{\mathcal{U}_i}^*$. This choice provides a lift of Frobenius F_i on each \mathcal{U}_i as the unique \mathcal{O}_K -linear map sending $T_{i,j} \mapsto T_{i,j}^p$. Fix a total ordering on I . For every non-empty subset $J \subset I$ put $\mathcal{U}_J := \prod_{i \in J} \mathcal{U}_i$ as formal schemes over \mathcal{O}_K and $\mathcal{U}^J := \cap_{i \in J} \mathcal{U}_i$. Note that $\mathcal{U}^J \subset \mathcal{U}_J$ is closed in an open $\mathcal{U}_J^o \subset \mathcal{U}_J$. Let $\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}}$ be the p -adic completion of the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -divided power envelope of \mathcal{U}_J^o with respect to the ideal defining \mathcal{U}_k^J . Let $F_J := \prod_{i \in J} F_i: \mathcal{U}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_J$. It induces a morphism $F_J: \mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}}$. Note that $\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}}$ and F_J define a complex for varying J .

Put $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J := \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}}}$ and let $\Phi_J: \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J$ be the F_J -linear morphism defined by the non-degenerate Frobenius morphism Φ on $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})$. For varying J we get a morphism of double complexes

$$\Phi_{\bullet, *}: \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_\bullet \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_\bullet \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} A_{\text{cris}}.$$

We have natural morphisms $\mathcal{U}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_J^{\text{DP}}$ of PD thickenings of \mathcal{U}_k^J which are compatible for varying J . It follows from the crystalline Poincaré lemma [BO, Th. 6.14] that the induced morphism

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}^J} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}^J, \mathcal{O}_K}^*$$

is a quasi isomorphism. Thus the cohomology of $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_\bullet \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ is the cohomology of the double complex $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ i.e. of the simple complex $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$. Taking cohomology and using this identification, we get from $\Phi_{\bullet, *}$ the Frobenius map

$$\varphi: H^i(\text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}) \longrightarrow H^i(\text{Sh}(X^{\text{et}})^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X, \mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}).$$

Since $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ we get the claimed Frobenius on $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$.

Remark 3.16. By construction $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla(m)) \cong H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla)$ as A_{cris} -modules and for $n \leq m$ using this identification the natural morphism $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla(m)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla(n))$ is simply multiplication by t^{m-n} on $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla)$. Thus

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla(m)) = H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla) \otimes_{A_{\text{cris}}} B_{\text{cris}}.$$

In particular we can replace the expression on the right in the statements of theorem 3.15.

We show first how to calculate explicitly the sheaves $R^j v_{\overline{K}, *}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}})$ for a \mathbb{Q}_p -adic étale sheaf \mathbb{L} over $X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{et}}$ according to the conventions of §2.8.

*Computation of $R^j v_{M, *}^{\text{cont}}$ via continuous Galois cohomology.* Consider an inverse system of sheaves $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_n \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then for every \mathcal{U} connected and étale affine over X consider the inverse system $\{\mathcal{F}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_n \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M})^{\mathbb{N}}$. Recall that we have defined the localization $\mathcal{F}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}) := \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} \mathcal{F}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$. Given an abelian category \mathcal{A} in [AI, §5.1] general results for the category of inverse systems $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are recalled. In particular if \mathcal{A} admits enough injectives then also $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has enough injectives. For example one can derive the functor associating to an inverse system of discrete $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}$ -modules $G := \{G_n\}_n$ the abelian group $(\lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} G_n)^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}}$ and we get a δ -functor $H^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}, G)$. If the system G is Mittag-Leffler this is shown to coincide with the usual continuous group cohomology. In particular given $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_n \in \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ we can define $H_{\text{Gal}}^i(\mathcal{F})$ to be the sheaf associated to the contravariant functor sending \mathcal{U} , a connected and étale affine over X to $H^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}_M}, \{\mathcal{F}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_n)$. We also have the sheaf $R^n v_{M, *}(\mathcal{F})$ on X^{et} obtained by deriving the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \lim_{\infty \leftarrow n} v_{X, M, *} \mathcal{F}_n$. Then we have.

Lemma 3.17. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a functorial homomorphism of sheaves*

$$f_n(\mathcal{F}): H_{\text{Gal}}^n(\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R^n v_{M, *}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. This is a variant of [Err, Lemma 3.5] which is stated and proven for sheaves on \mathfrak{X}_M . We provide the main ingredients. Let \mathfrak{U}_M be Faltings's site associated to \mathcal{U} . We then have a morphism of sites $j_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathfrak{X}_M \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_M$ sending $(\mathcal{V}, W) \mapsto (\mathcal{V} \times_{\underline{X}} \mathcal{U}, W \times_X \mathcal{U})$. It induces a morphism $j_{\mathcal{U}}^*: \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{X}_M)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_M)^{\mathbb{N}}$ which admits an exact left adjoint $j_{\mathcal{U}, !}$ given componentwise by extension by zero. In particular one deduces from this as in [Err, Lemma 3.5] that the

sheaf $T^n(\mathcal{F})$ associated to the pre-sheaf $\mathcal{U} \mapsto H^n(\mathfrak{U}_M, j_{\mathcal{U}}^*(\mathcal{F}))$ is a universal δ -functor. Since $H^0(\mathfrak{U}_M, j_{\mathcal{U}}^*(\mathcal{F})) = H^0(\mathcal{U}, v_{M,*}(\mathcal{F}))$, we conclude that $T^n(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^n v_{M,*}(\mathcal{F})$.

