

## Further Results on Universal Properties in Conservative Dynamical Systems.

G. BENETTIN

*Istituto di Fisica dell'Università - Padova*  
*Gruppo Nazionale di Struttura della Materia del C.N.R. - Padova*

L. GALGANI and A. GIORGILLI

*Istituto di Fisica e Istituto di Matematica dell'Università - Milano*

(ricevuto l'1 Settembre 1980)

In this letter we present some results, extending the ones already reported in a previous short note (<sup>1</sup>). In ref. (<sup>1</sup>) it was established numerically that, in conservative dynamical systems (such as typically area-preserving maps of a plane) depending on a parameter  $\mu$ , one could observe by varying the parameter, starting from a stable fixed point, sequences of period-doubling bifurcations analogous to those already observed by FEIGENBAUM (<sup>2</sup>) and others (<sup>3-5</sup>) for dissipative systems. Such sequences were found to present universal properties analogous to those already known for dissipative systems; the only difference was noticing that the corresponding universal numbers had different values: thus, the analog of Feigenbaum's  $\delta = 4.669 \dots$  had the value  $8.721 \dots$  and the analog of Feigenbaum's  $\alpha = 2.50 \dots$  had the value  $4.01 \dots$ .

Precisely, let  $\varphi_\mu$  denote a family of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the plane or of the 2-torus, a point of which with co-ordinates  $x$  and  $y$  will be denoted by  $z$ . In particular we considered the diffeomorphisms of the 2-torus defined by

$$(1) \quad \varphi_\mu \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + y \bmod 1 \\ y + \mu f(x + y) \bmod 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $f(x) = \cos^2(\pi x)$ , or  $f(x) = (1 - 4x^2)^2$  or  $f(x) = -\sin(2\pi x)$ . Then, in correspondence with an initial stable periodic orbit of period  $p_0$  for a given  $\mu = \mu_{-1}$ , one can determine an increasing sequence  $\{\mu_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  accumulating to a critical value  $\mu_\infty$ , such

(<sup>1</sup>) G. BENETTIN, C. CERCIGNANI, L. GALGANI and A. GIORGILLI: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **28**, 1 (1980).

(<sup>2</sup>) M. J. FEIGENBAUM: *J. Stat. Phys.*, **19**, 25 (1978); **21**, 6 (1979).

(<sup>3</sup>) C. TRESSER and P. COULLET: *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. A*, **287**, 577 (1978).

(<sup>4</sup>) B. DERRIDA, A. GERVOIS and Y. POMEAU: *J. Phys. A*, **12**, 269 (1979).

(<sup>5</sup>) V. FRANCESCHINI: *J. Stat. Phys.*, **22**, 397 (1980); V. FRANCESCHINI and C. TEBALDI: *J. Stat. Phys.*, to appear (1980).

that for  $\mu_{k-1} < \mu < \mu_k$  there exists a stable periodic orbit of period  $p_k = 2^k p_0$ ; at  $\mu_k$  this orbit becomes unstable and each of its  $p_k$  points produces by bifurcation a pair of homologous points, on which a new orbit runs of period  $p_{k+1} = 2p_k$ . In the note <sup>(1)</sup> it was remarked that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_k - \mu_{k-1}) / (\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k) = \delta = 8.721 \dots$  and, moreover, that  $\lim d_{k-1}^+ / d_k^+ = \alpha = 4.01 \dots$ , where  $d_k^+$  is the maximal distance between pairs of homologous points, the numbers  $\delta$  and  $\alpha$  being independent of the particular family  $\varphi_\mu$  considered.

Thus in the conservative two-dimensional case the universal number  $\alpha$  has, in analogy with the dissipative cases, the meaning of a rescaling parameter and is actually computed as a limit of ratios of characteristic distances at successive stages of bifurcations. However, as can be seen from paper <sup>(6)</sup>, in the spirit of the renormalization group approach, such a simple rescaling should be replaced, when at least two dimensions are considered, by a more complicated transformation, involving for example two different rescalings along two different directions, apart from possible rotations. In the present letter we communicate some new results obtained in this connection for two-dimensional conservative mappings. As will be seen, these results strongly indicate the necessity of a nonlinear part in the transformation quoted above.