Note that $H^n(\mathfrak{U}_M, -)$ is the composite of the localization functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \{\mathcal{F}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_n$ with $H^0(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{U}_M}, -)$. The induced spectral sequence provides $H^0(\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{U}_M}, \{\mathcal{F}_n(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})\}_n) \longrightarrow H^n(\mathfrak{U}_M, j_{\mathcal{U}}^*(\mathcal{F}))$. Composing this with the morphism to $T^n(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{U}) \cong R^n v_{M,*}(\mathcal{F})(\mathcal{U})$ we get the claimed map. \square

In [Err, Lemma 3.5] it is shown that if we work with sheaves on \mathfrak{X}_M , not with continuous sheaves, the above map is an isomorphism. This is not true in the general context of continuous sheaves. Assume that $M = \overline{K}$ then we have the following:

Proposition 3.18. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the morphism $f_n(\mathcal{F})$ has kernel and cokernel annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I}^{2i} where \mathbb{I} is the ideal introduced in §1.2 in the following cases: (a) $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}\}_n$; (b) $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}\}_n$; (c) $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$; (d) $\mathcal{F} = \text{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)$ for every m and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The proposition follows from [AI, Thm. 6.12] after minor changes if the assumptions of loc. cit. are satisfied. The statement in loc. cit. provides an isomorphism after inverting $[\varepsilon] - 1$ and working with the pointed site $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet$. An inspection of the proof gives our claim. First of all the proof works for $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$ and not only for $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet$ using [Err, Lemma 3.5] which is the analogue of [AI, Prop 4.4] for $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$ instead of $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet$. Secondly the two cohomology groups are related by two spectral sequences, one in [AI, Formula (19)] and the other in [AI, Prop. 6.15] and the proofs of [AI, lemma 6.13 & Prop. 6.15] show that each degenerates if we multiply by any element of \mathbb{I}^i and not only after inverting $[\varepsilon] - 1$.

We now verify that the assumptions hold. Assumptions (i) and (ii) state the existence of enough small affines of X . The fact that the other Assumptions hold for $\{\mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}\}_n$ and for $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}\}_n$ is precisely the content of [AI, Thm. 6.16(A)&(B)]. We pass to $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)$. Assumptions (iii)–(vi) concern the behavior of the sheaves \mathcal{F}_n restricted to the subsite $\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$ for $n \gg 0$ for every small \mathcal{U} of X^{et} ; see 2.6 for the definition of $\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}$. Since $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(m)|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} \cong \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(m)|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} \langle X_1, \dots, X_d \rangle$ by lemma 2.33 this is a sheaf of free $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(m)|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}}$ -modules. On the other hand $\mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(m) \cong A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}} \otimes \mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}$ by lemma 2.26. We conclude since assumptions (iii)–(vi) hold for the continuous sheaf $\{\mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}\}_n$.

The statement concerning $\text{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)$ is proven similarly. We have

$$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}(m)|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} \cong \sum_{s_0 + \dots + s_d \geq r-m} \text{Fil}^{s_0}(A_{\text{cris}}/p^n A_{\text{cris}}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{n,\overline{K}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} X_1^{[s_1]} \dots X_d^{[s_d]}$$

with $X_j := 1 \otimes T_j - \tilde{T}_j \otimes 1$. In particular

$$\text{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},n,\overline{K}}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} \cong \bigoplus_{s_0 + \dots + s_d = r-m} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M})|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}} \xi^{[s_0]} \cdot X_1^{[s_1]} \dots X_d^{[s_d]}$$

is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}|_{\mathfrak{U}_{\overline{K},n}}$ -module. Since the Assumptions of loc. cit. for the inverse system $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}/p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{x}_M}\}_n$ are satisfied we are done. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{H}^i(m)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^i(\text{Fil}^r, m)$, resp. $\mathcal{H}^i(\text{Gr}^r, m)$) the sheaf associated to the contravariant functor which associates to a small affine open $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spf}(R_{\mathcal{U}})$ of X^{et} the value

$$H^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})), \text{ resp. } H^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, \text{Fil}^r A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})), \text{ resp. } H^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, \text{Gr}^r A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})).$$

The cohomology considered is the continuous Galois cohomology. Then we have.

Lemma 3.19. *For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have morphisms $\mathcal{H}^i(m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Gal}}^i(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m))$, $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathrm{Gr}^r, m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Gal}}^i(\mathrm{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m))$ and $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathrm{Fil}^r, m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Gal}}^i(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m))$ which are compatible with the maps induced by the projection $\mathrm{Fil}^r \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^r$ and have kernel and cokernel annihilated by \mathbb{I} .*

Proof. It follows from lemma 2.29 and lemma 2.35 that the inverse system of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},M}$ -modules $A'_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(m)(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is contained in the localization $\mathbb{A}'_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(m)(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ for every small affine \mathcal{U} of X with cokernel annihilated by any element of \mathbb{I} . This provides the first morphism and the claim regarding its kernel and cokernel. Similarly using the description of $\mathrm{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m)$ given in the proof of 3.18, we deduce that $\mathrm{Gr}^r A_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is contained in $\mathrm{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ with cokernel annihilated by \mathbb{I} . This provides the second map and the subsequent statement. Using induction on r and the fact that $\mathrm{Gr}^r = \mathrm{Fil}^r / \mathrm{Fil}^{r+1}$ we deduce that also $\mathrm{Fil}^r A_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is contained in $\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},n,\bar{K}}(\bar{R}_{\mathcal{U}})$ with cokernel annihilated by \mathbb{I} . This gives the last morphism and proves the assertion concerning its kernel and cokernel. \square

Corollary 3.20. *Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -module such that for every small affine \mathcal{U} the $R_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ -module $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is projective. We view \mathcal{M} as a sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}$ via $v_{\bar{K}}^*$. For every $r \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ we have:*

$$\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \geq 1 \\ \mathrm{Fil}^r B_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M} & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that we have a natural map $\mathrm{Fil}^r B_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{X}_M^{\mathrm{un}}} \mathcal{M})$. Thus both statements are local on X . We may then assume that $X = \mathcal{U}$ is a small affine so that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is a direct summand in a free \mathcal{O}_{X_K} -module. Since $\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}$ commutes with direct sums we may assume that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is a free module and we are reduced to prove the corollary in the case that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Fix integers m and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \leq N$. We start by considering the following statements:

- $\alpha)$ there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on $r - m$ such that $\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m))$ is annihilated by t^a if $j \geq 1$.
- $\beta)$ there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on a , such that the kernel of $\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m - a)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^{r-a} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m - a))$ is annihilated by p^c for every $j \geq 1$.
- $\gamma)$ the map $\mathcal{M} \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{Fil}^r A_{\mathrm{cris}} \rightarrow v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{X}_M^{\mathrm{un}}} \mathcal{M})$ is an isomorphism for all r .

First of all we remark that these statements imply the corollary in the case that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Indeed together with 3.1 these claims imply all the statements of the corollary except for the vanishing of $\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}^{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M})$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \geq 1$. Note that the image of the continuous sheaf $\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m)$ in $\mathrm{Fil}^{r-a} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m - a)$ is $t^a \cdot \mathrm{Fil}^{r-a} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m - a)$. It follows from (α) that the map

$$\mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m)) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^j v_{\bar{K},*}(\mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris},\bar{K}}(m - a))$$

factors via the kernel of the map

$$R^j v_{\overline{K},*} \left(\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m) \right) \longrightarrow R^j v_{\overline{K},*} \left(\text{Fil}^{r-a} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m-a) \right)$$

which is annihilated by p^c by (β) . Since multiplication by p is an isomorphism on $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{M}}$ by 2.41, also the vanishing of $R^j v_{\overline{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \mathcal{M} \right)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \geq 1$ follows.

Now we start proving the statements $(\alpha), (\beta), (\gamma)$. In view of 2.36 to prove statement (γ) we need to prove that for every small affine the map $R_{\mathcal{U}} \widehat{\otimes} \text{Fil}^r A_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow \text{Fil}^r A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})^{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}}$ is an isomorphism. This follows from [AB, Prop. 41].

Recall that $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m) \cong \text{Fil}^{r-m} \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}$ as continuous sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$. Thus, we may also assume that $m = 0$. Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, since $t^r \in \text{Fil}^r A_{\text{cris}}$, the cokernel of the inclusion $\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}$ is annihilated by t^r . Hence it suffices to prove (α) for $r = 0$. Recall from 1.2 that $t \in \mathbb{I}$ since $t = (1 - [\varepsilon])u$ with u a unit in A_{cris} by [Fo, §5.2.4&§5.2.8(ii)]. Then claim (α) follows from proposition 3.18, lemma 3.19 and the fact that $H^i(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})(m))$ is annihilated by $(1 - [\varepsilon])^{2(d+1)} \mathbb{I}^2$ proven in corollary [AB, Cor. 24]. Here d is the relative dimension of X over \mathcal{O}_K .

We are left to show (β) . Proceeding inductively on a it suffices to show that for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the cokernel of the map $R^{j-1} v_{\overline{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \right) \rightarrow R^{j-1} v_{\overline{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\text{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \right)$ is annihilated by a power of p (independent of n). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(\text{Fil}^r, m) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(\text{Gr}^r, m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^{j-1} v_{\overline{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \right) & \longrightarrow & R^{j-1} v_{\overline{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\text{Gr}^r \mathbb{A}'_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \right); \end{array}$$

obtained from 3.18 and lemma 3.19. For every small affine \mathcal{U} , the map

$$H^{j-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, \text{Fil}^r A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}})) \longrightarrow H^{j-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U},\overline{K}}, \text{Gr}^r A_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}(m)(\overline{R}_{\mathcal{U}}))$$

has cokernel annihilated by a power of p by [AB, Pf. Lemme 36]. This also applies to the associated sheaves i.e., to the map $\mathcal{H}^{j-1}(\text{Fil}^r, m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(\text{Gr}^r, m)$. The right vertical morphism in the diagram has kernel and cokernel annihilated by $\mathbb{I}^{2(j-1)+1}$ by proposition 3.18 and lemma 3.19. We conclude that the same applies to the cokernel of the lower horizontal arrow. The conclusion follows. \square

Proof. (of theorem 3.15) Thanks to lemma 2.41, if \mathbb{L} is a p -adic sheaf sheaf, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}^{\nabla} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^{r-1} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \dots \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^{r-d} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^d \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Due to 3.9 the complex $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet}$ is isomorphic to the complex $\text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet} \right)$. This provides an isomorphism

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}}^{\nabla}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i \left(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \text{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\text{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\overline{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet} \right) \right).$$

Here we view $\mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\mathrm{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ as the inductive system of complexes of continuous sheaves $\mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\mathrm{cris}}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}}(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ and we apply the construction of definition 2.8 to the continuous hyper-cohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, -)$ of these complexes. It follows from corollary 3.20 that $\mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\mathrm{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ is acyclic for $v_{\bar{K},*}$ and that its image via $v_{\bar{K},*}$ is $\mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$. Thus, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^i(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}, \mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} A_{\mathrm{cris}}} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)).$$

Compatibility with G_M -action: These are isomorphisms of G_M -modules with G_M -structure given as explained after the theorem resolving $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}}^\nabla(m)$ (resp. $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \bar{K}}(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$ with the pull-back via $\beta_{M, \bar{K}}^*$ of an injective resolution of $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}^\nabla(m)$ (resp. of the complex $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Fil}^{r-\bullet} \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, M}(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet)$.