We turn now to a description of these results, which were also obtained for the families of mappings of type (I). With the same notations as above, let us consider any fixed value  $\nu$  in the interval  $(\mu_{k-1}, \mu_k)$ . Corresponding to it there will exist  $p_k$  points on which runs a stable periodic orbit of  $\varphi_\nu$  with period  $p_k$ , and each of them will be a stable fixed point of the mapping  $\psi_k(\nu) = \varphi_\nu^{p_k}$ . Around each of these fixed points one will then have invariant curves for  $\varphi_k(\nu)$  with a well-defined rotation number  $r(\nu)$ . Conversely, having fixed a rotation number  $r$  (or more precisely its cosine), one can define a sequence of numbers  $\nu_k(r)$  and of mappings  $\psi_k(r) = \varphi_{\nu_k(r)}^{p_k}$  with  $p_k$  stable fixed points. This prescription of taking, at all orders  $k$ , a fixed rotation number  $r$  is in a sense the analog of considering superstable cycles in the familiar one-dimensional dissipative case.

One has then in our case the problem of making, at any order  $k$ , a choice for one well-defined stable fixed point  $z_k$  among the  $p_k$  available; this will be the analog of choosing, in the familiar one-dimensional dissipative case, the stable fixed point closest to the maximum of the original mapping  $\varphi_\mu$ . The main purpose will then be to check whether with increasing  $k$  the mappings  $\psi_k$  around  $z_k$  asymptotically differ only by a given suitable « rescaling ».

The prescription we followed (see ref. <sup>(1,5,7)</sup>) was to choose at any  $k$  the stable fixed point  $z_k$  of  $\psi_k$  which has maximal distance from the corresponding unstable point  $z'_k$  (i.e. from the point which, by bifurcation, produced  $z_k$  and its homologous point). From now on  $\psi_k$  will be considered to be defined around  $z_k$  and its linear part thereby will be denoted by  $A_k$ . The neighbourhood of  $z_k$  will be referred to orthogonal axes directed along the major and the minor axes of any invariant ellipse of  $A_k$ ; in such a way, in the rescaling problem which we are interested in possible rotations are implicitly taken into account. Thus, if one looks only for linear transformations, as was always done in this context up to now, one only remains with the possibility of two different rescalings  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  along such axes.

Now, taking into consideration the linearizations  $A_k$  of  $\psi_k$ , one obviously has no possibility of estimating separately  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ ; their ratio  $\beta = \alpha_2 / \alpha_1$  can instead be determined, for example by requiring that the invariant ellipses of  $A_{k+1}$  superpose, through rescaling, to those of  $A_k$ . By this criterion  $\beta$  is then the limit of the sequence of values  $\beta_k = \varrho_k / \varrho_{k+1}$ , where  $\varrho_k$  is the ratio of the minor to the major axis of any invariant ellipse of  $A_k$ . As seen from column 3 of table I (which refers to  $f(x) = \cos^2(\pi x)$ ;

<sup>(\*)</sup> P. COLLET, J.-P. ECKMANN and H. KOCH: *J. Stat. Phys.*, to appear (1980).

<sup>(†)</sup> P. COLLET, J.-P. ECKMANN and O. E. LANFORD: *Commun. Math. Phys.*, to appear (1980).

TABLE I.

$k$	$p_k$	$\beta_k$	$\alpha'_{1k}$	$\alpha'_{2k}$	$\beta'_k$	$\beta'_k/\beta_k$
2	4	2.2939	5.0792	5.2651	1.0366	0.4519
3	8	4.5602	3.5488	19.4366	5.4769	1.2010
4	16	3.9413	4.1381	15.3762	3.7158	0.9428
5	32	4.1029	3.9852	16.3112	4.0929	0.9975
6	64	4.0648	4.0263	16.1043	3.9998	0.9840
7	128	4.0745	4.0160	16.1546	4.0225	0.9873
8	256	4.0721	4.0186	16.1426	4.0170	0.9865
9	512	4.0727	4.0179	16.1455	4.0184	0.9867

$\cos r = 0.4$  and initial fixed point as indicated below), the sequence  $\beta_k$  clearly converges to the value  $\beta = 4.072 \dots$

On the other hand, taking into account a typical nonlinear feature of  $\psi_k$ , namely the position of the unstable fixed point  $z'_k$  corresponding to  $z_k$ , through its components  $x'_k$  and  $y'_k$  on the considered axes, one can define separately two rescaling parameters  $\alpha'_1$  and  $\alpha'_2$  with ratio  $\beta' = \alpha'_2/\alpha'_1$ . As seen from columns 4 and 5 of table I,  $\alpha'_{1k}$  and  $\alpha'_{2k}$  clearly converge to  $\alpha'_1 = 4.018 \dots$  and to  $\alpha'_2 = 16.14 \dots$ , respectively (in particular, this fact confirms the result found in ref. (1), according to which the distances between  $z_k$  and  $z'_k$  rescale with  $\alpha = \alpha'_1$ ). Thus the ratios  $\beta'_k$ , as seen from column 6, clearly converge to a value  $\beta' = 4.018 \dots$  which can be considered to be equal to  $\alpha'_1$ ; in other words we find  $\alpha'_2 = (\alpha'_1)^2$ , a result which is in agreement with an analogous property proven by COLLET, ECKMANN and KOCH (6) in the dissipative case.