Compatibility with Frobenius: Fix a covering of X by small affines \mathcal{U}_i , for $i \in I$ and for each of them choose parameters $T_{i,1}, \dots, T_{i,d} \in R_{\mathcal{U}_i}^*$. For every subset $J \subset I$ let $\mathcal{U}^J \subset \mathcal{U}_J^o \subset \mathcal{U}_J$ be as in the notation introduced after theorem 3.15. Let $\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J$ be Faltings' site associated to \mathcal{U}^J and consider the continuous morphism $j_J: \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J$ sending (\mathcal{V}, W) to $(\mathcal{V}, W) \times_{(X, X_K)} (\mathcal{U}^J, \mathcal{U}_{\bar{K}}^J)$. The inverse image j_J^* of a sheaf on $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}$ is the restriction of \mathcal{F} to $\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J$ viewed as a subcategory of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}$.

Define $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}^\nabla$ to be the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of algebras in $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J)$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}$ with respect to the kernel of

$$\mathbb{W}_n \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} / p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} \right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} / p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J}$$

defined by θ_M . Its existence is proven as in §2.5. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{K}}} |_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J}$ we have a natural morphism

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}^\nabla \longrightarrow j_J^*(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, X, n}^\nabla)$$

and it follows from loc. cit. that such a morphism is an isomorphism. Define $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}$ as the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -DP sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^o}$ -algebras of $\mathbb{W}_n \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} / p \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_n(\bar{k})} v_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^o})$ with respect to the kernel of the morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^o}$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{inf}, J, n}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{W}_n(\bar{k})} v_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J}^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^o}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J} / p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}_{\bar{K}}^J}$$

defined by the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^o}$ -linear extension of θ_M .

Lemma 3.21. *The sheaf $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}$ exists and*

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n} |_{\mathfrak{U}_n^J} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}^J, n}^\nabla |_{\mathfrak{U}_n^J} \langle X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,d} \rangle_{i \in J},$$

where $X_{i,j} := 1 \otimes T_{i,j} - \tilde{T}_{i,j} \otimes 1$ for every $i \in I$ and every $1 \leq j \leq d$. For every $h \in J$ one also has an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n} \cong j_J^*(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, X, n}) \left\langle Y_{i,1}^{(h)}, \dots, Y_{i,d}^{(h)} \right\rangle_{i \in J, i \neq h}$$

where $Y_{i,j}^{(h)}$, for $i \in J$ with $i \neq h$ and for $1 \leq j \leq d$ are regular elements generating the ideal defining the closed immersion $\mathcal{U}^J \subset (\mathcal{U}_h \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{U}_i)^o$. In particular $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, \mathcal{U}_J, n}$ is a free $j_J^*(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{cris}, X, n})$ -module.

Proof. The existence of the sheaf and the formula for its restriction to \mathcal{U}_n^J is proven as in §2.6. A similar argument implies the last formula over \mathcal{U}_n^J . A descent argument allows to conclude that the formula holds also over \mathcal{U}^J . The last statement follows from the properties of divided powers: a basis is given by monomials in the elements $\gamma_j(Y_{i,\ell}^{(h)})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq \ell \leq d$ and $i \in J$ but $i \neq h$ taking γ_j to be as in §1.2; see §2.6 for details. \square

Let $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J,n}^\nabla$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J,n}$) be $j_{J,*} \left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathcal{U}^J,n}^\nabla \right)$ (resp. $j_{J,*} \left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathcal{U}^J,n} \right)$). Define $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J}^\nabla$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J}$) as the system $\{ \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J,n}^\nabla \}_n$ (resp. $\{ \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},J,n} \}_n$) and $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J}^\nabla$ (resp. $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J}$) for the inductive systems given by multiplication by t . We also write $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_J/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$ for the inductive system, with respect to multiplication by t , associated to the push-forward via $j_{J,*}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris},\mathcal{U}_J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J}^{\text{un}}} v_{\mathcal{U}_J,\bar{K}}^* \left(\Omega_{\mathcal{U}_J/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \right)$. We then get a long exact sequence of continuous sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_J/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

The exactness is proven as in proposition 2.37 using the first description given in lemma 3.21. These complexes are compatible if we vary J . In particular we get a double complex $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$ which is equivalent to the simple complex $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet}^\nabla$. Since the \mathcal{U}_i 's cover X the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K}/p\mathcal{O}_{\bar{x}_K} \longrightarrow j_{\bullet,*} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet} \right) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Using 2.26 we deduce that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}^\nabla \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet}^\nabla \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}^\nabla & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet}^\nabla & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \end{array}.$$

Since the rows are exact and the first column is exact it follows that the complex $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$ is quasi-isomorphic to the double complex $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$. On the latter the Frobenius maps F_J on \mathcal{U}_J and Frobenius on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf},J}^+$ define a morphism of complexes

$$\Phi_{\bullet,*} : \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_\bullet/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$$

compatible with Frobenius on $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},\bar{K}}^\nabla$. Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})$ be the Frobenius crystal associated to $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ (up to isogeny); see the notation following theorem 3.15. By definition of crystal the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{PD}}}$ -module $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J := \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})|_{\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{PD}}}$ together with Frobenius and connection, coincides with the pull-back of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ via the h -th projection $\pi_h : \mathcal{U}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_h$. We have

Lemma 3.22. *The $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_J^{\text{PD}}} \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ -module $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ together with Frobenius and connection, coincides with $v_{X,\bar{K},*}^{\text{cont}} \left(\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J} \right)$ with the Frobenius and connection induced by those on $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris},J}$.*

Proof. By definition of crystal $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J$ coincides with the pull-back of $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ via the h -th projection $\pi_h: \mathcal{U}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_h$. In particular $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{PD}}} \cong \pi_h^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_h}) \left\langle Y_{i,1}^{(h)}, \dots, Y_{i,d}^{(h)} \right\rangle_{i \in J, i \neq h}$ compatibly with Frobenius and connection; see lemma 3.21 for the notation. Due to the second description in 3.21 we get that $v_{X, \overline{K}, *}^{\text{cont}}(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, J}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{PD}}} \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ compatibly with Frobenius and connection. Since $\mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, J} \cong \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} j_J^*(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X}) \otimes_{j_J^*(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X})} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, J}$ by lemma 3.21 and \mathbb{L} is crystalline, we conclude that $v_{X, \overline{K}, *}^{\text{cont}}$ of the former coincides with $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi_h^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_h})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}^{\text{PD}}}$ compatibly with Frobenius and connection. This coincides with $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_J \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}$ and the claim follows. \square

By adjunction we get a morphism of complexes

$$v_{X, \overline{K}}^*(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_{\bullet} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{O}_K}^*$$

which is compatible with the Frobenius morphisms defined on the two complexes. We deduce that the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^i \left(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla} \right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H} \left(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \right) \\ &\quad \uparrow \wr \\ \mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}}} \Omega_{\mathcal{U}_{\bullet}/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}}) \end{aligned}$$

are compatible with the Frobenius morphisms defined on each cohomology group. By construction the group $\mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is $\mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^* \widehat{\otimes} B_{\text{cris}})$. The compatibility with Frobenius follows. \square

3.4 The comparison isomorphism in the proper case

Let us now assume that our formal scheme $X \rightarrow \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is the formal completion along the special fiber of a proper and smooth scheme $X^{\text{alg}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We have a morphism of sites $\mu_M: X_M^{\text{alg, et}} \rightarrow X_M^{\text{et}}$ associating $U \mapsto \widehat{U}_K$ where \widehat{U} is the p -adic completion of U . Given a sheaf $\mathbb{L} \in \text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{alg, et}})$ we write \mathbb{L} for $\mu_M^*(\mathbb{L})$. Define $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{alg, et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ to be the category of \mathbb{Q}_p -adic sheaves on X_M^{alg} whose images via μ_M^* lie in $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$. Given an object \mathbb{L} in $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{alg, et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$, we abuse notation and write $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ for the filtered locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_{M_0}}$ -module with integrable connection on $X_{M_0}^{\text{alg}}$ associated by rigid analytic GAGA to the isocrystal $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mu_M^*(\mathbb{L}))$. We also identify, for $i \geq 0$ the de Rham cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{M_0}^{\text{alg, Zar}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))$ with the rigid cohomology of the F -isocrystal $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mu_M^*(\mathbb{L}))$ to get a Frobenius structure. Then we have.

Theorem 3.23. *There is an isomorphism of δ -functors from $\text{Sh}(X_M^{\text{alg, et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ to the category of filtered B_{cris} -modules endowed with G_M -action and Frobenius:*

$$\mathrm{H}^i(X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{alg, et}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}} \cong \mathrm{H}_{\text{cris}}^i(X/M_0, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}.$$

Here $\mathrm{H}_{\text{cris}}^i(X/M_0, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is the crystalline cohomology of the filtered F -isocrystal $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$ in the sense of [B3]. It is endowed with Frobenius. As it coincides with $\mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{M_0}^{\text{rig}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong \mathrm{H}_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{M_0}^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))$, it is endowed also with the Hodge filtration. The theorem implies the following corollary:

Corollary 3.24. *Let \mathbb{L} be a crystalline étale sheaf on X_M^{alg} . Then $H^i(X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{alg,et}}, \mathbb{L})$ is a crystalline representation of G_M and $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{M_0}^{\text{alg}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))$ is, as filtered M_0 -vector space endowed with Frobenius, the classical $D_{\text{cris}}(H^i(X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{alg,et}}, \mathbb{L}))$ associated to the G_M -representation $H^i(X_{\overline{K}}^{\text{alg,et}}, \mathbb{L})$.*

We start with the following:

Proposition 3.25. *The natural map*

$$H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/M_0, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \overline{K}}^{\nabla}),$$

deduced from the isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ of 3.9 and from the isomorphism in 3.15, is an isomorphism of δ -functors from $Sh(X_M^{\text{et}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{cris}}$ to the category of B_{cris} -modules endowed with filtrations and Galois action of G_M .

Proof. Recall that we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L}) \widehat{\otimes}_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L})$ as filtered as $\mathcal{O}_X \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{M_0}} B_{\text{cris}}$ -modules endowed with filtrations and Galois action of G_M . Due to 3.15 it suffices to show that the natural map

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{L}}^i: H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/M_0, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$$

is an isomorphism of B_{cris} -modules endowed with filtrations and Galois action of G_M . It is clear that $\gamma_{\mathbb{L}}^i$ is B_{cris} -linear and that it is compatible with G_M -action. Let $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})$ be a Frobenius crystal on X whose generic fiber is $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})$. Then $H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/M_0, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{ar}}(\mathbb{L})) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(X_k/\mathcal{O}_{M_0}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})) [p^{-1}]$ as M_0 -vector spaces with filtration and Frobenius. In particular using the definition of the filtration and of Frobenius on $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}^{\text{geo}}(\mathbb{L}))$ we deduce that $\gamma_{\mathbb{L}}^i$ is compatible also with the filtrations and with Frobenius.

Since $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet}) \cong H^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet})$ is a finite \mathcal{O}_K -module and A_{cris} is p -torsion free the natural map

$$\rho_{\mathbb{L}}^i: H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet}) \otimes A_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow H^i(X^{\text{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet} \widehat{\otimes} A_{\text{cris}})$$

is an isomorphism. Inverting t and using the fact that $H^i(X^{\text{et}}, -)$ commutes with direct limits since X is noetherian gives the morphism $\gamma_{\mathbb{L}}^i$ which is an isomorphism. We are left to prove that $\rho_{\mathbb{L}}^i$ is strict after inverting p i.e., that it induces an isomorphism on the various steps of the filtrations.