However, the important fact should be remarked that  $\beta'$  is, by a small but well-definite amount, different from  $\beta$ . This is shown by the last column of table I, where the values  $\beta'_k/\beta_k$  are reported; they quite clearly converge to a value  $0.986 \dots$ , which is distinctly different from the value 1.

As already anticipated, the results of table I refer to the family of mappings of the 2-torus defined by (1) with  $f(x) = \cos^2(\pi x)$ ,  $\cos r = 0.4$  and an initial fixed point  $(x_0, 0)$  with  $\mu f(x_0) = 1$ ,  $\mu \geq 1$ . The results appear not to depend on the particular mapping and on the rotation number. This is shown by table II, where results analogous to those

TABLE II.

$k$	$p_k$	$\beta_k$	$\alpha'_{1k}$	$\alpha'_{2k}$	$\beta'_k$	$\beta'_k/\beta_k$
2	4	3.5198	4.8613	8.2775	1.7027	0.4838
3	8	4.0859	3.6440	17.7358	4.8671	1.1912
4	16	4.0558	4.1146	15.7756	3.8341	0.9453
5	32	4.0748	3.9915	16.2141	4.0622	0.9969
6	64	4.0718	4.0248	16.1285	4.0073	0.9841
7	128	4.0727	4.0164	16.1487	4.0207	0.9872
8	256	4.0725	4.0185	16.1443	4.0175	0.9865
9	512	4.0726	4.0180	16.1454	4.0183	0.9867

of table I are reported for  $f(x) = (1 - 4x^2)^2$ ,  $\cos r = -0.2$  and an initial fixed point  $(x_0, 0)$  with  $\mu f(x_0) = 1$ ,  $\mu \geq 1$ . As one can see, the agreement is excellent. These results were confirmed for several other choices of the rotation number and also for the mappings (1) with  $f(x) = -\sin(2\pi x)$ . The computations were performed on a UNIVAC 1100/80 with a precision of 18 decimal digits.

In conclusion, our results indicate that, if one performs around  $z_{k+1}$  a linear transformation in order that the mapping  $\psi_{k+1}$  near it reproduce the mapping  $\psi_k$  near  $z_k$  (or more precisely in order that the linearizations  $A_{k+1}$  and  $A_k$  agree), then one cannot reproduce the features which are relevant for more distant points, such as typically the corresponding unstable points  $z'_{k+1}$  and  $z'_k$ , where the nonlinearities of  $\psi_{k+1}$  and  $\psi_k$  are sensible. As a consequence, a nonlinear transformation appears to be unavoidable; and this is at variance with all results known for the dissipative cases.

As is well known, the universal phenomena described above are in general well interpreted in terms of the renormalization group scheme (<sup>6,7</sup>), where one considers a renormalization transformation  $\mathcal{R}$  in the space of the mappings of the torus, defined by

$$(\mathcal{R}\varphi)(z) = -\Lambda^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \varphi \circ \Lambda(z),$$

$\Lambda$  being a suitable rescaling transformation (possibly including translations) of the torus. In such a language our results then indicate that, for conservative systems, nonlinear transformations  $\Lambda$  are required.

The results illustrated above were obtained by us when trying to produce a kind of universal mappings which are in general well known to exist when the renormalization group scheme works. We hope to exhibit in a future paper such universal mappings, which are already available in the approximation in which the nonlinear effects described above are neglected.

\* \* \*

We thank M. FEIGENBAUM for some useful discussions concerning such universal mappings and P. COLLET and J.-P. ÉCKMANN for several discussions and suggestions they gave particularly during an informal meeting organized by G. CONTOPOLOUS, whom also we take this opportunity to thank here.

<p style="text-align: center;">G. BENETTIN, <i>et al.</i>  11 Ottobre 1980  <i>Lettere al Nuovo Cimento</i>  Serie 2, Vol. 29, pag. 163-166</p>
---