We first treat the case that $i = 2d$ where d is be the relative dimension of X over \mathcal{O}_K and $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the constant sheaf. Then the natural map

$$H^d(X_K, \Omega_{X_K/K}^d) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{2d}(X, \Omega_{X_K/K}^{\bullet})$$

is an isomorphism with filtration $\text{Fil}^n = \text{everything for } n \leq d \text{ and } \text{Fil}^n = 0 \text{ for } n > d$. Via the trace map we have an identification $H^{2d}(X, \Omega_{X_K/K}^d) \cong K(-d)$, where $K(-d)$ is K as a K -vector space with $\text{Fil}^n K(-d) = K$ for $n \leq d$ and 0 for $n > d$.

Since $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{2d}$ is an isomorphism it follows that the map

$$H^d(X, \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^d) \otimes A_{\text{cris}} [p^{-1}] \longrightarrow H^{2d}(X^{\text{et}}, \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^{\bullet} \widehat{\otimes} A_{\text{cris}}) [p^{-1}]$$

is an isomorphism. The quotient of $\Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^d \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{Fil}^{n-d} A_{\mathrm{cris}}[-d] \rightarrow \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{Fil}^{n-\bullet} A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is a complex of quasi-coherent sheaves with $\leq d-1$ terms so that it has trivial cohomology groups H^i for $i \geq 2d$. In particular Fil^n on $\mathbb{H}^d(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} A_{\mathrm{cris}})$ is the image of $\mathbb{H}^d(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^d \widehat{\otimes} \mathrm{Fil}^{n-d} A_{\mathrm{cris}})$. This coincides with $H^d(X, \Omega_{X, \mathcal{O}_K}^d) \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^{n-d} A_{\mathrm{cris}}$ showing that $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{2d}$ is an isomorphism of filtered A_{cris} -modules.

In the general case recall that $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \cong \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L})^\vee$ as filtered isocrystals by 3.12 i.e., the pairing provides on filtrations morphisms $\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \times \mathrm{Fil}^h \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^{n+h} \mathcal{O}_X$. This and the fact that $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{2d}$ is an isomorphism of filtered modules provides with pairings of filtered modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \otimes H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \otimes A_{\mathrm{cris}} & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathrm{cris}}(-d) \\ & \rho_{\mathbb{L}}^i \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{L}^\vee}^{2d-i} \downarrow & \parallel \\ \mathbb{H}^i(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} A_{\mathrm{cris}}) \otimes \mathbb{H}^{2d-i}(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} A_{\mathrm{cris}}) & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathrm{cris}}(-d). \end{array}$$

By [S, Prop. 2.5.3] Poincaré duality induces an isomorphism of filtered K -vector spaces

$$\mu_{\mathbb{L}}^i : H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) [p^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet), K(-d)).$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Fil}^n \mathrm{Hom}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet), K(-d))$ consists of the $f: H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \rightarrow K(-d)$ such that $f(\mathrm{Fil}^h) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{h+n}$ for every h . In particular the pairings displayed above give then morphisms of filtered A_{cris} -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \otimes A_{\mathrm{cris}}[p^{-1}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(X^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} A_{\mathrm{cris}}) [p^{-1}] \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{cris}}}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}((X^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet \widehat{\otimes} A_{\mathrm{cris}}), A_{\mathrm{cris}}(-d)) [p^{-1}] \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{cris}}}(H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{2d-i}(X, \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \otimes \Omega_{X/\mathcal{O}_K}^\bullet) \otimes A_{\mathrm{cris}}, A_{\mathrm{cris}}(-d)) [p^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

such that the composite is $\mu_{\mathbb{L}}^i \otimes A_{\mathrm{cris}}$. In particular it is an isomorphism of filtered A_{cris} -modules. This implies that the first map, which is $\rho_{\mathbb{L}}^i [p^{-1}]$, is an isomorphism as filtered $A_{\mathrm{cris}}[p^{-1}]$ -modules as claimed. \square

Let us now assume that \mathbb{L} is a crystalline étale sheaf on X_M . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ write $V_i := H^i(X_K^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $D_i := H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}))$. Then V_i is a finite dimensional p -adic representation of G_M for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V_i = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq 2d$, where d is the dimension of X_K . Similarly, D_i is a finite dimensional filtered φ -module over M_0 for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $D_i = 0$ unless $0 \leq i \leq 2d$.

Corollary 3.26. *We have a canonical commutative diagram with exact rows, referred to as the diagram $(*_\mathbb{L})$, of topological \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces with continuous G_M -action:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & \mathrm{Fil}^0(D_i \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}}) & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_i \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_i} & V_{i+1} \\ & & \downarrow \beta_i & & \downarrow \gamma_i & & \parallel & & \downarrow \beta_{i+1} \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & T_i & \xrightarrow{\omega_i} & D_i \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_i \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}} & \longrightarrow & (D_{i+1} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\varphi=1} \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the diagram attached to \mathbb{L} tensoring (2) with \mathbb{L} and taking the long exact sequence in cohomology. We get a commutative diagram of \mathbb{Q}_p -modules endowed with continuous action of G_M whose rows are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla) & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, (\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla)^{\varphi=1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla) & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have canonical isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{L})[1/p] \cong V_i$ as G_M -modules by [AI, Prop. 4.9]. By theorem 3.15 and proposition 3.25 we have canonical isomorphisms as filtered φ -modules, compatible with the G_M -action, $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla) \cong D_i \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}}$. Put $T_i := \mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, (\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}}^\nabla)^{\varphi=1})$. Furthermore the image of the map g_i from $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla)$ to $\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla)$ is $\mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathrm{H}^i(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}^\bullet, \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{cris}, \overline{K}}^\nabla))$. To prove the claim it suffices to show that g_i is injective. It follows from the above diagram of long exact sequences that the kernel of g_i is in the image of V_i . In particular it is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space. Since $\mathrm{Ker}(g_i)$ is a B_{cris}^+ -module and B_{cris}^+ is an algebra over the maximal unramified extension K^{un} of K , then $\mathrm{Ker}(g_i)$ is a K^{un} -vector space. Since $\mathrm{Ker}(g_i)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space we conclude that it must be 0 which proves the claim. \square

As in corollary 3.26 take \mathbb{L} to be a crystalline sheaf. Then its \mathbb{Z}_p -dual \mathbb{L}^\vee is also a crystalline sheaf on X_K and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}^\vee) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L})^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Isoc}(X)}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}), \mathcal{O}_{X_K})$ where the isomorphism is as filtered F -isocrystals on X (or $X_{\mathbb{F}}$). Let us denote for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $V_i^* := \mathrm{H}^i(X_K^{\mathrm{et}}, \mathbb{L}^\vee)[1/p]$ and by $D_i^* := \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(X_K, \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\mathrm{ar}}(\mathbb{L}^\vee))$ the G_M -representations, respectively the filtered φ -modules attached to \mathbb{L}^\vee . By Poincaré duality for étale cohomology and de Rham cohomology respectively we have canonical isomorphisms as G_M -representations (respectively as filtered φ -modules) $V_i^* \cong V_{2d-i}^\vee$ (respectively $D_i^* \cong D_{2d-i}^\vee$). Let us remark that we have a canonical diagram with exact rows attached to \mathbb{L}^\vee , denoted $(*\mathbb{L}^\vee)$, which involves V_i^*, D_i^* and in which the maps are denoted $\alpha_i^*, \beta_i^*, \gamma_i^*, \dots$

Suppose \mathbb{L} is a crystalline sheaf on X_M^{et} and assume all the notations above. The idea of the proof of Theorem 3.23 is very simple. We prove by induction on $j \geq 0$ that D_{2d-j} is an admissible filtered φ -module and that $V_{\mathrm{cris}}(D_{2d-j}) = V_{2d-j}$. Granting this it follows that V_{2d-j} is a crystalline representation of G_M and that $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_{2d-j}) \cong D_{2d-j}$ and so we are done.

Let us first recall a criterion of admissibility from [CF]. In our setting M_0 is a finite unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and D is a finite dimensional filtered φ -module over M_0 . Let

$$\delta(D): (D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\varphi=1} \longrightarrow \frac{D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}}}{\mathrm{Fil}^0(D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\mathrm{cris}})}$$

be the natural map. Put $V_{\mathrm{cris}}(D) := \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_D)$

Proposition 3.27 ([CF]). *The filtered φ -module D over M_0 is admissible if and only if (a) $V_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space and (b) $\delta(D)$ is surjective.*

Moreover, if $V = V_{\mathrm{cris}}(D)$ is finite dimensional then it is a crystalline representation of G_M and $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \subseteq D$. This inclusion is an equality if and only if D is admissible.

Proof. Let us first remark that we are in the situation of [CF], i.e. the natural map

$$\frac{D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}}{\text{Fil}^0(D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}})} \longrightarrow \frac{D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{dR}}}{\text{Fil}^0(D \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{dR}})}$$

is an isomorphism for every finite dimensional filtered module D over M_0 due to the fact that the natural inclusion $B_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$ of filtered rings induces isomorphisms on the graded quotients.

It is proven in [CF, Prop. 4.5] that the \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space $V_{\text{cris}}(D)$ is finite dimensional if and only if for every sub-object $D' \subset D$ we have $t_H(D') \leq t_N(D')$. Moreover, it also shown in loc. cit. that in this case $V_{\text{cris}}(D)$ is a crystalline representation of G_M whose associated filtered φ -module is contained in D . It coincides with D if and only if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_{\text{cris}}(D) = \dim_{M_0} D$.

It follows from the proof of [CF, Prop. 5.7] that, if $V_{\text{cris}}(D)$ is finite dimensional, then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_{\text{cris}}(D) = \dim_{M_0} D$ if and only if $\delta(D)$ is surjective. The claim follows. \square

The proof of Theorem 3.23. Let \mathbb{L} be a crystalline sheaf on X_K and let us consider the part of the diagram $(*_\mathbb{L})$ relevant for $j = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{2d-1}} & V_{2d} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2d}} & \text{Fil}^0(D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}) & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta_{2d} & & \downarrow \gamma_{2d} & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\varphi=1} & \xrightarrow{\omega_{2d}} & D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Let us remark that $\delta(D_{2d})$ can be seen as the composition

$$(D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{\omega_{2d}} D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(\gamma_{2d})$$

and also that $\text{Ker}(\delta(D_{2d})) = \text{Ker}((1 - \varphi): \text{Fil}^0(D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}) \rightarrow D_{2d} \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}})$. It follows that α_{2d} induces a surjective \mathbb{Q}_p -linear map $V_{2d} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\delta(D_{2d}))$ and that $\delta(D_{2d})$ is surjective. We deduce from proposition 3.27 that D_{2d} is admissible and that we have a \mathbb{Q}_p -linear, surjective homomorphism $V_{2d} \rightarrow V_{\text{cris}}(D_{2d})$ which is G_M -equivariant.

Now we look at the part near $i = 0$ of the diagram $(*_\mathbb{L}^\vee)$, remarking that $D_0^* \cong D_{2d}^\vee$ by [S, Prop. 2.5.3] and therefore it is admissible.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_0^* & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0^*} & \text{Fil}^0(D_0^* \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}}) & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_0^* \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_0^*} & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \beta_0^* & & \downarrow \gamma_0^* & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (D_0^* \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\varphi=1} & \xrightarrow{\omega_0^*} & D_0^* \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \xrightarrow{1-\varphi} & D_0^* \otimes_{M_0} B_{\text{cris}} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

It follows that $V_0^* \cong \text{Ker}(\delta(D_0^*)) = V_{\text{cris}}(D_0^*)$. Therefore we deduce that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V_{2d}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V_0^*) = \dim_K(D_0^*) = \dim_K(D_{2d}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(V_{\text{cris}}(D_{2d}))$ and hence $V_{2d} \cong V_{\text{cris}}(D_{2d})$ and $V_0^* \cong V_{\text{cris}}(D_0^*)$. This proves our statement for $j = 0$ for \mathbb{L} and $j = 2d$ for \mathbb{L}^\vee .

Let us remark at the same time that as α_{2d} is injective, $\epsilon_{2d-1} = 0$. Since $V_0^* \cong V_{\text{cris}}(D_0^*)$ an easy diagram chase shows that $\epsilon_0^* = 0$ and therefore the map α_1^* is injective. Since γ_1^* is injective, we can continue with $j = 1$ along exactly the same lines as for $j = 0$. By induction Theorem 3.23 follows.

References

- [AB] F. Andreatta, O. Brinon: *Acyclicité géométrique de B_{cris}* , Submitted. Available at <http://www.math.univ-paris13.fr/~brinon>.
- [AI] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita: *Global applications of relative (φ, Γ) -modules*, Astérisque **319**, Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques I: Représentations galoisiennes et (φ, Γ) -modules (2008), 339-420.
- [Err] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita: *Erratum to the article “Global applications to relative $\Phi - \Gamma$ -modules, I”*, Astérisque **330**, Représentations p -adiques de groupes p -adiques II: Représentations de $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ) -modules (2010), 543-554.
- [AIS] F. Andreatta, A. Iovita, G. Stevens: *A cohomological construction of p -adic families of modular forms*, in preparation.
- [A] M. Artin: *Grothendieck topologies*, Notes on a seminar at Harvard University, Spring 1962.
- [BO] P. Berthelot, A. Ogus: *Notes on crystalline cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1978.
- [B1] P. Berthelot: *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique $p > 0$* LNM **407**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
- [B2] P. Berthelot: *Géométrie rigide et cohomologie des variétés algébriques de caractéristique p* , Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques (Luminy, 1984). Mém. Soc. Math. France **23** (1986), 7-32.
- [B3] P. Berthelot: *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres. Première partie*, Preprint IRMAR **96-03** (1996), 89 pp. Available at <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/pierre.berthelot>.
- [BK] S. Bloch, K. Kato: *p -adic étale cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **63** (1986), 107-152.
- [Bri] O. Brinon: *Représentations p -adiques cristallines et de de Rham dans le cas relatif*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. **112** (2008), 159 pp.
- [Bri2] O. Brinon: *Représentations cristallines dans le cas d'un corps résiduel imparfait*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), 919-999.
- [CC] M. Candilera, V. Cristante: *Periods and duality of p -adic Barsotti-Tate groups*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Cl. Sci. **22** (1995), 545-593.
- [Cz] P. Colmez: *Périodes p -adiques des variétés abéliennes*, Math. Ann. **292** (1992), 629-644.
- [CF] P. Colmez, J.-M. Fontaine: *Construction des représentations p -adiques semi-stables*, Invent. Math. **140** (2000), 1-43.
- [dJvdP] J. de Jong, M. van der Put: *Étale Cohomology of Rigid Analytic Spaces*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), 1-56.

- [F1] G. Faltings: *p-Adic Hodge Theory*, Journal AMS **1** (1988), 255–299.
- [F2] G. Faltings: *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations*, In “Algebraic Analysis, Geometry and Number Theory” (J.I.Igusa ed.), John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1998), 25–80.
- [F3] G. Faltings: *Almost étale extensions*, In “Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques,” vol. II. P. Berthelot, J.-M. Fontaine, L. Illusie, K. Kato, M. Rapoport eds. Astérisque **279** (2002), 185–270.
- [Fo] J.-M. Fontaine: *Sur certains types de représentations p-adiques du groupe de Galois d’un corps local; construction d’un anneau de Barsotti-Tate*, Ann. of Math. **115** (1982), 529–577.
- [FM] J.-M. Fontaine, W. Messing: *p-adic periods and p-adic étale cohomology*, In “Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985)”, Contemp. Math. **67** (1987), 179–207.
- [Fo] J.-M. Fontaine: *Le corps des périodes p-adiques. With an appendix by Pierre Colmez*. In “Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988)”. Astérisque **223** (1994), 59–111.
- [EGAIV] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné: *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **24** (1964–1965).
- [SGAIV] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos*. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4). Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. LNM **269** (1972).
- [HK] O. Hyodo, K. Kato: *Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles*, Astérisque **223** (1994), 321–347.
- [I] L. Illusie: *Cohomologie de de Rham et cohomologie étale p-adique*, Astérisque **189-190** (1989).
- [LS] B. Le Stum: PhD thesis, Rennes.
- [Ka] K. Kato: *Semi-stable reduction and p-adic étale cohomology*, Asterisque **223** (1994), 269–295.
- [N] W. Niziol: *Crystalline conjecture via K-theory*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **31**, 659–681,
- [O] A. Ogus: *F-Isocrystals and de Rham cohomology II. Convergent isocrystals*. Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), 765–850.
- [S] M. Saito: *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), 849–995.
- [T] T. Tsuji: *p-adic étale cohomology and crystalline cohomology in the semi-stable reduction case*, Invent. Math. **137** (1999), 233–411.

- [T1] T. Tsuji: *Crystalline Sheaves and Filtered Convergent F -Isocrystals on Log Schemes*, preprint (2008).
- [Va] P. Valabrega: *A few theorems on completion of excellent rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **61** (1976), 127–133.
- [Y] G. Yamashita: *p -Adic Étale Cohomology and Crystalline Cohomology for Open Varieties with Semistable Reduction*, preprint (2002).

Fabrizio Andreatta

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “FEDERIGO ENRIQUES”,
UNIVERSITÀ STATALE DI MILANO, VIA C. SALDINI 50, 20133, ITALIA

Adrian Iovita

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI
STUDI DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, PADOVA 35121, ITALIA

and

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY
1455 DE MAISONNEUVE BLVD., MONTREAL, H3G 1MB CANADA